

WP 6: Sediment sampling in Large Lakes and Reservoirs

Output T4.3.1: Demonstration at Iron Gate Reservoir



November 2021



PROJECT TITLE	Sediment-quality Information, Monitoring and
	Assessment System to support transnational
	cooperation for joint Danube Basin water
	management
ACRONYM	SIMONA
PROJECT DURATION	1st June 2018 to 1st December 2021, 42 months

AUTHORS:

Prof. Prvoslav Marjanović, PhD, Marko Marjanović, Dragica Vulić, Jaroslav Černi Water Institute, Belgrade, Serbia

Contact to the authors: prvoslav.marjanovic@jcerni.rs

RESPONSIBLE(S) OF THE DELIVERABLE: Prof. Prvoslav Marjanović, Jaroslav Černi Water Institute, Belgrade, Serbia

DATE OF PREPARATION November 2021

Please cite this document as: Marjanović et. al., 2021, SIMONA Project: Sedimentquality Information, Monitoring and Assessment System to support transnational cooperation for joint Danube Basin water management: Output T4.3.1. Demonstration at Iron Gate Reservoir.

For further information on the project, partnership and the Danube Transnational Programme: www.interreg-danube.eu/simona

FIND SIMONA PROTOCOLS ON THE WEBSITE



Contents

BACKGROUND INFORMATION 4
OBJECTIVES OF THE DEMONSTRATION ACTIVITIES 4
TOPICS COVERED DURRING DEMONSTRATION AT IRON GATE
INTRODUCTION
TOPIC 1. WATER FRAMEWORK DIRECTIVE AND SEDIMENTS
TOPIC 2. DIFFERENCES BETWEEN LAKES AND RESERVOIRS AND EIVERS AND STREAMS 8
LAKE AND RESERVOIR VARIABILITY
PHYSICAL STRUCTURE AND GEOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS
TOPIC 3. ROLE OF SEDIMENT SAMPLING
TOPIC 4. SAMPLING PLAN AND MOBILIZATION
TOPIC 5. ACTUAL SAMPLING
TOPIC 6. POST SAMPLING ACTIVITIES
TOPIC 7. CLOSING REMARKS: RECOMMENDATIONS
References:
ANNEX 1. Example of Sampling Mobilization Plan
INTRODUCTION
SURFACE WATER AND SEDIMENT MONITORING
HYDROMETRIC MEASUREMENTS
MORPHOLOGICAL MEASUREMENTS
SAMPLING
ENGAGING FIELD TEAMS
ACTIVITY PLAN
SAFETY AT WORK

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

OBJECTIVES OF THE DEMONSTRATION ACTIVITIES

The main objective of the demonstration of sediment sampling at Iron Gate Reservoir was to:

- 1. Point out the important differences between sediment sampling and quality analysis in large lakes and reservoirs and rivers and streams having in view of the specific sediment sampling and analysis objectives and unique chracteristics of lake and reservoir systems.
- 2. Demonstrate, in the field, the process of preparation for and the actual sampling of sediments in large lakes and reservoirs.
- 3. Demonstrate the work of the specialized equipment used and draw attention of the participants to common difficulties and problems that can be encountered in the field, and
- 4. Share the experiences gained by the Jaroslav Černi Water Institute while working on sediment quality at Iron Gate Reservoir for the last 30 years.

TOPICS COVERED DURRING DEMONSTRATION AT IRON GATE

Demonstration of sediment sampling at the Iron Gate Reservoir was initially planned as a 2 day event with participation of all the project partners and was envisiged as a contribution to a trainning and desimination activities within the Simona Porject WP6. Unfortunatelly, du to COVID pandemic this was imposible to implement and the demonstration was carried out over 2 days in September 2021 for participants from Bulgaria and Republic of Serbia and video materials and a presentations were prepared for further disimination to partners within the project and beyond.

The demonstration covered seven distinct topics.









INTRODUCTION

The Water Framework Directive introduced a system of water management through river basin management which allows us to use natural, geographic and hydrological boundaries to view systems as a whole rather than focusing on political or administrative borders. This allows us to observe processes at a level above national level and adress problems at an all-encompassing and coordinated manner.

Chemical and physical analysis of sediments can be used as a tool for the monitoring of pollutant discharges to a river or lake system. In order to be able to make valid comparisons among stations or reference sites, consistent sampling techniques should be maintained. Sediments can be used to help locate non-point, historical, or intermittent discharges that may not be readily apparent using samples collected from the water column.

Despite regular sediment quality assessment by member states, a reliable estimation of the overall amount of contaminated sediment in Europe is hard to give. the main reason for this is the absence of uniformity in sampling methods, analytical techniques and applied sediment quality standards or guideline values. This causes a lack of inter-comparability. typically, countries along the same river basin use different methods.

The WFD does not focus specifically on sediment but seeing that sediments are a natural constituent of aquatic environments, the management of sediments, their quality and quantity has to play an important role in water legislation.

According to the data collected through means of questionnaires and review of relevant literature, it was noted that Germany, Slovakia, Serbia, Hungary and Slovenia had national laws and/or regulations dealing with sediment quality and/or quantity in inland waters whilst Bulgaria, Croatia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Republika Srpska, Montenegro, Austria, Ukraine, Romania and Moldova do not.

None of the basin countries has specific gudlines and legislation for sediments in large lakes and reservoirs despite the significant contribution that sediments can make to the overall status of these aquatic systems.

A review of the specific situations in the project partner countries shows that most of the project partner countries have adopted some form of the ISO 5667-12 international standard which serves as Guidance on sampling of bottom sediments from rivers, lakes and estuarine areas. Whilst some countries have further devoleped or modified the guidelines given within this standard it is safe to say that this standard should serve as a baseline or starting point for further development of advanced guidelines on the methods used for bottom sediment sampling. For the purpose of suspended sediment sampling, those countries where suspended sediment sampling is being conducted seem to have adopted the guidance and requirements of ISO 5667-17:2008 Water quality -- Sampling -- Part 17: Guidance on sampling of bulk suspended solids which in turn suggests that this document could serve as a baseline/starting point for further development of guidelines for the sampling of suspended sediments.

Whilst the sampling methodology between countries may vary the depth of primary sediment sampling is generally no more than 5cm.

Bearing in mind the objectives of the demonstration at the Iron Gate Reservoir and the current situation in the partner countries the topic covered during the demonstration are broader than the sampling itself and the material that follows and which is the part of the demonstration activities is reflecting this broader perspective.

TOPIC 1. WATER FRAMEWORK DIRECTIVE AND SEDIMENTS

The problem of the lake and reservoirs sediment quality assessment is of particular interest since in large lakes and reservoirs sediments potentially could have a controlling role on the status of water body in question through INTERNAL LOADING processes.

Understanding and quantification of INTERNAL LOADING is only possible through an adequate monitoring focused on sediment water interaction and especially so on quality parameters that are of importance for the dynamics of water column chemistry in large lakes and reservoirs such as are micro and macro nutrients.

This knowledge is necessary in order to develop appropriate programs of measures within RBMP within WFD aimed in achieving good water body status/potential.

The broad perspective given above is summarised conceptually in Figure 1.

Figures 2 and 3. show the evolution of the WFD Common Implementation Strategy and the time frame of interest. It should be noted that it took more than a decade for sediment to be recognized as one of the important issues in the implementation and that special role of sediments in lakes and reservoirs and their contribution to the status of water bodies is yet to be fully recognized.





Figure 1. Broad perspective of the role of sediment quality in river basin planning as per WFD



Figure 2. The role of sediment quality in the implementation of WFD





Figure 3. Sediment quality and WFD water body status objectives in context

TOPIC 2. DIFFERENCES BETWEEN LAKES AND RESERVOIRS AND RIVERS AND STREAMS

Lakes and reservoirs have significantly different characteristics (both in form and function) than rivers and streams. The differences are caused by fundamentally different structure and dynamics of dominant ecosystems in these streams which are driven and controlled by physical parameters such as water flow and velocity, light regime and thermal structure. These differences are the main cause behind the fact that the role of sediment quality in determining the status of lake and reservoir water bodies is much more pronounced than is the case with rivers and streams. (Figure 4). The remaining part of this section is devoted to the discussion of the main differences.



Figure 4. Main differences between lakes and reservoirs and rivers and streams

LAKE AND RESERVOIR VARIABILITY

People often visualize a lake/reservoir as a uniform mass of water, almost like a full bathtub that is evenly mixed from top to bottom, side to side and front to back. In fact, Lakes/Reservoirs are extremely **heterogeneous**, or patchy.

- The physical, chemical, and biological characteristics of Lakes/Reservoirs are extremely variable:
- Lakes/Reservoirs vary physically in terms of light levels, temperature, and water currents.
- Lakes/Reservoirs ary chemically in terms of nutrients, major ions, and contaminants.
- Lakes/Reservoirs vary biologically in terms of structure and function as well as static versus dynamic variables, such as biomass, population numbers, growth rates, sediment production rates, sediment trapping efficiency etc.

There is a great deal of spatial heterogeneity in all these variables, as well as temporal variability on the scales of minutes, hours, diel (day/night), seasons, decades, and geological time. Though Lakes/Reservoirs vary in many dimensions they are actually highly structured, similar to a forest ecosystem where, for example, a variety of physical variables (light, temperature, moisture) vary from the soil up through the canopy.

PHYSICAL STRUCTURE AND GEOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS

Knowledge of the formation and history of a lake is important to understanding its structure and its sediment dynamics. The current chemical and biological condition of a lake/reservoir depends on many factors, including:

- how it was formed
- size and shape of the lake basin
- size, topography, and chemistry of its watershed
- regional climate
- local biological communities
- activities of humans during the past century

Glaciers formed lake basins by gouging holes in loose soil or soft bedrock, depositing material across stream beds, or leaving buried chunks of ice that later melted to leave lake basins (Figure 5). When these natural depressions or impoundments filled with water, they became Lakes.





Figure 5. Formation of glacial lakes (Modified on the basis of the Primer on Lake Ecology, https://www.waterontheweb.org/under/lakeecology/)

After the glaciers retreated, sediments accumulated in the deeper parts of the lake. These sediments entered the Lakes/Reservoirs from tributaries and from decomposed organic material derived from both the watershed and aquatic from plants and algae.

Lake/reservoir sediment deposits provide a record of a lake's history. Paleolimnology is the study of lake sediments. Paleolimnologists collect lake sediments using special coring devices to study a lake's physical, chemical and biological history. Lake sediments are often dated using the radioisotopes lead-210 and carbon-14. The age of a given sediment sample is based on the radioactive decay of the isotope. Other dating methods are based on identifying sharp increases

Main factors determining structure of lakes and reservoirs are:

- Light
- Water density
- Mixing and
- Catchment characteristics

LIGHT

Perhaps the most fundamental set of properties of Lakes/Reservoirs relates to the interactions of light, temperature and wind mixing.

The absorption and attenuation of light by the water column are major factors controlling temperature and potential photosynthesis.

Photosynthesis provides the food that supports much of the food web. It also provides much of the dissolved oxygen in the water.

Solar radiation is the major source of heat to the water column and is a major factor determining wind patterns in the lake basin and water movements.

Light intensity at the lake/reservoir surface varies seasonally and with cloud cover and decreases with depth down the water column. The deeper into the water column that light can penetrate, the deeper photosynthesis can occur. Photosynthetic organisms include algae suspended in the water (phytoplankton), algae attached to surfaces (periphyton), and vascular aquatic plants (macrophytes).

The rate at which light decreases with depth depends upon the amount of lightabsorbing dissolved substances (mostly organic carbon compounds washed in from decomposing vegetation in the watershed) and the amount of absorption and scattering caused by suspended materials (soil particles from the watershed, algae and detritus).

The percentage of the surface light absorbed or scattered in a 1 meter long vertical column of water, is called the vertical extinction coefficient. This parameter is symbolized by "k".

In Lakes/Reservoirs with low k-values, light penetrates deeper than in those with high k-values. Figure 6 shows the light attenuation profiles from two Lakes/Reservoirs with attenuation coefficients of 0.2/m and 0.9/m.



Figure 6. Light – depth profile in lakes and reservoirs (Modified on the basis of the Primer on Lake Ecology, <u>https://www.waterontheweb.org/under/lakeecology/</u>)

The maximum depth at which algae and macrophytes can grow is determined by light levels.

Limnologists estimate this depth to be the point at which the amount of light available is reduced to 0.5%-1% of the amount of light available at the lake surface.

This is called the euphotic zone. A general rule of thumb is that this depth is about 2 to 3 times the limit of visibility as estimated using a Secchi disk.

Light may be measured in a variety of ways for a number of different characteristics.

Since photosynthesis depends fundamentally on light, significant changes in light penetration in a lake will produce a variety of direct and indirect biological and chemical effects.

Significant changes in lake transparency are most often the result of human activities, usually in association with landuse activities in the watershed.





Figure 7. Zonal lake/reservoir structure (Modified on the basis of the Primer on Lake Ecology, https://www.waterontheweb.org/under/lakeecology/)

DENSITY STRATIFICATION

In the spring, immediately after ice-out in temperate climates, the water column is cold and nearly isothermal with depth. The intense sunlight of spring is absorbed in the water column, which also heatsup as the average daily temperature of the air increases. In the absence of wind, a temperature profile with depth might be expected to resemble Figure 9, decreasing exponentially with depth. However, density, another physical characteristic of water, plays an important role in modifying this pattern.

Water differs from most other compounds because it is less dense as a solid than as a liquid. Consequently, ice floats, while water at temperatures just above freezing sinks. As most compounds change from a liquid to a solid, the molecules become more tightly packed and consequently the compound is denser as a solid than as a liquid. Water, in contrast, is most dense at 4°C and becomes less dense at both higher and lower temperatures. Because of this density-temperature relationship, many Lakes/Reservoirs in temperate climates tend to stratify, that is, they separate into distinct layers.



IN DENSITY FOR 5°C TEMPERATURE CHANGES.

Figure 8. Water temperture-Density relationship (Modified on the basis of the Primer on Lake Ecology, https://www.waterontheweb.org/under/lakeecology/



Figure 9. Thermal stratification of lakes and reservoirs (Modified on the basis of the Primer on Lake Ecology, <u>https://www.waterontheweb.org/under/lakeecology/</u>)





Figure 10. Annual cycle of stratification of lakes and reservoirs (Modified on the basis of the Primer on Lake Ecology, <u>https://www.waterontheweb.org/under/lakeecology/</u>)

Spring

In Lakes/Reservoirs of the upper Midwest and at higher elevations, the water near a lake's bottom will usually be at 4°C just before the lake's ice cover melts in the spring. Water above that layer will be cooler, approaching 0°C just under the ice. As the weather warms, the ice melts. When the temperature (density) of the surface water equals the bottom water, very little wind energy is needed to mix the lake completely. This is called turnover. After this spring turnover, the surface water continues to absorb heat and warms. As the temperature rises, the water becomes lighter than the water below. For a while winds may still mix the lake from bottom to top, but eventually the upper water becomes too warm and too buoyant to mix completely with the denser deeper water. As Figure 3 suggests, the relatively large differences in density at higher temperatures are very effective at preventing mixing. It simply takes too much energy to mix the water any deeper.

SUMMER

As summer progresses, the temperature (and density) differences between upper and lower water layers become more distinct. Deep Lakes/Reservoirs generally become physically stratified into three identifiable layers, known as the epilimnion, metalimnion, and hypolimnion (Figure 4). The epilimnion is the upper, warm layer, and is typically well mixed. Below the epilimnion is the metalimnion or thermocline region, a layer of water in which the temperature declines rapidly with depth. The hypolimnion is the bottom layer of colder water, isolated from the epilimnion by the metalimnion. The density change at the metalimnion acts as a physical barrier that prevents mixing of the upper and lower layers for several months during the summer.

The depth of mixing depends in part on the exposure of the lake to wind (its fetch), but is most closely related to the lake's size. Smaller to moderately-sized Lakes/Reservoirs (50 to 1000 acres) reasonably may be expected to stratify and be well mixed to a depth of 3–7 meters in north temperate climates. Larger Lakes/Reservoirs may be well mixed to a depth of 10–15 meters in summer (e.g., Western Lake Superior near Duluth, MN).

Note that although "thermocline" is a term often used synonymously with metalimnion, it is actually the plane or surface of maximum rate of decrease of temperature with respect to depth. Thus, the thermocline is the point of maximum temperature change within the metalimnion.

AUTUMN

As the weather cools during autumn, the epilimnion cools too, reducing the density difference between it and the hypolimnion (Figure 5). As time passes, winds mix the lake to greater depths, and the thermocline gradually deepens. When surface and bottom waters approach the same temperature and density, autumn winds can mix the entire lake; the lake is said to "turn over." As the atmosphere cools, the surface water continues to cool until it freezes.

WINTER

A less distinct density stratification than that seen in summer develops under the ice during winter. Most of the water column is isothermal at a temperature of 4°C, which is denser than the colder, lighter water just below the ice. In this case the stratification is much less stable, because the density difference between 0°C and 4°C water is quite small. However, the water column is isolated from wind-induced turbulence by its cap of ice. Therefore, the layering persists throughout the winter.

This pattern (spring turnover — summer stratification — fall turnover — winter stratification) is typical for temperate Lakes/Reservoirs. Lakes/Reservoirs with this pattern of two mixing periods are referred to as dimictic. Many shallow Lakes/Reservoirs, however, do not stratify in the summer, or stratify for short periods only, throughout the summer. Lakes/Reservoirs that stratify and destratify numerous times within a summer are known as polymictic Lakes/Reservoirs. Both polymictic and dimictic.

THE WATERSHED

The watershed, also called the drainage basin, is all of the land and water areas that drain toward a particular river or lake. Thus, a watershed is defined in terms of the selected lake (or river). There can be sub watersheds within watersheds. For example, a tributary to a lake has its own watershed, which is part of the larger total drainage area to the lake.



A lake is a reflection of its watershed. More specifically, a lake reflects the watershed's size, topography, geology, land use, soil fertility and erodibility, and vegetation. The impact of the watershed is evident in the relation of nutrient loading to the watershed: lake surface area ratio (Figure 11).



Figure 11. Nutrient loading and lake/watershed area of typical lakes and reservoirs (Modified on the basis of the Primer on Lake Ecology, https://www.waterontheweb.org/under/lakeecology/)

Typically, water quality decreases with an increasing ratio of watershed area to lake area. This is obvious when one considers that as the watershed to lake area increases there are additional sources (and volumes) of runoff to the lake. In larger watersheds, there is also a greater opportunity for water from precipitation to contact the soil and leach minerals before discharging into the lake. Lakes/Reservoirs with very small watersheds that are maintained primarily by groundwater flow are known as seepage Lakes/Reservoirs . In contrast, Lakes/Reservoirs fed primarily by inflowing streams or rivers are known as drainage Lakes/Reservoirs tend to have good water quality compared with drainage Lakes/Reservoirs . However, Lakes/Reservoirs are often more susceptible to acidification from acid rain because of their low buffering capacity.

STORMWATER DISCHARGES FROM VARIOUS LAND COVERS





Landuse has an important impact on the quality and quantity of water entering a lake. As Figure 12 shows, the stormwater discharge to a lake differs greatly among landuses. In urban areas, the high proportion of impervious surfaces prevents absorbance of rainwater into the soil and increases the rate of surface water flow to the lake. The high flushing rates from urban areas can increase erosion of stream banks and provide sufficient force to carry large particles (i.e., soil) to the lake. Thus, water quantity affects water quality.

Additionally, as water flows over roads, parking lots and rooftops, it accumulates nutrients and contaminants in both dissolved and particulate form.

	Phosphorus (kg/km²yr)			
Characteristic of the catchment	HIGH	MID	LOW	
Urban	500	80-300	50	
Rural/Agriculture	300	40-170	10	
Forest	45	14-30	2	

Table 1. Phosphorus export coefficients (from Reckhow and Simpson, 1980).



Precipitation	60	20-50	15
---------------	----	-------	----

Table 1. gives representative values of export rates of phosphorus from various landuses and other sources. Phosphorus is particularly important because its availability often controls the amount of algae and the overall productivity of a lake. These values are in units of kg/km2/yr (mass of phosphorus per unit area per year). Not included here, but also important, is the influence of soil type and slope. Finer particles and steeper slopes mean higher export rates.

To clarify the relative landuse impacts, we can compare annual loads from 10 hectare (24 acre) plots of the selected landuses using the high export coefficients in Table 1.

Forest	4.5 kg phosphorus
Rural/Agriculture	30.0 kg phosphorus
Urban	50.0 kg phosphorus

One can see that, all other things being equal, converting a forest into a city can increase the phosphorus export to a lake more than ten times. Another way to look at these numbers is that almost seven years of phosphorus loading from a forested area can be deposited within one year by mixed agriculture areas and almost eleven years of phosphorus loading from a forested area can be deposited within a year from urbanized areas. A greater loading rate puts a greater strain on the system to assimilate the nutrients.

CHEMICAL STRUCTURE

In the absence of any living organisms, a lake contains a wide array of molecules and ions from the weathering of soils in the watershed, the atmosphere, and the lake bottom. Therefore, the chemical composition of a lake is fundamentally a function of its climate (which affects its hydrology) and its basingeology. Each lake has an ion balance of the three major anions and four major cations (see Table 2).

Anions	Percent	Cations	Percent	
HCO3-	73%	Ca+2	63%	
SO4 ⁻²	16%	Mg ⁺²	17%	
Cŀ	10%	Na+	15%	

Tabla 0		
100 e Z.		



		K+	4%
other	< 1%	other	< 1%

Ion balance means the sum of the negative ions equals the sum of the positive cations when expressed as equivalents. These ions are usually present at concentrations expressed as mg/L (parts per million, or ppm) whereas other ions such as the nutrients phosphate, nitrate, and ammonium are present at μ g/L (parts per billion, or ppb) levels.

Humans can have profound influences on lake chemistry. Excessive landscape disturbance causes higher rates of leaching and erosion by removing vegetative cover, exposing soil, and increasing water runoff velocity. Lawn fertilizers, wastewater and urban stormwater inputs all add micronutrients such as nitrogen and phosphorus, major ions such as chloride and potassium, and, in the case of highway and parking lot runoff, oils and heavy metals. Emissions from motorized vehicles, fossil fuel-burning electric utilities and industry, and other sources produce a variety of compounds that affect lake chemistry.

Perhaps the best understood ions are H+ (hydrogen ion, which indicates acidity), $SO_{4^{-2}}$ (sulfate) and $NO_{3^{-}}$ (nitrate) which are associated with acid rains. Mercury (Hg) is another significant air pollutant affecting aquatic ecosystems and can bioaccumulate in aquatic food webs, contaminating fish and causing a threat to human and wildlife health.

Lakes/Reservoirs with high concentrations of the ions calcium (Ca⁺²) and magnesium (Mg⁺²) are called hardwater Lakes/Reservoirs, while those with low concentrations of these ions are called softwater Lakes/Reservoirs. Concentrations of other ions, especially bicarbonate, are highly correlated with the concentrations of the hardness ions, especially Ca⁺². The ionic concentrations influence the lake's ability to assimilate pollutants and maintain nutrients in solution. For example, calcium carbonate (CaCO₃) in the form known as marl can precipitate phosphate from the water and thereby remove this important nutrient from the water.

The total amount of ions in the water is called the TDS (total dissolved salt, or total dissolved solids concentration). Both the concentration of TDS and the relative amounts or ratios of different ions influence the species of organisms that can best survive in the lake, in addition to affecting many important chemical reactions that occur in the water.

DISSOLVED OXYGEN

Biological activity peaks during the spring and summer when photosynthetic activity is driven by high solar radiation. Furthermore, during the summer most Lakes/Reservoirs in temperate climates are stratified. The combination of thermal stratification and biological activity causes characteristic patterns in water



chemistry. Figure 13 shows the typical seasonal changes in dissolved oxygen (DO) and temperature. The top scale in each graph is oxygen levels in mg O_2/L . The bottom scale is temperature in °C. In the spring and fall, both oligotrophic and eutrophic Lakes/Reservoirs tend to have uniform, well-mixed conditions throughout the water column. During summer stratification, the conditions in each layer diverge.



Figure 13. Oxygen regime in lakes and reservoirs at different stages of stratification (adapted from Figure 8-1 in Wetzel, R.G. 1975. Limnology. W.B.Saunders Company)

The DO concentration in the epilimnion remains high throughout the summer because of photosynthesis and diffusion from the atmosphere. However, conditions in the hypolimnion vary with trophic status. In eutrophic (more productive) Lakes/Reservoirs, hypolimnetic DO declines during the summer because it is cut-off from all sources of oxygen, while organisms continue to respire and consume oxygen. The bottom layer of the lake and even the entire hypolimnion may eventually become anoxic, that is, totally devoid of oxygen. In oligotrophic Lakes/Reservoirs, low algal biomass allows deeper light penetration and less decomposition. Algae are able to grow relatively deeper in the water column and less oxygen is consumed by decomposition. The DO concentrations may therefore increase with depth below the thermocline where colder water is "carrying" higher DO leftover from spring mixing (recall that oxygen is more soluble in colder water). In extremely deep, unproductive Lakes/Reservoirs, DO may persist at high concentrations, near 100% saturation, throughout the water column all year. These



differences between eutrophic and oligotrophic Lakes/Reservoirs tend to disappear with fall turnover (Figure 9).

In the winter, oligotrophic Lakes/Reservoirs generally have uniform conditions. Icecovered eutrophic Lakes/Reservoirs, however, may develop a winter stratification of dissolved oxygen. If there is little or no snow cover to block sunlight, phytoplankton and some macrophytes may continue to photosynthesize, resulting in a small increase in DO just below the ice. But as microorganisms continue to decompose material in the lower water column and in the sediments, they consume oxygen, and the DO is depleted. No oxygen input from the air occurs because of the ice cover, and, if snow covers the ice, it becomes too dark for photosynthesis. This condition can cause high fish mortality during the winter, known as "winter kill." Low DO in the water overlying the sediments can exacerbate water quality deterioration, because when the DO level drops below 1 mg O₂/L chemical processes at the sediment-water interface frequently cause release ofphosphorus from the sediments into the water. When a lake mixes in the spring, this new phosphorus and ammonium that has built up in the bottom water fuels increased algal growth.

NUTRIENTS

Aquatic organisms influence (and are influenced by) the chemistry of the surrounding environment. For example, phytoplankton extract nutrients from the water and zooplankton feed on phytoplankton. Nutrients are redistributed from the upper water to the lake bottom as the dead plankton gradually sink to lower depths and decompose. The redistribution is partially offset by the active vertical migration of the plankton.

In contrast to DO, essential nutrients such as the bioavailable forms of phosphorus and nitrogen (dissolved phosphate, nitrate, and ammonium) typically increase in the spring from snowmelt runoff and from the mixing of accumulated nutrients from the bottom during spring turnover. Concentrations typically decrease in the epilimnion during summer stratification as nutrients are taken up by algae and eventually transported to the hypolimnion when the algae die and settle out. During this period, any "new" input of nutrients into the upper water may trigger a "bloom" of algae. Such inputs may be from upstream tributaries after rainstorms, from die-offs of aquatic plants, from pulses of urban stormwater, direct runoff of lawn fertilizer, or from leaky Lakes/Reservoirs shore septic systems. In the absence of rain or snowmelt, an injection of nutrients may occur simply from high winds that mix a portion of the nutrient-enriched upper waters of the hypolimnion into the epilimnion. In less productive systems, such as those in Northeastern Minnesota, significant amounts of available nitrogen may be deposited during rainfall or snowfall events (wet deposition) and during the less obvious deposition of aerosols and dust particles (dry deposition). Nitrogen and phosphorus in dry fallout and wet precipitation may also come from dust, fine soil particles, and fertilizer from agricultural fields.



THE FOOD WEB

The biological communities within Lakes/Reservoirs may be organized conceptually into food chains and food webs to help us understand how the ecosystem functions (Figures 12 and 13). The simplest illustration of the organization of the organisms within an ecosystem is the ecological pyramid (Figure 14). The broad base of primary producers supports overlying levels of herbivores (zooplankton), planktivores and much smaller numbers of carnivores (predators). These individual trophic levels may be idealized as a food chain, but in fact many organisms are omnivorous and not necessarily characterized by a particular level. Further, consumers in particular often shift levels throughout their life cycle. For example, a larval fish may initially eat fine particulate material that includes algae, bacteria and detritus. Then it may switch and graze on larger zooplankton and ultimately end up feeding on so called "forage fish" or even young game fish (i.e., top predators) when it reaches maturity (Figure 13).



Figure 12. Typical lake/reservoir food web supported by external and internal loading showing the importance of sediments as the source of internal loading for lakes and reservoir ecosystems. (Modified on the basis of the Primer on Lake Ecology, https://www.waterontheweb.org/under/lakeecology/)



Food webs may be described in terms of both energy and nutrient (carbon, nitrogen or phosphorus) flows and flows of micronutrients (molybdenum, copper, etc.). Although the process typically begins with sunlight-driven photosynthesis by algae and plants, balanced nutrition is also required to sustain life. For example, we cannot live strictly on sugar, despite its high caloric content, irrespective of what our kids may argue.



Figure 13. Nutrients as the main driver supporting living organisms within a lake/reservoir ecosystem (Modified on the basis of the Primer on Lake Ecology, https://www.waterontheweb.org/under/lakeecology/)

There are two basic life-sustaining processes in Lakes/Reservoirs , just as on land; photosynthesis and respiration. Green plants capture energy from sunlight to convert nonliving, inorganic chemicals (carbon dioxide, water, and mineral compounds) into living, organic plant tissue. Lake photosynthesizers include algae and macrophytes. Together, they are the primary producers, because they create the organic material required by most other organisms for nutrients and energy.



Oxygen, the waste product of photosynthesis, adds to the oxygen supplied to the lake by the atmosphere. In water layers where photosynthetic rates are very high, such as during an algal bloom, the water may become supersaturated. That is, the oxygen content may exceed 100% of saturation with respect to the amount the water could hold if it was allowed to equilibrate with the atmosphere. This saturation value, in turn, depends on the temperature of the water. Colder water can hold more O2 than warmer water. During periods of stratification, the only potential source of O2 to the deeper zones of the lake is photosynthesis. This occurs only if light penetrates below the thermocline. In Lakes/Reservoirs where light does not penetrate below the thermocline, there is no internal source of oxygen to the deeper waters.

Besides light, algae and higher plants need oxygen, carbon dioxide (CO₂), and mineral nutrients to survive and grow. Except for a very few species of blue green algae, most are unable to survive in anoxic(no O₂) water. CO₂ is virtually always available and comes from the weathering of carbonate rocks, such as limestone, in the watershed, diffusion from the atmosphere (very important in softwater, acid rainsensitive Lakes/Reservoirs), and from the respiration of organic matter by all of the organisms in the lake (see below). Dissolved mineral nutrients are absorbed from the water by algae and from the water and the sediments by higher plants. Typically, the most important nutrients are phosphorus and nitrogen, because they are present in very low concentrations unless there are sources of pollution and are typically low enough to limit the growth of algae. Other minerals essential to life, such as the major ions (calcium, magnesium, sodium, and potassium) and certain trace metals (iron, cobalt, molybdenum, manganese, copper, boron, and zinc), are usually present at sufficient concentrations. Silicon is required by diatoms and a few other groups of algae and is usually, though not always, present at sufficient levels. Another mineral required by all living things, sulfur (in the form of sulfate), is typically not deficient in Lakes/Reservoirs.

The whole interaction of photosynthesis and respiration by plants, animals, and microorganisms represents the food web. Food webs are usually very complex and, in any one lake ecosystem, hundreds of different species can be involved. Because the available energy decreases at each trophic level, a large food base of primary producers (mostly plants) is necessary to support relatively few large fish.

These plants may die and decompose or be eaten by primary consumers – the second trophic level. This link in the food chain typically involves zooplankton grazing on algae but also includes larval fish eating zooplankton and a variety of invertebrates that eat attached algae (periphyton) and higher plants. Other animals, such as small fish, secondary consumers (third trophic level) eat the primary consumers and thus are considered secondary consumers. Still larger consumers such as large fish, ospreys, and people are tertiary consumers (fourth trophic level). Thus, energy and nutrients originating from the photosynthetic production of biomass and energy cascade through the food web.



There is recycling of nutrients back up to the top of the cascade. Respiration, the oxidation of organic material, releases the energy that was originally captured from sunlight by photosynthesis. Both plants and animals respire to sustain their lives, and in doing so, consume oxygen. Microorganisms (bacteria and fungi) consume a large fraction of available oxygen in the decomposition of excreted and dead organic material.

Decomposers are sinks for plant and animal wastes, but they also recycle nutrients for photosynthesis. The amount of dead material in a lake far exceeds the living material. Detritus is the organic fraction of the dead material, and can be in the form of small fragments of plants and animals or as dissolved organic material. This in essence is the reason behind the importance of sediments and sediment quality in lakes and reservoirs and their fundamental role in supporting good status of water bodies.

ECOSYSTEM SERVICES

When assessing the condition of Lakes/Reservoirs, ponds, and reservoirs, these water bodies are often viewed as existing along a continuum from impacted to pristine. This approach is useful for evaluating the overall health of the nation's waters, but is insufficient to adequately evaluate their suitability for alternative, and often conflicting uses. An ecosystem services perspective adds another dimension to lake management and sediment management within it in particular..

Ecosystem services as defined by the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment (2003) are: the benefits people obtain from ecosystems (for a review of the concept and additional definitions please see Fisher et al 2009). These services are often critical for life and enhance human well-being. As such they are part of the global commons and are often considered to be free. An ecosystem services perspective is an explicit acknowledgement that nature has value and that the value can be measured and used to support environmental management decisions.

To understand ecosystem services it is useful to evaluate the types of benefits provided by Lakes/Reservoirs, ponds, and reservoirs. A non-exhaustive list of benefits is presented and more information is available in reviews by Bergstrom et al (1996), Postel & Carpenter (1997), and EPA (2000). These benefits can be separated into: 1) goods and products extracted from Lakes/Reservoirs and, 2) services that depend on local ecosystem processes or lake infrastructure. In most cases, the ecosystem service benefits closely resemble the designated use categories.





Figure 14. Benefits from ecosystem services in lakes and reservoirs (Modified on the basis of the Primer on Lake Ecology, <u>https://www.waterontheweb.org/under/lakeecology/</u>)

Every lake can provide a multitude of ecosystem service benefits simultaneously but the actual output of each will depend on the physical characteristics of the basin and the quantity, quality, and timing of water and sediment regime. As anthropogenic influences increase ecosystem services and benefits will be affected. This can present significant challenges to managers interested in maintaining multiple ecosystem service benefits while ensuring overall lake health.

Within this context however, there are many options open to managers. It should be recognized that not all ecosystem system service benefits can be maximized simultaneously. Decisions will need to be made about which ecosystem services and benefits to emphasize in lake management plans. In doing so, managers need to estimate both the costs of ecosystem services losses as well as the expected gains for ecosystem service increases.

Watershed, lake habitat and sediment management strategies need to consider diverse objectives, designated uses, and implications of management decisions on various ecosystem services and benefits tradeoffs.



FINAL REMARKS

This section has been is intentionally extensive despite the fact that it only presents the differences between lakes and reservoirs and rivers and streams only in general terms. Our intention is to reiterate and stress the unique importance of sediments in these aquatic systems and understand what the important factors associated with sediment quality monitoring in lakes and reservoirs are. We hope that this has been achieved and that it is clear that it is of critical importance that sediment quality studies in lakes and reservoirs do not omit monitoring of all the important parameters needed for the quantification of the sediment quality and its dynamics, all of this in support of the development of sediment quality management strategies to support the implementation of the WFD and achievement of the good status of lake and reservoir water bodies.

From what has been presented in this section it is clear that sediment quality assessment for large lakes and reservoirs needs to include the following monitoring activities:

- 1. Monitoring of external loading of lake and reservoir systems. Monitoring of suspended sediment load and quality from the tributaries of the system (parameters of interest are organic matter, macro and micronutrients and specific parameters of interest (e.g. priority substances for example)
- 2. Monitoring of in lake/reservoir sediment production rates (monitoring of in situ sediment production) via monitoring of in lake suspended sediments and their sedimentation rates (of particular interest are quality and deposition rates of sediments to the bottom of the lake). Since in situ sediment production rates are highly spatially variable it is necessary to monitor these at sufficient number of locations to be able to differentiate and quantify deposition rates and quality in the littoral and limnetic zones of lakes and reservoirs.
- 3. Monitoring of sediment accumulation rates and sediment quality at the bottom of the lake in a manner that can support quantification of internal loading of the lake especially by organics, nutrients and metals. As the internal loading processes depend on the water quality at the sediment water interface (especially its oxygen content) adequate spatial resolution is need to characterize the system. Spatial and temporal heterogeneity is of particular concern and needs to be considered.

SIMONA Project in general and WP 6 in particular, address items 1 and 3 above while item 2 is considered to be outside the project scope.

Sampling approaches and tools for item 1 are already covered by other WP of the SIMONA Project and apply for large lakes and reservoirs and will not be discussed further. Item 3 is of specific interest to WP 6 and demonstration activities subject of this report

As a final note we need to stress that *it is assumed that any sediment sampling and analysis is always carried out with appropriate and adequate water quality sampling and analysis* since without appropriate water quality data the results of sediment quality analysis can not be interpreted adequately.

TOPIC 3. ROLE OF SEDIMENT SAMPLING

The main role of sediment sampling is to collect sediment samples which are representative of the field conditions and the location at which they are taken so that their quality analysis can support the quantification of flux of substances of interest (organics, nutrients, priority substances) along different sediment pathways. Furthermore, aside from the quantification of relevant fluxes, sediment samples shall be representative enough to enable the evaluation of sediment quality against the threshold values for sediments with respect to current legislation and standards for a particular aquatic system.

The quantification of sediment fluxes are of particular importance for the assessment of trends in order to be able to forecast future conditions and evaluate the need for a particular set of management measures to be included in River Basin Management Plans for a given water system.

Therefore, sediment sampling must enable, as a minimum the following (Figure 15):

- 1. Evaluation of seasonal dynamics of sediment quality with respect to relevant parameters (incluing a minimum of quarterly sampling program)
- 2. Must enable evaluation of spatial variability of sediment quality (sampling most cover littoral and limnetic zones of the lake and reservoir at sufficient number of points to evaluate variability).
- 3. Must cover different sediment quality parameters and pollutant forms (suspended and bottom sediments, organics, nutrients, metals, priority substances), and
- 4. Quantification of different source pathways (tributary inflows (suspended sediments), in situ sediment generation rates (SESTON suspended sediments in lake), and bottom sediments.



Project co-funded by the European Union http://www.interregdanube.eu/approvedprojects/simona

Figure 15. The role of sediment sampling

TOPIC 4. SAMPLING PLAN AND MOBILIZATION

Sampling sediments in large lakes and reservoirs is not something that can be done from the shore or a bridge and requires specialized equipment for accessing the sampling site (appropriate boat or small ship) and equipment (samplers that can access the sediments at depths that are often beyond few meters and can be more than 100 m at times. It is time consuming and costly and if not appropriately planned it can lead to failure and unrepresentative samples and unreliable results.

It is necessary to do proper planning of sampling before the activity is started. This, in practice, calls for the preparation of an appropriate mobilization plan.

The mobilization plan should contain the following as a minimum (Figure 16)



Figure 16. Minimum contents of a sampling activity mobilization plan

Example mobilization plan is given in ANNEX 1. Of this document



TOPIC 5. ACTUAL SAMPLING

Actual sampling demonstration was carried out on two tributaries in the catchment of the Danube near the Iron gate reservoir and in the Iron gate reservoir near the town of Donji Milanovac (Figure 17)



Figure 17. Sediment sampling at tributaries of the lake/reservoir and the Iron Gate I reservoir

The sampling demonstration was recorded and videos and photographs documenting the process are part of this report. Two PowerPoint files with embedded videos is attached to this report and are its integral part



TOPIC 6. POST SAMPLING ACTIVITIES

Post sampling activities include but are not limited to:

- Labeling and storing samples for transport to the lab.
- Completing Field Observation data sheets with all the necessary data and information
- Post processing and analysis of the data once the results from the lab are available

Relevant procedures and standards need to be followed throughout the process

Sample data sheet is shown on figures below.



Project co-funded by the European Union http://www.interregdanube.eu/approvedprojects/simona

SIMONA





For further information on the SIMONA Sampling, Laboratory and Evaluation protocols; on the project. partnership and the Danube Transnational Programme: www.interreg-danube.eu/simona



FIELD OBSERVATION SHEET FOR SEDIMENT SAMPLING

APPENDIX 3 OF THE SIMONA SEDIMENT QUALITY SAMPLING PROTOCOL

MONITOR	RING PROGRAMME/ SAI	MPLING PRO	JECT INFORMATION:				
Project n	ame:		Sample Identifier	Sample Identifier (ID):			
Collection	n date (DD/MM/YYYY):		Collection time (HH:MM):				
Sampling	matrix: = stream/botton	n sediment; c	suspended sediment;	other (fl	oodplain sediment,):		
Sampling	: 🗆 accredited; 🗆 not acc	redited	Sampling standa	rd:			
MONITOR	RING SITE IDENTIFICATI	ION:					
Monitorin	ig Site ID (WISE-SoE):		Monitoring Si	e ID (nati	onal):		
Name of	the Monitoring Site (e.g.	name of the	surface water and the	city):			
Sample k major roa	ocation description with Id, natural park,) (provi	specific info de map on o	rmation (bridge, high p pposite side):	ower elec	ctric lines, railway line,		
Type of th	ne monitoring site (can l loodplain,):	be different fr	om representing wate	body): 🗆	river; □ lake; □ wetland;		
Alm of sa	mpling: general status ation site - find contam	; □ reference Ination sourc	site (without/small ant e; □ Investigation site fo	hropoger r other:	nic sources);		
WCE04	Latitude:		Nation	al Latitu	ude:		
110304	LongItude:		Coordinate syste	Long	Itude:		
MONITOR	RING SITE REPRESENTI	NG THE FOLL	OWING WATERBODY	AND ITS	BASIN:		
Is It the sa If no, desc	ame waterbody as the N cribe the connection be	ionitoring Sit	e has? YES or NO body and monitoring s	te (tribut	ary, recipient,):		
Waterboo	dy ID (WISE-SOE):		Waterbody I) (nationa	al):		
Name of	the Waterbody:		11-				
Type of th	ne Waterbody:	ake: 🗆 wetlar	id; 🗆 coastal; 🗆 transitio	nal			
MONITOR	RING SITE CONDITIONS	(PART I):					
River wid	th [m]: ed; measured value	[m]: Depth of war measured value average dep		Flow ra	te [m/s]: ated;		
Water ter	mperature [°C]:	Water elec	trical conductivity [µs	cm]:			
Water pH	ŧ	Water transparency (Secchi disk method) [cm]:					
Geology a	and background value o	f parent mate	erial/lithology in the ar)a:			

Page 1 | 2

Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)







For further information on the SIMONA Sampling, Laboratory and Evaluation protocols; on the project, partnership and the Danube Transnational Programme: www.Interreg-danube.eu/simona



MONITORING SITE CONDITIONS (PART II):		
Extreme conditions: none: flooding statu other:	is; 🗆 ice; 🗆 pollution plum	ne: □ contaminated coast/bank;
Weather conditions: hot: sunny: cloudy	y: 🗆 changeable; 🗆 rainy; t	□ frosty
SEDIMENT COLLECTION INFORMATION:		20
Water depth above sample [m]:		Water surface
Sediment sample depth [cm]:		Water depth above
Collection device: stainless steel scoop; c c suspended sediment; other:	orer: sampler for	Sediment sample depth [cm]
Sample type: composite - number of subs	amples:	
Distance between the first and last sampling	g site? [m]:	
Sample replicate collected? YES or NO	Replicate	ID/name:
Sample is duplicated? YES or NO		
SAMPLE INFORMATION:		
Sampling volume estimated, wet weight [lite	er]:	
Temperature of sample (field observation, rig	ght after sampling) ["C]:	
Sediment pH (undisturbed):	Sediment pH (po	st-homogenization):
Colour (Munsell soll colour chart number):		
Texture (particle size description):		
Odour: □ none: □ light: □ strong: □ earthy: □ mildewed: □ putrid: □ farm slurry:	🗆 fishy: 🗆 aromatic: 🗆 sew	/age; □ fuel/oII
Information on sediment components (seas	hells, animals, peat, woo	d, tar, stones, waste, plastics, etc.):
Sample photograph Identification:		
Additional comments (e.g. map of the samp	iling site):	
Sampler name (readable):	Signature:	

Page 2 | 2 Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)



Filled in data sheets are attached as Annex 2 to this report



OPIC 7. CLOSING REMARKS: RECOMMENDATIONS



IN SITU SEDIMENT PRODUCTION SHOULD BEE CONSIDERED AND QUANTIFIED 5 ESPECIALLY IN EUTROPHIC SYSTEMS

Project co-funded by the European Union http://www.interregdanube.eu/approvedprojects/simona

OUANTIFIED

RELATIVE INFORMANCE OF EXTERNAL VERSUS INTERNAL LOADING OF LAKE CARLING: IN WESTERN SERVICE

YEAR	NONTH	TN RALANCE BOOZ	TP BALANCE gaint ¹	BALANCE Shis'	MH ₆ -MO ₆ +HO, BALANCE gym ²	PO4 BALANCE grade	O, BALANCE grim ³	DOM HANT LONG
2014		55,3	25.8	52.8	28.6	248	-6.0	INTERNO
2014	10	9.8	28.8	3.4	-4.4	1844	48.8	EXSTEINIO
2014	12	-47.8	68.1	3.7	1043.9	5801.7	-13.7	EXSTRANC
2015	3	61.0	10.1	H9.5	-41.6	-46.9	32.7	EKSTERINO
2015	4	7.5	35.6	-17.1	-11.6	-2.5	-4.7	EXSTERNO
2015	5	7-10/7	-21.3	-11.1	-14.6	7.5	-8.1	EXSTERNO
2015	6	25.8	18.3	7.1	113.9	21.5	20.9	INTURNO
2015	7	9.7	41.4	16.0		61.3	-25.4	INTERNO
2015	1	7.6	41.5	1.0	11.1	-33.9	37.4	INTERNO
2015		-17.5	-25.0	-15.6	-33.7	15.5	4.8	INTERNO

DECREASING CONCENTRATION IN THE WATER COLUMN CONSUMPTION AND DISCHARGE ARE LARGER THAN EXTERNAL LOAD! EASING CONCENTRATION IN THE WATER COLUMN EINAL LOAD IS LANSER THAN CONSUMPTION AND DISCHARGE) EXTERNAL



References:

Bergstrom, John C., Kevin J. Boyle, Charles A. Job, and Mary Jo Kealy. 1996. Assessing the Economic Benefits of Ground Water for Environmental Policy Decisions. Water Resources Bulletin 32(2):279-291.

Egan, Kevin, J., Joseph A. Herriges, Catherine L. Kling, and John A. Downing. 2009. Valuing Water Quality as a Function of Water Quality Measures. American Journal of Agricultural Economics 91(1): 106-123.

EPA. 2000. A Benefits Assessment of Water Pollution Control Programs Since 1972: Part 1, The Benefits of Point Source Controls for Conventional Pollutants in Rivers and Streams (PDF). (111 pp., 989K)

Fisher, Brendan, R. Kerry Turner, and Paul Morling. 2009. Defining and classifying ecosystem services for decision making." Ecological Economics 68(3): 643-653.

Millennium Ecosystem Assessment. 2003. Ecosystems and Human Well-being: A Framework for Assessment. Island Press, Washington. D.C.



Postel, S. and S. Carpenter 1997. Freshwater Ecosystem Services. Nature's Services: Societal Dependence on Natural Ecosystems. G. C. Daily. Washington, D.C., Island Press: 195-214.

Wilson, M. A. and S. R. Carpenter 1999. Economic Valuation of Freshwater Ecosystem Services in the United States: 1971-1997. Ecological Applications 9(3): 772-783.

Moore, M.L. 1989. NALMS management guide for Lakes/Reservoirs and reservoirs. North American Lake

Management Society, P.O. Box 5443, Madison, WI, 53705-5443, USA.

NALMS. 1990. Lake and reservoir restoration guidance manual. Second edition (note - a revised manual

is currently in preparation). North American Lake Management Society, P.O. Box 5443, Madison, WI,

53705-5443, USA.

Michaud, J.P. 1991. A citizen's guide to understanding and monitoring Lakes/Reservoirs and streams. Publ. #94-149.

Washington State Department of Ecology, Publications Office, Olympia, WA, USA 360-407-7472.

Monson, B. 1992. A primer on limnology, second edition. **Water Resources Center**, University of Moore, M.L. 1989. NALMS management guide for Lakes/Reservoirs and reservoirs. **North American Lake**

Management Society, P.O. Box 5443, Madison, WI, 53705-5443, USA.

NALMS. 1990. Lake and reservoir restoration guidance manual. Second edition (note - a revised manual

is currently in preparation). North American Lake Management Society, P.O. Box 5443, Madison, WI,

53705-5443, USA.

Michaud, J.P. 1991. A citizen's guide to understanding and monitoring Lakes/Reservoirs and streams. Publ. #94-149.

Washington State Department of Ecology, Publications Office, Olympia, WA, USA 360-407-7472.

Monson, B. 1992. A primer on limnology, second edition. Water Resources Center,


ANNEX 1. Example of Sampling Mobilization Plan

MOBILIZATION PLAN

FOR SAMPLING OF SURFACE WATERS AND SEDIMENTS IN CATCHMENT OF A RESERVOIR XXXX

(Sampling period start date/end date)

Place, Date



THE CONTENT

INTRODUCTION

FIELD RESEARCH PAPERS

Surface water monitoring

Hydrometric measurements

Morphological measurements

Diver reading

<u>Sampling</u>

Engaging field teams

Activity plan

Risk assessment

SAFETY AT WORK

<u>MAP</u>S



- 1. flow measurements (hydrometric measurements)
- 2. morphological measurements of surface waters
- 3. sampling and in situ measurements of surface waters and sediments

SURFACE WATER AND SEDIMENT MONITORING

The following equipment will be used for the purpose of performing works for the campaign of hydrometric and morphological measurements, sampling and reading of divers:

- **B** . For hydrometric measurements:
 - 1. Macro Water velocity and current meter
 - 2. Micro Water velocity and current meter
 - 3. Speed counter
- **C.** Morphological measurements:
 - 1. GNNS receiver
 - 2. Zodiac boat
- D. The following equipment will be used for sampling and in situ measurement of surface and water quality parameters :
 - 1. Telescopic sampling system (Figure 7)
 - 2. YSI ProDSS multiparameter probe
 - 3. Various packaging for samples
 - 4. Portable sample refrigerator
- E. Various small mechanically driven tools (wrenches, pliers, etc.)

As part of surface water and sediment monitoring, works will be performed on the profiles listed in Table 1.

Monitoring profile	Hydrometric measurements	Level readings manual and automatic	Morphological measurements	Sampling
	\checkmark	\checkmark \checkmark		
				\checkmark
	\checkmark	\checkmark \checkmark		
				\checkmark
	\checkmark	\checkmark \checkmark		
	\checkmark	\checkmark \checkmark		
	\checkmark	\checkmark \checkmark		\checkmark

Table 1. Monitoring profiles and works performed on them



Below are pictures of the equipment used during monitoring.



Light intensity meter



Current meter



Micro current meter



Revolution counter



GPS Receiver



"Sampling ship





Telescopic water samplling bottle



In situ multiparameter probe "YSI ProDSS"



Sample containers







Sediment core samplers for shallow sediments and bank soil

Undisturbe sample correr





Sample field refirigirator

Eckmn Dredge sediment sampler



Undisturbed sediment core sampler



Van Dorn water sampler







Accessories (plastic bags, bucket, gloves etc

Sechhi Disk

HYDROMETRIC MEASUREMENTS

Hydrometric measurements will be performed by two separate teams. One team is composed of two members while the other is composed of 3 members.

One team carries out meassurements on streams tributaries to the lake and the second team takes meassurements in the lake.

Depending on the depth and width of the tributary, speed measurements take up to an hour per site. For the lake sites the time required is about 1 hour per measuring point (number of points need to be measured at each cross section)According to the situation on the field and the current water level in the measuring profile, the appropriate speed measurement procedure will be applied. The categorization from the water safety procedure envisages works from the boat (Figure 6)

MORPHOLOGICAL MEASUREMENTS

Morphological measurements - measurement of the transverse profile of the riverbed is performed by a team of three members. The measurement is performed from a boat using a GNSS receiver.

SAMPLING

Sampling can be done by directly filling the packaging from the river flow by descending into the riverbed, or by capturing water with a telescopic sampling system (Figure 7), from which the packaging for the samples is then filled (Figure 9).

In-situ measurements of pH, temperature, dissolved oxygen content and electrical conductivity will be performed by immersing the YSI ProDSS multiparameter probe (Figure 8) directly into the watercourse and reading the measured values from the display of the measuring apparatus. Sampling will be performed in accordance with the previously agreed methodology and in accordance with the requirements of international ISO standards that define guidelines for the collection of surface water samples.

ENGAGING FIELD TEAMS

The mobilization plan for sampling of surface and groundwater envisages the engagement of the following workers:

B.Sc. in Environmental Protection , team leader 060 3835592
 master chemist, team leader, 064 244 5835



Two Dacia Duster off-road vehicles and one Toyota Hilux will be used to transport people and equipment.

R. no.	Vehicle / Equipment	Place of loading	Place of unloading	Purpose	Note
1.	Dacia Duster	White City	Loznica	4x4	Transportation of workers and equipment
2	Dacia Duster	White City	Loznica	4x4	Transportation of workers and equipment
3	Toyota Hilux	White City	Loznica	4x4	Transportation of workers and equipment

As the sampling is planned to last for several days, there is still the possibility of changes in the plan, ie. to suspend sampling due to bad weather conditions such as heavy rainfall. You will be notified in a timely manner of any changes to the sampling and mobilization plan. In case of suspension of works, the team leaders are obliged to inform the contact person who represents the investor **immediately** after determining the need for suspension of works.

In the event of a change in the composition of the teams on the ground or the rotation of the planned team members, the contact person representing the investor will be notified at least **12 hours in** advance. Due to the nature of the work and unpredictable field conditions, it is possible that there will be changes in the planned sampling locations for a given day. Seeing that this cannot be determined in advance, but depends on current field conditions, meteorological conditions, etc., any changes and deviations from the planned sampling locations for the day, the contact person representing the investor will be notified **immediately** after determining the need for deviation from the planned dynamics before the team is sent to the newly chosen location.

Estimated working hours are from 8:00 am to 5:00 pm but this time may be exceeded in case the job requires it.

ACTIVITY PLAN

	DATE						
Time	Description of works	The works are realized by:					

	23-03-2021	
	Team 1	
Time	Description of works	The works are realized by:
08 30 - 9 00		Marko Marianović
09-16 ••		D. Radovic, S. Ostojic



16 17		
	Team 2	
08 3.0 - 9 00		
10 30-16 00		И.Lумовић, Т. Arizanovic
16 17		

Etc for each day in the field

RISK ASSESSMENT

During the execution of works, there is a possibility that workers are exposed to certain risks. In order to reduce the likelihood of undesirable situations or consequences, the risks to which workers will be exposed have been analyzed and recommendations of preventive measures that must be taken in order to minimize or completely eliminate the risks are given. An overview of the identified risks is given below.

	ASSESSED RISKS	RISK	MEASURES FOR SAFE WORK
1.	Danger of falling	Low	Obligatory observance of safety measures and technical regulations when working in the field. Locations of works (field measurements) should be properly provided. Use prescribed personal protective equipment. Perform a visual inspection of the terrain and assess whether it is safe to move on it.
2.	Risks due to participation in traffic	rticipation in High High Obligatory observance of safety measures working in the field, perform daily and weekly ve inspections. Use the prescribed personal prote equipment in the event of a car breakdown visibility vests, triangle, etc.) Observance of the procedure and driving procedure. Prohibition of the phone for the driver, even "bluetooth" devices.	
3.	Danger of landslides	Low	Obligatory observance of safety measures when working in the field. Adherence to OSH and driving procedures.
4.	Danger of falling objects	Low but there is	Obligatory observance of safety measures when working in the field. Use the prescribed personal protective equipment, which includes shoes with a protective metal cap.
5.	Dangerous surfaces / possible slipping or tripping (all types of treads that the employee comes into contact with, wet, slippery uneven surfaces, etc.)	Low but there is	Adherence to safety procedures regarding safety and health at work. Marking of dangerous places for easier observation. Be sure to move carefully on wet, slippery and uneven surfaces. Use prescribed personal protective equipment.
6.	Danger of insect bites.	Very Low	Adherence to safety procedures regarding safety and health at work. Use prescribed personal protective equipment that includes work clothes and shoes that provide protection. In case of bites, act in accordance with the instructions with the document - Response plans in case of accidents and emergencies.
7.	Harmfulness due to possible contact with waste materials, soil and water poisoning (pesticides, waste, etc.)	Low but there is	Observance of work procedures and general safety measures in places where contact with waste and hazardous substances is possible. Application of personal protective equipment intended for work

Table 3. Identified risks and measures for risk reduction and safe operation



ASSESSED RISKS RIS		RISK	MEASURES FOR SAFE WORK
			under such conditions. Wear safety data sheets for necessary chemicals that describe first aid measures in case of an incident.
8.	Risk of cuts, stings and similar injuries due to the use of auxiliary tools or movement in the field (use of axes, mowers, plant injuries)	Middle	This risk is more pronounced when working in the area of "Rakovica". It is necessary to use the prescribed personal protective equipment, including work suits, shoes, helmets and gloves.
10.	Danger of bad weather	Low	This risk is present in both research areas. In case of weather, it is necessary to act in accordance with the Procedure in case of weather.
11.	Danger when working with the unit	Low	There is a risk of electric shock when working with the unit. In order to minimize this risk, it is necessary to perform an attestation in order to ensure their technical correctness and earthing of the unit during operation. It is necessary to turn the unit so that the exhaust gases from the unit are directed in the direction of the employees.
12.	Danger when carrying cargo	Low	Compliance with all requirements in the procedure for manual transfer of cargo and increased caution when transferring cargo. Conducting a visual assessment of the terrain, places for storing cargo, places for movement, etc.
13.	Risk of exposure and infection with COVID-19 virus	Middle	Obligatory observance of all measures prescribed in the Rulebook on work organization and protection measures for employees in the transitional period after the cessation of the state of emergency due to the pandemic caused by the COVID-19 virus , which we submit with this Mobilization Plan. These measures include mandatory wearing of protective masks and gloves in all situations where it is not possible to keep a distance of at least 2m between employees, regular hand washing with soap and disinfectant. Every morning before starting work, employees will fill out a questionnaire related to the symptoms of Covid- 19. Employees will have their temperature measured every morning before starting work.

NOTE:

Due to the current situation regarding the Corona virus, all participants in the monitoring must fill in and submit to the Investor the form submitted to the IJC by Rio Sava before starting the works. In this regard, the form will be submitted to all participants in groundwater monitoring for inspection and signature in a timely manner.

During the stay in the field and in the accommodation premises during the monitoring, it is recommended to avoid handling, it is desirable to wear protective masks, each team should have a disinfectant as part of the equipment and maintain hand hygiene whenever possible.

For all planned works during the measurement, measures will be taken to protect the environment and protect workers from potential hazards of the environment in which the work is performed.

The group leader will be in charge of implementing safety measures at work, and to warn each worker of irregularities during the works. All protection measures are defined in the instructions:



- 1. Procedure for safe operation during water and sediment sampling
- 2. Procedure in case of bad weather
- 3. OSH Procedure and driving style
- 4. Jewelry wearing procedure
- 5. Ordinance on the organization of work and measures for the protection of employees in the transitional period after the cessation of the state of emergency due to a pandemic caused by the COVID-19 virus
- 6. Procedures for performing all the above works in the area of lake/reservoir xxxx by localities are described in the "Study on OSH risk assessment during surface water and sediment monitoring in the area xxxxx.

All workers must respect the protection measures from the protocol and which are determined by the manager. In case of non-compliance with the procedures, the worker will be removed from the place of work.

As the program of works envisages movement along roads of different categories, all workers are obliged to wear high visibility clothes - <u>fluorescent</u> jackets or vests (Figure 10). If there is a need to move through the forest belt, the obligatory part of the OSH equipment is a protective helmet and goggles (Figures 11 and 12).



Compulsory safety at work protective gear

A protective water vest will be used as a mandatory part of OSH equipment when working on water (Figure 13).

In Belgrade, DATE,

Head of the team for monitoring

47

SIMON/



Attachmet

MAPS with relevant data: Roads, sampling points, hospitals, petrol stations etc.



ANNEX 2. FIELD OBSERVATION DATA SHEETS



For further information on the SIMONA Sampling, Laboratory and Evaluation protocols; on the project, partnership and the Danube Transnational Programme: www.interreg-danube.eu/simona



FIELD OBSERVATION SHEET FOR SEDIMENT SAMPLING

APPENDIX 3 OF THE SIMONA SEDIMENT QUALITY SAMPLING PROTOCOL

MONITOR	ING PROGRAMME/ SAMP	LING PROJECT	T INFORMATION:		
Project name: SIMONA Sample identifier (ID): WP6_RA-BS					
Collection	date (DD/MM/YYYY): 31 /o	812020	Collection to	ime (HH:MM):	
Sampling r	natrix: 🖬 stream/bottom sedin	nent;□suspended	sediment; 🗆 other (floodpl	ain sediment,):	
Sampling:	accredited; not accredited	ł	Sampling standard:	150 5667-12:2019	
MONITOR	ING SITE IDENTIFICATION	N:			
Monitoring	Site ID (WISE-SoE):		Monitoring Site ID	(national):	
Name of th	ne Monitoring Site (e.g. name	e of the surface was	ater and the city):		
Sample lo) (provide	cation description with speci map on opposite side):	fic information (br	ridge, high power electric li er prior to H	ines, railway line, major road, natural park, to confluence of the Nerry.	
Type of the other (flo	e monitoring site (can be diffiodplain,):	erent from repres	enting waterbody): river;	; 🗆 lake; 🗆 wetland;	
Aim of san □ investiga	npling: general status; ref tion site – find contamination	erence site (witho source; Minvestig	out/small anthropogenic so ation site for other:	purces);	
	Latitude: 44° 49' 1	7 "	National	Latitude:	
WGS84	Longitude: 21° Zo' 1	6 " Coordinate syste		Longitude:	
MONITOR	ING SITE REPRESENTING	THE FOLLOW	ING WATERBODY AND	DITS BASIN:	
Is it the sa If no, desc	me waterbody as the Monitoria the connection between	oring Site has? waterbody and n	2ÝES or □ NO monitoring site (tributary, r	recipient,):	
Waterbody	ID (WISE-SoE):		Waterbody ID (n	national): D4	
Name of the	ne Waterbody: Danobe	river			
Type of th	e Waterbody: 🖉 river; 🗆 lake;	□ wetland; □ coas	stal; 🗆 transitional		
MONITOR	ING SITE CONDITIONS (P	ART I):			
River widt	h [m]: SO4 m d; □ measured value	Depth of wate depth [m]: 15	r estimated average	Flow rate [m/s]: constrainted; constraint measured value	
Water tem	Water temperature [°C]: 24,7 Water electrical conductivity [µs/cm]: 364,6				
Water pH:	7.81	Water transpa	arency (Secchi disk method	1) [cm]: 0165~	
Geology a	nd background value of par	ent material/lithol	logy in the area:		
MONITOR	RING SITE CONDITIONS (F	PART II):			
Extreme c	onditions: none; flooding	status; □ ice; □ po	ollution plume; 🗆 contamin	ated coast/bank;	

Page 1 | 2 Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)







Veather conditions: \Box hot; $ otal sunny; \Box$ cloudy; \Box changeab	le; □ rainy; □ frosty		
EDIMENT COLLECTION INFORMATION:			
Vater depth above sample [m]: 10 ~		Water surface	
Sediment sample depth [cm]: 0-20 cm		Water depth above sample	
Collection device: \Box stainless steel scoop; \Box corer; \Box sample \exists other:	er for suspended sediment;	Sediment sample depth [cm]	
Sample type: \square composite – number of subsamples: <u>3</u>	-	NUS.	
Distance between the first and last sampling site? [m]:	30 m		
Sample replicate collected? YES or NO	Replicate ID/na	me:	
Sample is duplicated? □ YES or ②№O			
SAMPLE INFORMATION:			
Sampling volume estimated, wet weight [liter]: $O_1 G \mathcal{L}$			
Emperature of sample (field observation, right after samp	bling) [°C]:		
Sediment pH (undisturbed): Sediment pH (post-homogenization):			
Colour (Munsell soil colour chart number):			
Fexture (particle size description):			
Ddour: □ none; Ølight; □ strong; □ earthy; Ømildewed; □ putrid; □ farm slurry; □ fishy; □aron	natic;□sewage;□fuel/oil		
nformation on sediment components (seashells, animals, p	peat, wood, tar, stones, was	e, plastics, etc.):	
Sample photograph identification:			
Additional comments (e.g. map of the sampling site):			
	1		
Sampler name (readable): S	Signature:	-	

Page 2 | 2 Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)









FIELD OBSERVATION SHEET FOR SEDIMENT SAMPLING

APPENDIX 3 OF THE SIMONA SEDIMENT QUALITY SAMPLING PROTOCOL

MONITOR	ING PROGRAMME/ SAM	PLING PROJEC	T INFORMATION:		
Project name: SIMONA Sample identifier (ID): WP6_NS_BS					
Collection	date (DD/MM/YYYY): ZC	10812020	Collection time (HH:MM):		
Sampling	matrix: Stream/bottom sed	iment; 🗆 suspended	l sediment; 🗆 other (floodpl	lain sediment,):	
Sampling:	Gaccredited; not accredit	ed	Sampling standard:	150 5667-12:2019	
MONITOR	ING SITE IDENTIFICATIO	DN:	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		
Monitoring	Site ID (WISE-SoE):		Monitoring Site ID	(national): 42035	
Name of the Novi	ne Monitoring Site (e.g. nan Scd - Danub	ne of the surface w	ater and the city):	Novi Sad.	
Sample lo) (provide	cation description with spe- map on opposite side):	cific information (bi	ridge, high power electric li	ines, railway line, major road, natural park,	
Type of th other (flo	e monitoring site (can be di odplain,): アンチャンの	fferent from repres	enting waterbody): river	; 🗆 lake; 🗆 wetland;	
Aim of sar □ investiga	npling: □general status; □ re tion site – find contamination	eference site (withon source; Winvestig	out/small anthropogenic so ation site for other:	purces);	
	Latitude: 45°15'4	3"	National	Latitude:	
WGS84	Longitude: 19° 52'	40"	Coordinate system	Longitude:	
MONITOR	ING SITE REPRESENTIN	IG THE FOLLOW	ING WATERBODY ANI	D ITS BASIN:	
Is it the sa If no, desc	me waterbody as the Mon ribe the connection betwee	itoring Site has? en waterbody and r	YES or DNO monitoring site (tributary, r	recipient,):	
Waterbod	y ID (WISE-SoE):		Waterbody ID (r	national): D7	
Name of t	he Waterbody: Danu	oe river			
Type of th	e Waterbody: vriver; 🗆 lake	e; 🗆 wetland; 🗆 coa	stal; 🗆 transitional		
MONITOR	RING SITE CONDITIONS (PART I):			
River widt ⊊∕estimate	h [m]: 500m d; □ measured value	Depth of wate depth [m]:	r estimated average $5 \sim$	Flow rate [m/s]:	
Water terr	iperature [°C]: 23,7	Water electric	al conductivity [µs/cm]:	335,8m5/cm	
Water pH:	7,92	Water transpa	arency (Secchi disk method	d) [cm]: 0,45	
Geology a	nd background value of pa	rent material/litho	logy in the area:		
MONITOR	RING SITE CONDITIONS	PART II):			
Extreme of other:	onditions: Inone; 🗆 floodin	g status; □ ice; □ po	ollution plume; 🗆 contamin	nated coast/bank;	

Page 1 | 2

Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)









Weather conditions: hot; sunny; cloudy; chan	ngeable; 🖓 rainy; 🗆 frosty	
SEDIMENT COLLECTION INFORMATION:		
Water depth above sample [m]: $\exists \sim$	Water surface	
Sediment sample depth [cm]: 0 - 20c~		Water depth above sample
Collection device: \Box stainless steel scoop; \Box /corer; \Box sa \Box other:	ampler for suspended sediment;	Stream bottom
Sample type: 🛛 composite – number of subsamples:	3	No.
Distance between the first and last sampling site? [m]: 30~	
Sample replicate collected? YES or NO	Replicate ID/na	ame:
Sample is duplicated? YES or NO		
SAMPLE INFORMATION:		and the second
Sampling volume estimated, wet weight [liter]: 0,4	2	
Temperature of sample (field observation, right after	sampling) [°C]:	
Sediment pH (undisturbed):	Sediment pH (post-hom	ogenization):
Colour (Munsell soil colour chart number):		
Texture (particle size description):		
Odour: □ none; ⊠light; □ strong; ⊅earthy; □ mildewed; □ putrid; □ farm slurry; □ fishy; □	□aromatic; □ sewage; □ fuel/oil	
Information on sediment components (seashells, anim Sandy sedment.	nals, peat, wood, tar, stones, was	te, plastics, etc.):
Sample photograph identification:		
Additional comments (e.g. map of the sampling site):		
Sampler name (readable):	Signature:	2 -

Page 2 | 2









FIELD OBSERVATION SHEET FOR SEDIMENT SAMPLING

APPENDIX 3 OF THE SIMONA SEDIMENT QUALITY SAMPLING PROTOCOL

MONITOR	ING PROGRAMME/ SAM	PLING PROJEC	T INFORMATION:			
Project name: SIMONA Sample identifier (ID): SDTA_BRBS_01						
Collection date (DD/MM/YYYY): 06/09/2020			Collection	n time (HH:MM):		
Sampling r	matrix: 🗆 stream/bottom sed	iment; 🗆 suspended	d sediment; 🗆 other (flood	lolain sediment,):		
Sampling: Øaccredited; □ not accredited Sampling standard: 150 5 667-12: 2019						
MONITOR	ING SITE IDENTIFICATIO	DN:				
Monitoring	Site ID (WISE-SoE):	IA	Monitoring Site	ID (national): 42306		
Name of th Sample lo) (provide Type of th	he Monitoring Site (e.g. nar Borska Retected cation description with spe- e map on opposite side): Bo e monitoring site (can be di podelain	ne of the surface w iniduay 1 cific information (b nota reta c fferent from repres	ater and the city): atween Rgation ridge, high power electric order bridge new senting waterbody): Priv	a and Slatina : lines, railway line, major road, natural park, ac natural road R165 er; Ilake; I wetland;		
Aim of sar	npling: _general status; _retion site - find contamination _uth Denube Test	eference site (withon source; Movestig	out/small anthropogenic ation site for other:	sources); the SIMONA DTP project.		
-	Latitude: 44° 01' 4	9. 75 "	Nation	al Latitude:		
WGS84	Longitude: 22° 12'	39,18"	Coordinate syste	Longitude:		
MONITOR	RING SITE REPRESENTIN	G THE FOLLOW	ING WATERBODY A	ND ITS BASIN:		
Is it the sa If no, desc	me waterbody as the Mon ribe the connection betwee	itoring Site has? i en waterbody and i	HES or □ NO monitoring site (tributary	, recipient,):		
Waterbody	y ID (WISE-SoE):		Waterbody ID	Waterbody ID (national): BOR_ 01(30)		
Name of the	he Waterbody: Barsha	reka				
Type of th	e Waterbody: 🗗 fiver; 🗆 lake	e; □ wetland; □ coa	stal; 🗆 transitional			
MONITOR	RING SITE CONDITIONS	PART I):	Real States			
River widt	h [m]: 3 ∽ d; □ measured value	Depth of wate depth [m]: O	er estimated average $15 \circ$	Flow rate [m/s]:		
Water tem	perature [°C]: 24.7°	Water electric	al conductivity [µs/cm]:	1704 instem		
Water pH:	4,89	Water transparency (Secchi disk method) [cm]: 70,5m				
Geology a	ind background value of pa	rent material/litho	logy in the area:			
MONITOR	RING SITE CONDITIONS	PART II):				
Extreme c	onditions: Inone; 🗆 floodin	g status; □ ice; □ p	ollution plume; 🗆 contam	inated coast/bank;		

Page 1 | 2

A stream of cooperation



Danube Transnational Programme	For further informa Laboratory and Evalu partnership and the Dar wv	ation on the SIMONA Sampling, ation protocols; on the project, sube Transational Programme: www.interreg-danube.eu/simona
Weather conditions: hot;	angeable; 🗆 rainy; 🗆 frosty	
SEDIMENT COLLECTION INFORMATION:		
Water depth above sample [m]: 0,5 m		Water surface
Sediment sample depth [cm]: 10 cm	Water depth above sample	
Collection device: $ arrow stainless steel scoop; \Box corer; \Box \Box other: $	tainless steel scoop; □ corer; □ sampler for suspended sediment;	
Sample type: Øcomposite – number of subsamples:	5	
Distance between the first and last sampling site?	[m]: 25m	
Sample replicate collected? YES or NO	Replicate	ID/name:
Sample is duplicated? YES or NO		
SAMPLE INFORMATION:		
Sampling volume estimated, wet weight [liter]: 30	Oge	
Temperature of sample (field observation, right afte	r sampling) [°C]: 24, 5*	
Sediment pH (undisturbed);	Sediment pH (post	-homogenization):
Colour (Munsell soil colour chart number):		
Texture (particle size description):		
Odour: ☑none; □ light; □ strong; □ earthy; □ mildewed; □ putrid; □ farm slurry; □ fishy;	□aromatic; □ sewage; □ fuel	I/oil
Information on sediment components (seashells, ani A few small peobles are	mals, peat, wood, tar, stones	s, waste, plastics, etc.):
Sample photograph identification:		
Additional comments (e.g. map of the sampling site)		
Sampler name (readable): M Mananali C	Signature:	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

Page 2 | 2 Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)









FIELD OBSERVATION SHEET FOR SEDIMENT SAMPLING

APPENDIX 3 OF THE SIMONA SEDIMENT QUALITY SAMPLING PROTOCOL

MONITOR	RING PROGRAMME/ SAN	PLING PROJECT	INFORMATION:	
Project na	me: SIMONA	A Sample identifier (ID): SDTA_BRFP_01		
Collection	date (DD/MM/YYYY): 06	DD/MM/YYYY): 08/03/2020 Collection time (HH:MM): 03:41		
Sampling	matrix: 🗆 stream/bottom sed	liment; 🗆 suspended	sediment; Vother (floodpla	ain sediment,):
Sampling:	⊘accredited; □ not accredit	ed	Sampling standard:)	50 5667-12:2019
MONITOR	RING SITE IDENTIFICATI	ON:		
Monitoring Site ID (WISE-SoE):			Monitoring Site ID	(national): 42306
Name of the Sample lo) (provide Type of the	he Monitoring Site (e.g. nar ca reka midukuy cation description with spe map on opposite side): "B e monitoring site (can be di udalaja di	ne of the surface wa between cific information (bri riska teka (fferent from represe	iter and the city): Rgatina aid Stat idge, high power electric lin under bidge n enting waterbody): Priver;	non nes, railway line, major road, natural park, ear national road R165 lake; lawetland;
Aim of sar	npling: _general status; _r tion site - find contaminatio Danse Test area Latitude: 44° 01'	eference site (withon n source; Withoustiga 5 - mp/1:09 pr 52,40	ut/small anthropogenic son ition site for other: regreen for SIMC National	urces); DNA DTP project. Latitude:
WGS84	Longitude: 22 " 12'	41.34	Coordinate system	Longitude:
MONITOF	RING SITE REPRESENTIN	NG THE FOLLOW	ING WATERBODY AND	ITS BASIN:
Is it the sa If no, desc	me waterbody as the Mon cribe the connection betwe	itoring Site has? en waterbody and m	YES or □ NO nonitoring site (tributary, re	ecipient,):
Waterbod	y ID (WISE-SoE):		Waterbody ID (na	ational): Bol-01(90)
Name of t	he Waterbody: Bonstea	reta		
Type of th	e Waterbody: Øriver; □ lak	e; □ wetland; □ coas	tal; 🗆 transitional	
MONITOR	RING SITE CONDITIONS	(PART I):		
River widt	h [m]: 3 ↔ d; □ measured value	Depth of water depth [m]:	estimated average	Flow rate [m/s]: □ estimated; □ measured value
Water tem	perature [°C]:	Water electrica	al conductivity [µs/cm]:	
Water pH:		Water transpar	rency (Secchi disk method) [cm]:
Geology a	and background value of pa	arent material/lithol	ogy in the area:	
MONITOR	RING SITE CONDITIONS	(PART II):		
Extreme of other:	onditions: Thone; 🗆 floodir	ng status; □ ice; □ po	llution plume; □ contamina	ated coast/bank;

Page 1 | 2

A stream of cooperation







Weather conditions: hot; Øsunny; cloudy; char	ngeable; 🗆 rainy; 🗆 frosty	
SEDIMENT COLLECTION INFORMATION:		Bar Barlan
Water depth above sample [m]:		Water surface
Sediment sample depth [cm]: 30cm		Water depth above sample [m] Stream bottom Stream bottom
Collection device: □ stainless steel scoop;		
Sample type: Composite – number of subsamples: 5		
Distance between the first and last sampling site? [m]: 25m	
Sample replicate collected? VES or NO	Replicate ID/na	ame:
Sample is duplicated?		
SAMPLE INFORMATION:		
Sampling volume estimated, wet weight [liter]:		
Temperature of sample (field observation, right after	sampling) [°C]:	
Sediment pH (undisturbed):	Sediment pH (post-hom	ogenization):
Colour (Munsell soil colour chart number):		
Texture (particle size description):		
Odour: ☑none; □ light; □ strong; □ earthy; □ mildewed; □ putrid; □ farm slurry; □ fishy; □	□aromatic; □ sewage; □ fuel/oil	
Information on sediment components (seashells, anim Small stores visible -	nals, peat, wood, tar, stones, was	te, plastics, etc.):
Sample photograph identification:		
Additional comments (e.g. map of the sampling site):	1	
Sampler name (readable):	Signature:	1

Page 2 | 2 Project co-funded by the European Union [ERDF, IPA and ENI]









FIELD OBSERVATION SHEET FOR SEDIMENT SAMPLING

APPENDIX 3 OF THE SIMONA SEDIMENT QUALITY SAMPLING PROTOCOL

MONITOR	ING PROGRAMME/ SAN	IPLING PROJEC	T INFORMATION:		
Project na	me: SIMONA		Sample identifier (ID):	SDTA_TIBS_01	
Collection	date (DD/MM/YYYY): OB	1031 2020	Collection time (HH:MM):		
Sampling r	natrix: 🕼 stream/bottom sed	iment;□suspended	d sediment;□other (floodpla	ain sediment,):	
Sampling:	Øaccredited; □ not accredit	ed	Sampling standard:		
MONITOR	ING SITE IDENTIFICATIO	DN:	1. The lack		
Monitoring Site ID (WISE-SoE):			Monitoring Site ID	(national):	
Name of th	ne Monitoring Site (e.g. nar 16 K	ne of the surface w	ater and the city):		
Sample lo) (provide	cation description with spenning on opposite side): $\neg \gamma_s$	cific information (b	ridge, high power electric lin	nes, railway line, major road, natural park, .d R169 and small beach.	
Type of the other (flo	e monitoring site (can be di odplain,):	fferent from repres	senting waterbody): 🖉 river;	□ lake; □ wetland;	
Aim of san	npling: general status; r tion site – find contamination	eference site (with n source; i investig	out/small anthropogenic sol gation site for other:	urces);	
	Latitude: 44° 06'	14,3*	National	Latitude:	
WGS84	Longitude: 22° 34	13,5"	Coordinate system	Longitude:	
MONITOR	ING SITE REPRESENTIN	IG THE FOLLOW	VING WATERBODY AND	TTS BASIN:	
Is it the sa If no, desc	me waterbody as the Mon ribe the connection betwee	itoring Site has? (en waterbody and)	ƳYES or □ NO monitoring site (tributary, re	ecipient,):	
Waterbody	ID (WISE-SoE):		Waterbody ID (n	ational): TIM_Z	
Name of th	ne Waterbody: TIMOK	_			
Type of th	e Waterbody: Priver; 🗆 lake	e; 🗆 wetland; 🗆 coa	stal; 🗆 transitional		
MONITOR	ING SITE CONDITIONS	PART I):			
River width [m]: 25 ∽ Depth of water esti Zestimated: □ measured value depth [m]: 1,5 ∽		s ~ I	Flow rate [m/s]: = estimated; = measured value		
Water tem	perature [°C]: 2011	Water electric	cal conductivity [µs/cm]:	1075 ~51	
Water pH:	7.3	Water transpa	arency (Secchi disk method) [cm]:	
Geology a	nd background value of pa	rent material/litho	logy in the area:		
MONITOR	ING SITE CONDITIONS	PART II):			
Extreme c	onditions: 🗸 none; 🗆 floodin	g status; □ ice; □ p	ollution plume; 🗆 contamina	ated coast/bank;	

Page 1 | 2

Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)

A stream of cooperation







Weather conditions: □ hot; Ø sunny; □ cloudy; □ chang	geable; □ rainy; □ frosty	
SEDIMENT COLLECTION INFORMATION:		
Water depth above sample [m]: $\Lambda_{\sim\sim}$		Water surface
Sediment sample depth [cm]: a -10cm		Water depth above sample [m] Stream bottom
Collection device: \Box stainless steel scoop; $ adjicorer; \Box$ sai \Box other:		
Sample type: 🛛 composite – number of subsamples:	2	
Distance between the first and last sampling site? [m	n]: 25~	
Sample replicate collected? YES or NO	Replicate ID/na	me:
Sample is duplicated? □ YES or Q/NO		
SAMPLE INFORMATION:		
Sampling volume estimated, wet weight [liter]: $\bigcirc_i 3$ (2	
Temperature of sample (field observation, right after s	ampling) [°C]:	
Sediment pH (undisturbed):	Sediment pH (post-homo	ogenization):
Colour (Munsell soil colour chart number):		
Texture (particle size description):		
Odour: □ none; Ølight; □ strong; Øearthy; □ mildewed; □ putrid; □ farm slurry; □ fishy; □k	aromatic; □ sewage; □ fuel/oil	
Information on sediment components (seashells, animation of seast and sead	als, peat, wood, tar, stones, was	te, plastics, etc.):
Sample photograph identification:	1	
Additional comments (e.g. map of the sampling site):		
Sampler name (readable):	Signature:	

Page 2 | 2 Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)







FIELD OBSERVATION SHEET FOR SEDIMENT SAMPLING

APPENDIX 3 OF THE SIMONA SEDIMENT QUALITY SAMPLING PROTOCOL

MONITOR	ING PROGRAMME/ SAME	PLING PROJECT	INFORMATION:	
Project na	me: SIMONA		Sample identifier (ID):	SDTA-TIBS-02
Collection	date (DD/MM/YYYY):	10912020	Collection ti	ime (HH:MM):
Sampling	matrix: 🛛 stream/bottom sedir	nent;□suspended	sediment; other (floodpla	ain sediment,):
Sampling:	⊿accredited; □ not accredite	d	Sampling standard:	
MONITOR	RING SITE IDENTIFICATIO	N:		
Monitoring Site ID (WISE-SoE): Monitoring Site ID (national):			(national):	
Name of th	he Monitoring Site (e.g. nam	e of the surface wa	ater and the city):	
Sample lo) (provide	cation description with species map on opposite side): $T_{\rm VPD}$	fic information (br	idge, high power electric lin	nes, railway line, major road, natural park, d R169 and small beach
Type of th	e monitoring site (can be difi podplain,):	ferent from repres	enting waterbody): 🛛 river;	□ lake; □ wetland;
Aim of sar □ investiga	npling: _ general status; _ retains the status of the status of the state of the	ference site (witho source; Zinvestig	out/small anthropogenic so ation site for other:	urces);
	Latitude: 44° oc'	14.3"	National	Latitude:
WGS84	Longitude: 22° 34'	13,5"	Coordinate system	Longitude:
MONITOR	RING SITE REPRESENTIN	G THE FOLLOW	ING WATERBODY AND	TTS BASIN:
ls it the sa If no, desc	ame waterbody as the Monit cribe the connection betwee	oring Site has? n waterbody and n	YES or □ NO nonitoring site (tributary, re	ecipient,):
Waterbod	y ID (WISE-SoE):		Waterbody ID (n	ational): TIM-Z
Name of t	he Waterbody: TIMOK			
Type of th	e Waterbody: @river; 🗆 lake;	; 🗆 wetland; 🗆 coas	stal; 🗆 transitional	
MONITOR	RING SITE CONDITIONS (F	PART I):		
River widt	h [m]: d;⊡measured value 25 m	Depth of wate depth [m]:)	r estimated average $\sqrt{S} \sim 0$	Flow rate [m/s]: = estimated; = measured value
Water terr	nperature [°C]: Zo,)	Water electric	al conductivity [µs/cm]:	107515/cm
Water pH:	7,3	Water transpa	rency (Secchi disk method	i) [cm]:
Geology a	and background value of par	ent material/lithol	logy in the area:	
MONITOF	RING SITE CONDITIONS (F	PART II):		
Extreme of other:	conditions: Enone; 🗆 flooding	g status; □ ice; □ po	ollution plume; 🗆 contamin	ated coast/bank;

Page 1 | 2

Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)







Weather conditions: □ hot; ↓ sunny; □ cloudy; □ change	eable; □ rainy; □ frosty	
SEDIMENT COLLECTION INFORMATION:	State and State	
Water depth above sample [m]: $1 \sim 1 \sim 1$		Water surface
Sediment sample depth [cm]: 10-25em		Water depth above sample
Collection device: \Box stainless steel scoop; $\overline{\Box}$ corer; \Box sam \Box other:	npler for suspended sediment;	Stream bottom
Sample type: \square composite – number of subsamples: _2		1988-C
Distance between the first and last sampling site? [m]]: 25m	
Sample replicate collected? □ YES or ☑ NO	Replicate ID/na	me:
Sample is duplicated? I YES or NO		
SAMPLE INFORMATION:		
Sampling volume estimated, wet weight [liter]: $\bigcirc_i 3 Q$		
Temperature of sample (field observation, right after sa	ampling) [°C]:	
Sediment pH (undisturbed):	Sediment pH (post-home	ogenization):
Colour (Munsell soil colour chart number):		
Texture (particle size description):		
Odour: □ none; ⊠light; □ strong; ⊠earthy; □ mildewed; □ putrid; □ farm slurry; □ fishy; □ar	romatic; □ sewage; □ fuel/oil	
Information on sediment components (seashells, animal Some peat and Sond	ls, peat, wood, tar, stones, was	te, plastics, etc.):
Sample photograph identification:		,
Additional comments (e.g. map of the sampling site):		
Sampler name (readable):	Signature:	0

Page 2 | 2 Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)









FIELD OBSERVATION SHEET FOR SEDIMENT SAMPLING

APPENDIX 3 OF THE SIMONA SEDIMENT QUALITY SAMPLING PROTOCOL

	ING PROGRAMME/ SAM	IPLING PROJECT	T INFORMATION:		
Project na	me: SIMOVA		Sample identifier (ID)	SDTA-TIBS_03	
Collection	date (DD/MM/YYYY): 08	050512020	Collection time (HH:MM):		
Sampling r	matrix: Østream/bottom sed	iment; □ suspended	sediment; other (floodpl	ain sediment,):	
Sampling:	accredited; □ not accredit	ed	Sampling standard:		
MONITOR	ING SITE IDENTIFICATIO	DN:			
Monitoring Site ID (WISE-SoE):			Monitoring Site ID	(national):	
Name of th	ne Monitoring Site (e.g. nan	ne of the surface wa	ater and the city):		
Sample loo) (provide	cation description with spe map on opposite side):	cific information (br	idge, high power electric li	nes, railway line, major road, natural park	
Type of the other (flo	e monitoring site (can be di oodplain,):	fferent from repres	enting waterbody): Criver	; 🗆 lake; 🗆 wetland;	
Aim of san	npling: general status; npling: find contamination	eference site (witho n source; 🖬 nvestig	out/small anthropogenic so ation site for other:	urces);	
	Latitude: 44° 06'	14,3"	National	Latitude:	
WGS84	Latitude: 44° 06' Longitude: 22° 34'	14,3"	National Coordinate system	Latitude: Longitude:	
WGS84	Latitude: 44° c6' Longitude: 22° 34'	14, 3" 13, 5" NG THE FOLLOW	National Coordinate system	Latitude: Longitude: D ITS BASIN:	
WGS84 MONITOR Is it the sa If no, desc	Latitude: 44° c6' Longitude: 22° 34' RING SITE REPRESENTIN me waterbody as the Mon cribe the connection betwee	ולי, ש" וש, שי NG THE FOLLOW itoring Site has? ב en waterbody and n	National Coordinate system /ING WATERBODY ANI PYES or D NO monitoring site (tributary, r	Latitude: Longitude: D ITS BASIN: recipient,):	
WGS84 MONITOR Is it the sa If no, desc Waterbody	Latitude: 44° c6' Longitude: 22° 34' RING SITE REPRESENTIN Ime waterbody as the Mon ribe the connection betwee y ID (WISE-SoE):	ולק שיי אס דאב FOLLOW itoring Site has? ב en waterbody and n	National Coordinate system /ING WATERBODY ANI PYES or D NO monitoring site (tributary, r Waterbody ID (r	Latitude: Longitude: DITS BASIN: recipient,):	
WGS84 MONITOR Is it the sa If no, desc Waterbody Name of th	Latitude: 44° c6' Longitude: 22° 34' RING SITE REPRESENTIN me waterbody as the Mon cribe the connection betwee y ID (WISE-SoE): the Waterbody: TIMOK	14,3" 13,5" NG THE FOLLOW itoring Site has? If en waterbody and not	National Coordinate system /ING WATERBODY ANI YES or \Box NO monitoring site (tributary, r Waterbody ID (r	Latitude: Longitude: DITS BASIN: recipient,): national): TIM_Z	
WGS84 MONITOR Is it the sa If no, desc Waterbody Name of th Type of th	Latitude: 44° c6' Longitude: 22° 34' RING SITE REPRESENTIN me waterbody as the Mon cribe the connection betwee y ID (WISE-SoE): the Waterbody: TIMOK e Waterbody: Øriver; Diaka)4, 3" 13,5" NG THE FOLLOW itoring Site has? ⊑ en waterbody and n < e; □ wetland; □ coas	National Coordinate system /ING WATERBODY ANI YES or \Box NO monitoring site (tributary, r Waterbody ID (r stal; \Box transitional	Latitude: Longitude: DITS BASIN: recipient,): national): TIM_Z	
WGS84 MONITOR Is it the sa If no, desc Waterbody Name of th Type of th MONITOR	Latitude: 44° c6' Longitude: 22° 34' RING SITE REPRESENTIN me waterbody as the Mon sribe the connection between y ID (WISE-SoE): the Waterbody: T1MOK the Waterbody: @river; D lake RING SITE CONDITIONS	NG THE FOLLOW itoring Site has? en waterbody and n	National Coordinate system /ING WATERBODY ANI 2YES or \Box NO monitoring site (tributary, r Waterbody ID (r stal; \Box transitional	Latitude: Longitude: DITS BASIN: recipient,): national): T(M_Z	
WGS84 MONITOR Is it the sa If no, desc Waterbody Name of th Type of th MONITOR River widt	Latitude: 44° ∞6° Longitude: 22° 34° RING SITE REPRESENTIN Imme waterbody as the Mon ribe the connection between y ID (WISE-SoE): the Waterbody: T1MOK e Waterbody: ©river; □ lake RING SITE CONDITIONS (h [m]: 25 ~ d; □ measured value	I ¹ 4, 3 ^{°°} I ³ , 5 ^{°°} I G THE FOLLOW itoring Site has? en waterbody and n c e; □ wetland; □ coas (PART I): Depth of wate depth [m]:	National Coordinate system /ING WATERBODY ANI @YES or □ NO monitoring site (tributary, r Waterbody ID (r stal; □ transitional r estimated average 4, 5 ~	Latitude: Longitude: D ITS BASIN: recipient,): national): T(M_Z Flow rate [m/s]: □ estimated; □ measured value	
WGS84 MONITOR Is it the sa If no, desc Waterbody Name of th Type of th MONITOR River widt Qestimate Water tem	Latitude: 44° c6' Longitude: 22° 34' RING SITE REPRESENTIN me waterbody as the Mon sribe the connection between y ID (WISE-SoE): the Waterbody: TIMOK the Waterbody: Wriver; Diakon RING SITE CONDITIONS of h [m]: 25 ~ d; Demeasured value perature [°C]: 20,1	14,3" 13,5" NG THE FOLLOW itoring Site has? If en waterbody and n ≤ e; □ wetland; □ coast (PART I): Depth of wate depth [m]: Water electrics	National Coordinate system /ING WATERBODY ANI ZYES or \Box NO monitoring site (tributary, r Waterbody ID (r stal; \Box transitional r estimated average $A, \leq \infty$ al conductivity [µs/cm]:	Latitude: Longitude: DITS BASIN: recipient,): national): T(M_ Z Flow rate [m/s]: estimated; I measured value AOTS MS1cm	
WGS84 MONITOR Is it the sa If no, desc Waterbody Name of th Type of th MONITOR River widt Qestimate Water term Water pH:	Latitude: 44° c6' Longitude: 22° 34' RING SITE REPRESENTIN me waterbody as the Mon bribe the connection between y ID (WISE-SoE): the Waterbody: TIMOK the Waterbody: TIMOK the Waterbody: Griver; I lake RING SITE CONDITIONS (h [m]: 25 ~ d; I measured value apperature [°C]: 20,1 7,3	14,3" 13,5" NG THE FOLLOW itoring Site has? If en waterbody and n (PART I): Depth of water depth [m]: Water electric: Water transpare	National Coordinate system /ING WATERBODY ANI AFES or □ NO monitoring site (tributary, r Waterbody ID (r stal; □ transitional r estimated average 4, 5 ~ al conductivity [µs/cm]: urency (Secchi disk method	Latitude: Longitude: DITS BASIN: recipient,): national): $T(MZ)$ Flow rate [m/s]: \Box estimated; \Box measured value $Ao7 \le m \le 1$ cm t) [cm]:	

Extreme conditions: \square none; \square flooding status; \square ice; \square pollution plume; \square contaminated coast/bank; \square other:

Page 1 | 2

Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)







Weather conditions:	geable; 🗆 rainy; 🗆 frosty	
SEDIMENT COLLECTION INFORMATION:		
Water depth above sample [m]: 1/m		Water surface
Sediment sample depth [cm]: 25-50cm		Water depth above sample
Collection device: \Box stainless steel scoop; \Box corer; \Box sat \Box other:	Stream bottom	
Sample type: 🛱 composite – number of subsamples:	2	1980au
Distance between the first and last sampling site? [m	n]: 25m	
Sample replicate collected? YES or NO	Replicate ID/n	ame:
Sample is duplicated? I YES or NO		
SAMPLE INFORMATION:		
Sampling volume estimated, wet weight [liter]: 0,30	2	
Temperature of sample (field observation, right after s	ampling) [°C]:	
Sediment pH (undisturbed):	Sediment pH (post-hom	ogenization):
Colour (Munsell soil colour chart number):		
Texture (particle size description):		
Odour: □ none; Ølight; □ strong; Øearthy; □ mildewed; □ putrid; □ farm slurry; □ fishy; □:	aromatic; □ sewage; □ fuel/oil	
Information on sediment components (seashells, anima	als, peat, wood, tar, stones, wa	ste, plastics, etc.):
Some peat and sond are	present in the s.	emple.
Sample photograph identification:		•
Additional comments (e.g. map of the sampling site):		
Sampler name (readable):	Signature: 0.1	/

Page 2 | 2 Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)







FIELD OBSERVATION SHEET FOR SEDIMENT SAMPLING

APPENDIX 3 OF THE SIMONA SEDIMENT QUALITY SAMPLING PROTOCOL

MONITORING PROGRAMM	E/ SAMPLING PROJECT	INFORMATION:		
Project name: SIMONA	÷	Sample identifier (ID):	SDTA_TIBS_04	
Collection date (DD/MM/YYYY	1:08/09/2020	Collection time (HH:MM): 12:30		
Sampling matrix: Stream/bot	tom sediment; □ suspended	sediment; other (floodpla	ain sediment,):	
Sampling: Vaccredited; 🗆 not	accredited	Sampling standard:	150 5667-12:2019	
MONITORING SITE IDENTI	FICATION:			
Monitoring Site ID (WISE-So	E):	Monitoring Site ID	(national):	
Name of the Monitoring Site	(e.g. name of the surface wa	ter and the city):		
Sample location description) (provide map on opposite si	with specific information (bri de): Timok river ne	idge, high power electric lir eer national rodd	nes, railway line, major road, natural park, R169 and small brach.	
Type of the monitoring site (o □ other (floodplain,):	an be different from represe	enting waterbody): Priver;	□ lake; □ wetland;	
Aim of sampling: general sta investigation site – find conta	atus; 🗆 reference site (without imination source; Øinvestigation source)	ut/small anthropogenic sou ation site for other:	simona DTP project.	
Latitude: 44°	06' 14.3"	National	Latitude:	
WGS84 Longitude: ZZ [®]	34' 13,5"	Coordinate system	Longitude:	
MONITORING SITE REPRE	SENTING THE FOLLOW	ING WATERBODY AND	ITS BASIN:	
Is it the same waterbody as t If no, describe the connection	he Monitoring Site has? 🖟 n between waterbody and m	HES or □ NO nonitoring site (tributary, re	ecipient,):	
Waterbody ID (WISE-SoE):		Waterbody ID (na	ational): TIM_Z	
Name of the Waterbody: $ au_1$	MOK			
Type of the Waterbody: Prive	er; □ lake; □ wetland; □ coas	tal; 🗆 transitional		
MONITORING SITE CONDI	TIONS (PART I):			
River width [m]: 25 ↔ Depth of water Destimated; □ measured value depth [m]: 1, 1		s estimated average	Flow rate [m/s]: ∃estimated;□measured value	
Water temperature [°C]: 2	o, ۱ • Water electrica	al conductivity [µs/cm]:	1075 ms/cm	
Water pH: 7,3	Water transpar	rency (Secchi disk method) [cm]:	
Geology and background val	ue of parent material/lithole	ogy in the area:		
MONITORING SITE CONDI	TIONS (PART II):			
Extreme conditions: Inone; I	∃ flooding status; □ ice; □ po	llution plume; □ contamina	ated coast/bank;	

Page 1 | 2 Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)







Weather conditions: \Box hot; \Box /sunny; \Box cloudy; \Box changea	ble; 🗆 rainy; 🗆 frosty	
SEDIMENT COLLECTION INFORMATION:		
Water depth above sample [m]: $1 \sim$		Water surface
Sediment sample depth [cm]: 30cm		Water depth above sample
Collection device: \Box stainless steel scoop; $ ot\!\!\!/ corer$; \Box sampler for suspended sediment; \Box other:		Stream bottom
Sample type: 🖉 composite – number of subsamples: <u>3</u>	_	Established
Distance between the first and last sampling site? [m]:	30m	
Sample replicate collected? YES or NO	Replicate ID/na	me:
Sample is duplicated? YES or NO		
SAMPLE INFORMATION:		
Sampling volume estimated, wet weight [liter]: 3005	r	
Temperature of sample (field observation, right after sam	pling) [°C]: 20" -	
Sediment pH (undisturbed): Sediment pH (post-homogenization):		genization):
Colour (Munsell soil colour chart number):		
Texture (particle size description):		
Odour: □ none; □ light; □ strong; ⊉earthy; □ mildewed; □ putrid; □ farm slurry; □ fishy; □arou	matic; □ sewage; □ fuel/oil	
Information on sediment components (seashells, animals,	peat, wood, tar, stones, wast	e, plastics, etc.):
Some peat and sand are prese	in the same	ple.
Sample photograph identification:		
Additional comments (e.g. map of the sampling site):		
Sampler name (readable):	Signature:	/

Page 2 | 2 Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)







FIELD OBSERVATION SHEET FOR SEDIMENT SAMPLING

APPENDIX 3 OF THE SIMONA SEDIMENT QUALITY SAMPLING PROTOCOL

MONITOR	RING PROGRAMME/ SA	MPLING PROJEC	T INFORMATION:		
Project na	me: SIMONA		Sample identifier (II): SDTA_TIFP_01	
Collection	date (DD/MM/YYYY): OF	10912020	Collection time (HH:MM):		
Sampling r	matrix: 🗆 stream/bottom s	ediment;□suspended	sediment; Pother (flood	plain sediment,):	
Sampling:	accredited; not accred	lited	Sampling standard:	150 5667-12 2013	
MONITOR	RING SITE IDENTIFICAT	ION:			
Monitoring Site ID (WISE-SoE): N/A			Monitoring Site	D (national):	
Name of the	he Monitoring Site (e.g. n	ame of the surface w	ater and the city):		
Sample lo) (provide Type of the other (fic	cation description with sp map on opposite side): e monitoring site (can be podplain,):	ecific information (bi Timale river r different from repres	ridge, high power electric New national road enting waterbody): (7 five	lines, railway line, major road, natural parl R163 and songli beach. er; 🗆 lake; 🗆 wetland;	
□ investiga	tion site – find contaminat	ion source; Dinvestig	ation site for other:	DTP SIMONA project.	
	Latitude: 44' 06'	14.3"	Nation	Latitude:	
WGS84	Longitude: 22° 34'	13, 5"	Coordinate syste	m Longitude:	
MONITOR	RING SITE REPRESENT	ING THE FOLLOW	ING WATERBODY AN	ID ITS BASIN:	
Is it the sa If no, desc	me waterbody as the Mo cribe the connection betw	onitoring Site has?	YES or DNO nonitoring site (tributary,	recipient,):	
Waterbody	y ID (WISE-SoE):		Waterbody ID (national):		
Name of th	he Waterbody: TIMO	K			
Type of th	e Waterbody: ☑ river; □ la	ke; \Box wetland; \Box coas	stal; 🗆 transitional		
MONITOF	RING SITE CONDITIONS	(PART I):			
	r width [m]: 25~ Depth of water estimated imated;		r estimated average	Flow rate [m/s]:	
River widt	d; 🗆 measured value				
River widti Øestimater Water tem	d; □ measured value nperature [°C]:	Water electric	al conductivity [µs/cm]:		
River widt Vestimater Water tem Water pH:	d; □ measured value pperature [°C]:	Water electric Water transpa	al conductivity [µs/cm]: arency (Secchi disk metho	od) [cm]:	

Extreme conditions: \square none; \square flooding status; \square ice; \square pollution plume; \square contaminated coast/bank; \square other:

Page 1 | 2

Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)







Weather conditions: \Box hot; $\int sunny; \Box$ cloudy; \Box changeable	e; 🗆 rainy; 🗆 frosty	
SEDIMENT COLLECTION INFORMATION:		
Water depth above sample [m]: //		Water surface
Sediment sample depth [cm]: 25cm		Water depth above sample [m] Stream bottom Sediment sample depth [cm]
Collection device: \Box stainless steel scoop; \Box corer; \Box sampler for suspended sediment; \Box other:		
Sample type:		
Distance between the first and last sampling site? [m]: 2	Sm	
Sample replicate collected? YES or NO	Replicate ID/na	me:
Sample is duplicated? □ YES or □ NO		
SAMPLE INFORMATION:		
Sampling volume estimated, wet weight [liter]:		
Temperature of sample (field observation, right after sampli	ing) [°C]:	
Sediment pH (undisturbed): Sediment pH (post-homogenization):		genization):
Colour (Munsell soil colour chart number):		
Texture (particle size description): sandy texture ,	with some fire par	ticles present.
Odour: ☑none; □ light; □ strong; □ earthy; □ mildewed; □ putrid; □ farm slurry; □ fishy; □aroma	atic; □ sewage; □ fuel/oil	
Information on sediment components (seashells, animals, per Small stores present.	eat, wood, tar, stones, wast	e, plastics, etc.):
Sample photograph identification:		
Additional comments (e.g. map of the sampling site):		
Sampler name (readable): Sig	gnature: My	x-

Page 2 | 2 Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)







FIELD OBSERVATION SHEET FOR SEDIMENT SAMPLING

APPENDIX 3 OF THE SIMONA SEDIMENT QUALITY SAMPLING PROTOCOL

MONTORING PROGRAMME SA	WPLING PROJECT	INFORMATION:	
Project name: SIMONA		Sample identifier (ID): WP6_RA_BS	
Collection date (DD/MM/YYYY): 31	10812020	Collection time (HH:MM):	
Sampling matrix: 🖬 stream/bottom see	diment; □ suspended	sediment; 🗆 other (floodpla	in sediment,):
Sampling: accredited; not accredi	ted	Sampling standard: 150 5667-12:2019	
MONITORING SITE IDENTIFICATI	ON:		
Monitoring Site ID (WISE-SoE):		Monitoring Site ID (national):	
Name of the Monitoring Site (e.g. na	me of the surface wa	ater and the city):	
Sample location description with spe	ecific information (br	idge, high power electric lin	es, railway line, major road, natural par
) (provide map on opposite side): I	Doube riv	er prior to the	e confluence of the Nera
Type of the monitoring site (can be d	ifferent from repres	enting waterbody): river;	□ lake; □ wetland;
Aim of sampling: general status;	reference site (witho	ut/small anthropogenic sou	irces);
investigation site – find contamination	on source; Investigation	ation site for other:	
Latitude: 44° 491	17"	National	Latitude:
WGS84 Longitude: 21' 20' 16"		Coordinate system	Longitude:
MONITORING SITE REPRESENTI	NG THE FOLLOW	ING WATERBODY AND	ITS BASIN:
Is it the same waterbody as the Mon If no, describe the connection betwee	nitoring Site has? een waterbody and n	YYES or □ NO nonitoring site (tributary, re	cipient,):
Waterbody ID (WISE-SoE):	Waterbody ID (national): D4		
	be river		
Name of the Waterbody: Danote			
Type of the Waterbody: ☐ Danoba	ke; □ wetland; □ coas	tal; 🗆 transitional	
Name of the Waterbody: Days Type of the Waterbody: Øriver; □ lak MONITORING SITE CONDITIONS	xe; □ wetland; □ coas (PART I):	tal; □ transitional	
Name of the Waterbody: Danote Type of the Waterbody: ⊄river; □ lak MONITORING SITE CONDITIONS River width [m]: SOU m Sestimated; □ measured value	(PART I): Depth of water depth [m]: 15	r estimated average	Flow rate [m/s]: 1 estimated; 🗆 measured value
Name of the Waterbody: Danote Type of the Waterbody: Priver; □ lak MONITORING SITE CONDITIONS River width [m]: SOU m Sestimated; □ measured value Water temperature [*C]: 24,7	(PART I): Depth of water depth [m]: 15 Water electrica	r estimated average τ al conductivity [μs/cm]:	Flow rate [m/s]: □estimated; □ measured value ろらら、ら
Name of the Waterbody: Danote Type of the Waterbody: priver; □ lak MONITORING SITE CONDITIONS River width [m]: SOL m ©festimated; □ measured value Water temperature [°C]: 24,7 Water pH: 7,81	(PART I): Depth of water depth [m]: 15 Water electrica Water transpa	r estimated average α conductivity [μs/cm]: rency (Secchi disk method)	Flow rate $[m/s]$: Destimated; \Box measured value 364, 6 [cm]: $6, 65 \infty$
Name of the Waterbody: Danote Type of the Waterbody: Priver; □ lak MONITORING SITE CONDITIONS River width [m]: SOL m Sestimated; □ measured value Water temperature [°C]: 24,7 Water pH: 7,81 Geology and background value of p	(PART I): Depth of water depth [m]: 15 Water electrica Water transpa arent material/lithol	r estimated average al conductivity [μs/cm]: rency (Secchi disk method) ogy in the area:	Flow rate $[m/s]$: Destimated; \Box measured value 364, 6 $[cm]: 0, 65 \infty$

Extreme conditions: \heartsuit none; \Box flooding status; \Box ice; \Box pollution plume; \Box contaminated coast/bank; \Box other:

Page 1 | 2

Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)









Weather conditions: \Box hot; \Box sunny; \Box cloudy; \Box changes	able; □ rainy; □ frosty	
SEDIMENT COLLECTION INFORMATION:		
Water depth above sample [m]: 10 m		Water surface
Sediment sample depth [cm]: 0-20 cm		Water depth above sample
Collection device: □ stainless steel scoop; ☑ corer; □ sam □ other:	pler for suspended sediment	; Stream bottom Sediment sample depth [cm]
Sample type: Composite – number of subsamples: 3		1920
Distance between the first and last sampling site? [m]:	30 m	
Sample replicate collected? YES or NO	e replicate collected? VES or NO Replicate ID/nam	
Sample is duplicated? YES or NO		
SAMPLE INFORMATION:		
Sampling volume estimated, wet weight [liter]: ${\cal O}_{l}$ ${\cal L}$		
Temperature of sample (field observation, right after sar	npling) [°C]:	
Sediment pH (undisturbed):	Sediment pH (post-homogenization):	
Colour (Munsell soil colour chart number):		
Texture (particle size description):		
Odour: □ none; ∅ light; □ strong; □ earthy; ∅ mildewed; □ putrid; □ farm slurry; □ fishy; □ard	omatic; □ sewage; □ fuel/oil	
Information on sediment components (seashells, animals	s, peat, wood, tar, stones, wa	ste, plastics, etc.):
Sample photograph identification:		
Additional comments (e.g. map of the sampling site):		
	1	
Sampler name (readable):	Signature:	-
1111 Inganovic	vyun	2

1

Page 2 | 2 Project co-hunded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)







FIELD OBSERVATION SHEET FOR SEDIMENT SAMPLING

APPENDIX 3 OF THE SIMONA SEDIMENT QUALITY SAMPLING PROTOCOL

MONITOR	ING PROGRAMME/ SAME	PLING PROJECT	INFORMATION:		
Project nar	me: SIMONA		Sample identifier (ID): WP6_SD_BS		
Collection	date (DD/MM/YYYY): 30	108/2020	Collection time (HH:MM):		
Sampling n	natrix: 🛛 stream/bottom sedir	ment; □ suspended s	ediment; 🗆 other (floodpla	ain sediment,):	
Sampling:	accredited; not accredite	d	Sampling standard: 156 5667-12:2019		
MONITOR	ING SITE IDENTIFICATIO	N:			
Monitoring	Site ID (WISE-SoE):		Monitoring Site ID	(national): 42055	
Name of th	ne Monitoring Site (e.g. nam	e of the surface wat	ter and the city):	the strength and a strength and	
Sample loc) (provide	cation description with speci map on opposite side):	fic information (brid	dge, high power electric lir	nes, railway line, major road, natural park,	
Type of the other (flo	e monitoring site (can be diff odplain,): reservord	ferent from represe	nting waterbody): \Box river;	□ lake; □ wetland;	
Aim of sam	npling: general status; ref tion site – find contamination	ference site (withou source; Ønvestiga	it/small anthropogenic sou tion site for other:	urces);	
	Latitude: 44° 41'	52"	National	Latitude:	
WGS84	Longitude: 20° 57'	25"	Coordinate system	Longitude:	
MONITOR	ING SITE REPRESENTIN	G THE FOLLOWI	NG WATERBODY AND	TTS BASIN:	
Is it the sai If no, desc	me waterbody as the Monit ribe the connection betwee	oring Site has? r n waterbody and m	YES or □ NO onitoring site (tributary, re	ecipient,):	
Waterbody	/ ID (WISE-SoE):		Waterbody ID (na	ational): D5	
Name of th	ne Waterbody: Danube	river			
Type of the	e Waterbody:,⊡∕rîver; □ lake;	; 🗆 wetland; 🗆 coast	al; 🗆 transitional		
MONITOR	ING SITE CONDITIONS (F	PART I):			
River width	n [m]: SSOM d;⊡measured value	Depth of water depth [m]: 3 a	estimated average	Flow rate [m/s]: = estimated; = measured value	
Water tem	perature [°C]: 24,7-	Water electrical conductivity [µs/cm]		364,6	
Water pH:	7,81	Water transpare	ency (Secchi disk method)[cm]: 0,65 ~	
Geology a	nd background value of par	ent material/litholo	egy in the area:		
MONITOR					
Extreme of	anditions: Doone: D flooding	status: Dice: Dool	lution plume: contamina	ated coast/bank:	

🗆 other:

Page 1 | 2 Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)







SEDMENT COLLECTION INFORMATION	Contra Star Star Star	
SEDIMENT COLLECTION INFORMATION:		
Nater depth above sample [m]: 6 m		Water depth
Sediment sample depth [cm]: 0-20cm		above sample [m]
Collection device: □ stainless steel scoop; ∅ corer □ other:	; sampler for suspended sedimen	t; Stream bottom Sediment sample depth [cm]
Sample type: 💭 composite – number of subsample	es: <u>3</u>	The second se
Distance between the first and last sampling si	te?[m]: 30~~	
Sample replicate collected? YES or NO	Replicate ID/	name:
Sample is duplicated? YES or NO		
SAMPLE INFORMATION:		
Sampling volume estimated, wet weight [liter]:	0,42	
Temperature of sample (field observation, right a	after sampling) [°C]:	
Sediment pH (undisturbed):	isturbed): Sediment pH (post-homogenization):	
Colour (Munsell soil colour chart number):		
Texture (particle size description):		
Odour: □ none; □/íght; □ strong; □ earthy; ፬/mildewed; □ putrid; □ farm slurry; □ fis	hy; □aromatic; □ sewage; □ fuel/oil	
Information on sediment components (seashells, Some and and seastells	animals, peat, wood, tar, stones, w present before s	aste, plastics, etc.):
Sample photograph identification:		
Additional comments (e.g. map of the sampling s	ite):	
Sampler name (readable):	Signature:	

Page 2 | 2 Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)









FIELD OBSERVATION SHEET FOR SEDIMENT SAMPLING

APPENDIX 3 OF THE SIMONA SEDIMENT QUALITY SAMPLING PROTOCOL

MONITOR	ING PROGRAMME/ SAM	PLING PROJECT	INFORMATION:		
Project na	me: SIMONA		Sample identifier (ID)	: WP6_LE_BS	
Collection	date (DD/MM/YYYY): 25	08/2020	Collection t	time (HH:MM):	
Sampling	matrix: 🖓 stream/bottom sedi	ment; suspended s	ediment; 🗆 other (floodp	lain sediment,):	
Sampling:	Øaccredited; □ not accredite	ed	Sampling standard: 150 5667-12:2019		
MONITOR	RING SITE IDENTIFICATIO	DN:			
Monitoring	Site ID (WISE-SoE):		Monitoring Site ID) (national):	
Name of the	he Monitoring Site (e.g. nam	e of the surface water	er and the city):	f Ledunci	
Sample lo) (provide	cation description with spec map on opposite side):	ific information (brid	lge, high power electric l	ines, railway line, major road, natural park,	
Type of th Vother (flo	e monitoring site (can be dif oodplain,):	ferent from represer	nting waterbody): 🗆 river	; □ lake; □ wetland;	
Aim of sar □ investiga	npling: □general status; □ re tion site – find contaminatior	ference site (without source; Minvestigat	t/small anthropogenic so ion site for other:	purces);	
	Latitude: 45° 13'	2"	National	Latitude:	
WGS84	Longitude: 19° 48'	13"	Coordinate system	Longitude:	
MONITOR	RING SITE REPRESENTIN	G THE FOLLOWIN	NG WATERBODY AN	D ITS BASIN:	
Is it the sa If no, desc	me waterbody as the Moni cribe the connection betwee	toring Site has? 🕰	YES or □ NO onitoring site (tributary, 1	recipient,):	
Waterbod	y ID (WISE-SoE):		Waterbody ID (r	national): DS	
Name of t	he Waterbody: Denve	e niver	1		
Type of th	e Waterbody: 🗹 river; 🗆 lake	; 🗆 wetland; 🗆 coasta	al; 🗆 transitional		
MONITOR	RING SITE CONDITIONS (PART I):	4		
River widt	h [m]: 650 m d;□ measured value	Depth of water estimated average depth [m]: $S \sim$		Flow rate [m/s]:	
Water tem	nperature [°C]: 23,7-	Water electrical conductivity [µs/cm]: 335,8			
Water pH	7,9	Water transpare	ency (Secchi disk method	d) [cm]: 0,45 m	
Geology a	and background value of pa	rent material/litholo	gy in the area:		
MONITOR	RING SITE CONDITIONS (PART II):			
Extreme of other:	conditions: 🛛 none; 🗆 floodin	g status; □ ice; □ poll	ution plume; 🗆 contamir	nated coast/bank;	

Page 1 | 2

Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)







Veather conditions: □ hot; ↓sunny; □ cloudy; □ changea	able; 🗠 rainy; 🗆 frosty	New According to a second second	
SEDIMENT COLLECTION INFORMATION:		AND STATES	
Water depth above sample [m]: $\leq_{r\gamma}$		Water surface	
Sediment sample depth [cm]: O-10cm		Water depth above sample	
Collection device: \Box stainless steel scoop; \Box /corer; \Box same \Box other:	pler for suspended sediment;	Stream bottom	
Sample type: Composite – number of subsamples: 3			
Distance between the first and last sampling site? [m]:	Som		
Sample replicate collected? VES or NO	Replicate ID/na	me:	
Sample is duplicated? YES or MO			
SAMPLE INFORMATION:			
Sampling volume estimated, wet weight [liter]: 0,4~	-		
Temperature of sample (field observation, right after sam	npling) [°C]:		
Sediment pH (undisturbed):	ndisturbed): Sediment pH (post-homogenization):		
Colour (Munsell soil colour chart number):			
Texture (particle size description):			
Odour: @none; □ light; □ strong; □ earthy; □ mildewed; □ putrid; □ farm slurry; □ fishy; □arc	omatic; □ sewage; □ fuel/oil		
information on sediment components (seashells, animals, Sand and Pebbles present.	, peat, wood, tar, stones, was	te, plastics, etc.):	
Sample photograph identification:			
Additional comments (e.g. map of the sampling site):			
Sampler name (readable):	Signature:	æ	
M. Marja ouic	Mar	3	

Page 2 | 2 Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)








FIELD OBSERVATION SHEET FOR SEDIMENT SAMPLING

APPENDIX 3 OF THE SIMONA SEDIMENT QUALITY SAMPLING PROTOCOL

MONITOF	RING PROGRAMME/ SAM	IPLING PROJEC	T INFORMATION:		
Project name: SIMONA Sample identifier (ID): WP6_NS_BS					
Collection	date (DD/MM/YYYY): ZC	10812020	Collection time (HH:MM):		
Sampling	matrix: stream/bottom sed	iment;□suspended	sediment; 🗆 other (floodpl	lain sediment,):	
Sampling:	Coccredited; not accredit	ed	Sampling standard:	150 5667-12:2019	
MONITOF	RING SITE IDENTIFICATIO	ON:	States A		
Monitoring	Site ID (WISE-SoE):		Monitoring Site ID	0 (national): 42035	
Name of t	he Monitoring Site (e.g. nar Sed - Denub	ne of the surface wa	ater and the city):	Novi Sad.	
Sample lo) (provide	cation description with spe map on opposite side):	cific information (br	idge, high power electric li	ines, railway line, major road, natural park	
Type of th other (flo	e monitoring site (can be di podplain,): rescros	fferent from repres	enting waterbody): □ river	; □ lake; □ wetland;	
Aim of san □ investiga	npling: □ general status; □ r tion site – find contaminatio	eference site (witho n source; ⊠investig	out/small anthropogenic so ation site for other:	purces);	
	Latitude: 45°15'43"		Nationa	Latitude:	
WGS84	Longitude: 19° 52	40"	Coordinate system	Longitude:	
MONITOR	RING SITE REPRESENTIN	G THE FOLLOW	DWING WATERBODY AND ITS BASIN:		
Is it the sa If no, desc	ame waterbody as the Mon cribe the connection betwe	itoring Site has? en waterbody and r	YES or \Box NO monitoring site (tributary, r	recipient,):	
Waterbod	y ID (WISE-SoE):		Waterbody ID (national): D7		
Name of t	he Waterbody: Danu	be river			
Type of th	e Waterbody: vriver; 🗆 lak	e; □ wetland; □ coas	stal; 🗆 transitional		
MONITOR	RING SITE CONDITIONS	(PART I):			
River widt ⊊∕estimate	h [m]: 500∽ d; □ measured value	Depth of wate depth [m]:	r estimated average $5 \sim$	Flow rate [m/s]:	
Water ten	perature [°C]: 23,7	Water electric	rical conductivity [µs/cm]: 335,8m5/cm		
Water pH	7,92	Water transpa	rency (Secchi disk method	d) [cm]: 0,45	
Geology a	and background value of pa	arent material/lithol	logy in the area:		
MONITO	RING SITE CONDITIONS	(PART II):			
Extreme o	conditions: Inone; 🗆 floodir	ng status; □ ice; □ po	ollution plume; 🗆 contamin	nated coast/bank;	

Page 1 | 2 Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)







Weather conditions: hot; sunny; cloudy; c	hangeable; 🖓 rainy; 🗆 frosty	
SEDIMENT COLLECTION INFORMATION:		
Water depth above sample [m]: $\exists \sim$		Water surface
Sediment sample depth [cm]: 0 - 20cm		Water depth above sample
Collection device: □ stainless steel scoop; Ø/corer; I □ other:	□ sampler for suspended sediment;	Stream bottom
Sample type: 🛛 composite – number of subsamples	s: <u> </u>	1925
Distance between the first and last sampling site	?[m]: 30~	
Sample replicate collected? VES or NO	Replicate ID/na	ime:
Sample is duplicated? YES or NO		
SAMPLE INFORMATION:		
Sampling volume estimated, wet weight [liter]: @	oral	
Temperature of sample (field observation, right af	ter sampling) [°C]:	
Sediment pH (undisturbed):	Sediment pH (post-home	ogenization):
Colour (Munsell soil colour chart number):		
Texture (particle size description):		
Odour: □ none; ⊠light; □ strong; ⊅earthy; □ mildewed; □ putrid; □ farm slurry; □ fish	y; ⊡aromatic; □ sewage; □ fuel/oil	
Information on sediment components (seashells, a Sandy sedment.	nimals, peat, wood, tar, stones, was	te, plastics, etc.):
Sample photograph identification:		
Additional comments (e.g. map of the sampling site	e):	
Sampler name (readable):	Signature:	









FIELD OBSERVATION SHEET FOR SEDIMENT SAMPLING

APPENDIX 3 OF THE SIMONA SEDIMENT QUALITY SAMPLING PROTOCOL

MONITOR	ING PROGRAMME/ SAMP	LING PROJECT	INFORMATION:		
Project nar	me: SIMONA		Sample identifier (ID): WP6_SB_BS		
Collection	date (DD/MM/YYYY): 2710	0202/2020	Collection time (HH:MM):		
Sampling n	natrix: Offream/bottom sedim	ent;□suspended s	ediment; 🗆 other (floodpl	lain sediment,):	
Sampling:	accredited; not accredited		Sampling standard:	150 5667-12:2019	
MONITOR	ING SITE IDENTIFICATION	l:	and the second		
Monitoring	Site ID (WISE-SoE):		Monitoring Site ID) (national):	
Name of th	e Monitoring Site (e.g. name	of the surface wat	ter and the city):		
Sample loo) (provide	cation description with specifi map on opposite side):	ic information (brid	dge, high power electric l	ines, railway line, major road, natural park,	
Type of the other (flo	e monitoring site (can be diffe odplain,): reservoir	erent from represe	nting waterbody): 🗆 river	; □ lake; □ wetland;	
Aim of sam	npling: general status; reference ion site – find contamination s	erence site (withou ource; Dinvestigat	tt/small anthropogenic so tion site for other:	ources);	
	Latitude: 44° 53'	20"	Nationa	Latitude:	
WGS84	Longitude: 20" 17 .	18"	Coordinate system	Longitude:	
MONITOR	ING SITE REPRESENTING	THE FOLLOWI	NG WATERBODY AN	D ITS BASIN:	
Is it the same if no, description of the same is the s	me waterbody as the Monitor ribe the connection between	oring Site has?	YES or 🗆 NO onitoring site (tributary, 1	recipient,):	
Waterbody	D (WISE-SoE):		Waterbody ID (national): D6		
Name of th	ne Waterbody: Denube	river			
Type of the	e Waterbody: Øriver; □ lake; i	□ wetland; □ coast	al; 🗆 transitional		
MONITOR	ING SITE CONDITIONS (P.	ART I):			
River width Øestimated	n [m]: 550 ~ d; □ measured value	Depth of water depth [m]:	estimated average	Flow rate [m/s]:	
Water tem	perature [°C]: 23,4	Water electrica	ical conductivity [μs/cm]: 342, 9		
Water pH:	7,97	Water transpare	ency (Secchi disk method	d) [cm]: 0,55	
Geology a	nd background value of pare	nt material/litholo	ogy in the area:		
MONITOR	ING SITE CONDITIONS (P	ART II):			
Extreme co	onditions: Onone; 🗆 flooding	status; □ ice; □ pol	lution plume; contamin	nated coast/bank;	

Page 1 | 2









Weather conditions: \Box hot; \Box /sunny; \Box cloudy; \Box chan	geable; 🗆 rainy; 🗆 frosty	
SEDIMENT COLLECTION INFORMATION:		
Water depth above sample [m]: 2 m	Water surface	
Sediment sample depth [cm]: 0-20c-		Water depth above sample
Collection device: \Box stainless steel scoop; \Box corer; \Box sa \Box other:	mpler for suspended sediment;	Stream bottom
Sample type: 🗹 composite – number of subsamples:	3	1 to the
Distance between the first and last sampling site? [n	m]: 50m	
Sample replicate collected? VES or NO	Replicate ID/na	ame:
Sample is duplicated? YES or NO		
SAMPLE INFORMATION:		
Sampling volume estimated, wet weight [liter]: 0,3	sl	
Temperature of sample (field observation, right after s	sampling) [°C]:	
Sediment pH (undisturbed):	Sediment pH (post-hom	ogenization):
Colour (Munsell soil colour chart number):		
Texture (particle size description):		
Odour: ᠋ʌíone; □ light; □ strong; □ earthy; □ mildewed; □ putrid; □ farm slurry; □ fishy; □	aromatic;□sewage;□fuel/oil	
Information on sediment components (seashells, anim. Sandy sediment.	als, peat, wood, tar, stones, was	te, plastics, etc.):
Sample photograph identification:		
Additional comments (e.g. map of the sampling site):		
Sampler name (readable):	Signature:	~









FIELD OBSERVATION SHEET FOR SEDIMENT SAMPLING

APPENDIX 3 OF THE SIMONA SEDIMENT QUALITY SAMPLING PROTOCOL

MONITOR	RING PROGRAMME/ SAM	PLING PROJECT I	NFORMATION:		
Project name: SIMONA Sample identifier (ID): 10 WP6_RT_BS					
Collection	date (DD/MM/YYYY): 30/	08/2020	Collection time (HH:MM):		
Sampling	matrix: 🛛 stream/bottom sedir	ment;□suspended se	ediment; 🗆 other (floodpl	ain sediment,):	
Sampling:	Gaccredited; □ not accredite	d	Sampling standard:	150 5667 - 12:2019	
MONITOR	RING SITE IDENTIFICATIO	N:			
Monitoring Site ID (WISE-SoE): Monitoring Site ID (national):					
Name of t	he Monitoring Site (e.g. nam	e of the surface wate	er and the city): \succeq		
Sample lo) (provide	cation description with spec map on opposite side):	fic information (brid	ge, high power electric li	ines, railway line, major road, natural park,	
Type of th Tother (flo	e monitoring site (can be diff podplain,): reserve in	ferent from represen	ting waterbody): □ river	; 🗆 lake; 🗆 wetland;	
Aim of sar □ investiga	mpling: □general status; □ re tion site – find contamination	ference site (without source; 🖓 învestigati	:/small anthropogenic so ion site for other:	purces);	
	Latitude: 44° 44′ 27"		Nationa	al Latitude:	
WGS84	Longitude: 20° 39	37"	Coordinate system	Longitude:	
MONITOP	RING SITE REPRESENTIN	G THE FOLLOWIN	IG WATERBODY ANI	D ITS BASIN:	
ls it the sa If no, desc	ame waterbody as the Monit cribe the connection betwee	toring Site has? DY n waterbody and mo	ES or I NO nitoring site (tributary, r	recipient,):	
Waterbod	y ID (WISE-SoE):		Waterbody ID (national): D 5		
Name of t	he Waterbody: Denub	e river			
Type of th	e Waterbody: Wriver; 🗆 lake	; 🗆 wetland; 🗆 coasta	al; 🗆 transitional		
MONITOR	RING SITE CONDITIONS (I	PART I):			
River widt	th [m]: 500⊶ d; □ measured value	Depth of water e depth [m]:	estimated average	Flow rate [m/s]:	
Water ten	nperature [°C]: 24,3	Water electrical	rical conductivity [µs/cm]: 354,9 mS/cm		
Water pH	7,86	Water transpare	ency (Secchi disk method	d)[cm]: ◦, ⊗~	
Geology a	and background value of par	ent material/litholog	gy in the area:		
MONITOR	RING SITE CONDITIONS (I	PART II):			
Extreme of other:	conditions: Inone; I flooding	g status; □ ice; □ pollu	ution plume; \Box contamin	nated coast/bank;	

Page 1 | 2

Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)







Weather conditions:	eable; □ rainy; □ frosty	
SEDIMENT COLLECTION INFORMATION:		
Water depth above sample [m]: $4 \sim$		Water surface
Sediment sample depth [cm]: 0-20cm		Water depth above sample
Collection device: \Box stainless steel scoop; \square corer; \Box san \Box other:	npler for suspended sediment;	Sediment sample depth [cm]
Sample type: 🛛 composite – number of subsamples: 📑	5	The second se
Distance between the first and last sampling site? [m]: 30 m	
Sample replicate collected? YES or NO	Replicate ID/na	ame:
Sample is duplicated? VES or NO		
SAMPLE INFORMATION:		
Sampling volume estimated, wet weight [liter]: $o_1 \leq 0$	6	
Temperature of sample (field observation, right after sa	ampling) [°C]:	
Sediment pH (undisturbed):	Sediment pH (post-hom	ogenization):
Colour (Munsell soil colour chart number):		
Texture (particle size description):		
Odour: □ none; ⊋/light; □ strong; □ earthy; ∅ mildewed; □ putrid; □ farm slurry; □ fishy; □a	romatic; □ sewage; □ fuel/oil	
Information on sediment components (seashells, anima Peart present in the sample	ls, peat, wood, tar, stones, wa	ste, plastics, etc.):
Sample photograph identification:		
Additional comments (e.g. map of the sampling site):	7	
Sampler name (readable):	Signature:	20









FIELD OBSERVATION SHEET FOR SEDIMENT SAMPLING

APPENDIX 3 OF THE SIMONA SEDIMENT QUALITY SAMPLING PROTOCOL

MONITOR	ING PROGRAMME/ SAM	PLING PROJECT	INFORMATION:		
Project na	bject name: S_{1MONA} Sample identifier (ID): WPG_VG_BS				
Collection date (DD/MM/YYYY): G1/09/2020			Collection time (HH:MM):		
Sampling	matrix: 🗊 stream/bottom sed	iment; 🗆 suspended se	ediment;□other (floodp	lain sediment,):	
Sampling:	→ accredited; □ not accredited	ed	Sampling standard: 150 5667-12:2019		
MONITOR	RING SITE IDENTIFICATIO	DN:	A State State		
Monitoring	Site ID (WISE-SoE):		Monitoring Site II	D (national):	
Name of the	he Monitoring Site (e.g. nan	ne of the surface water	er and the city): Gradiste		
Sample lo) (provide	cation description with spece map on opposite side):	cific information (brid	ge, high power electric	lines, railway line, major road, natural park,	
Type of th other (flo	e monitoring site (can be di podplain,):	fferent from represer	nting waterbody): 🗆 rive	r; □ lake; □ wetland;	
Aim of sar □ investiga	npling: general status; real of the status	eference site (without n source; Øînvestigat	t/small anthropogenic si ion site for other:	ources);	
	Latitude: 44° 46'	16"	Nationa	Latitude:	
WGS84	Longitude: 21° 31'	40"	Coordinate system	n Longitude:	
MONITOF	RING SITE REPRESENTIN	G THE FOLLOWIN	NG WATERBODY AN	D ITS BASIN:	
Is it the sa If no, desc	me waterbody as the Mon cribe the connection betwee	itoring Site has? I Yeen waterbody and mo	YES or □ NO onitoring site (tributary,	recipient,):	
Waterbod	y ID (WISE-SoE):	- 19	Waterbody ID (national): D3		
Name of t	he Waterbody: Denuk	be river			
Type of th	e Waterbody: 🖓 river; 🗆 lake	e; □ wetland; □ coasta	al; 🗆 transitional		
MONITOP	RING SITE CONDITIONS	PART I):			
River width [m]: 1000 m Depth of water estimated; Depth of water depth [m]: 7		Depth of water of depth [m]: 3	estimated average	Flow rate [m/s]:	
Water tem	nperature [°C]: 24, 5	Water electrical	conductivity [µs/cm]:	370,7 ms/cm	
Water pH	7,75	Water transpare	ency (Secchi disk metho	d) [cm]: 0,55-	
Geology a	and background value of pa	erent material/litholog	gy in the area:		
MONITOR	RING SITE CONDITIONS	(PART II):			
Extreme of	conditions: Inone; I floodin	g status; □ ice; □ poll	ution plume; 🗆 contami	nated coast/bank;	

SIMONA

Page 1 | 2 Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)

□ other:







Weather conditions: \Box hot; \Box sunny; \Box cloudy; \Box char	ngeable; 🗆 rainy; 🗆 frosty	
SEDIMENT COLLECTION INFORMATION:		
Water depth above sample [m]: Z_{m}		Water surface
Sediment sample depth [cm]: O1 - 20 cm		Water depth above sample
Collection device: \Box stainless steel scoop; \mathscr{D} corer; \Box s \Box other:	ent; Stream bottom	
Sample type: \mathscr{A} composite – number of subsamples: _	3	
Distance between the first and last sampling site?	[m]: 30 m	
Sample replicate collected? YES or NO	Replicate I	D/name:
Sample is duplicated? □ YES or □ №O		
SAMPLE INFORMATION:		The second second
Sampling volume estimated, wet weight [liter]:	se	
Temperature of sample (field observation, right after	sampling) [°C]:	
Sediment pH (undisturbed):	Sediment pH (post-	homogenization):
Colour (Munsell soil colour chart number):		
Texture (particle size description):		
Odour: □ none; @ĺight; □ strong; □ earthy; @⁄mildewed; □ putrid; □ farm slurry; □ fishy; □	□aromatic; □ sewage; □ fuel/	'oil
Information on sediment components (seashells, anin Fine particles sediment.	nals, peat, wood, tar, stones,	, waste, plastics, etc.):
Sample photograph identification:		
Additional comments (e.g. map of the sampling site):		
Sampler name (readable):	Signature:	/

Page 2 | 2 Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)







FIELD OBSERVATION SHEET FOR SEDIMENT SAMPLING

APPENDIX 3 OF THE SIMONA SEDIMENT QUALITY SAMPLING PROTOCOL

MONTOR	ING PROGRAMME/ SAMP	LING PROJECT	INFORMATION:			
Project nar	ne: Simona	Sample identifier (ID): WP6_TE_BS				
Collection	date (DD/MM/YYYY): 03 1	Collection time (HH:MM):				
Sampling n	natrix: 🖵 stream/bottom sedin	nent; 🗆 suspended	sediment; other (floodpla	in sediment,):		
Sampling:	accredited; not accredited	đ	Sampling standard: \	505667-12:2019		
MONITOR	ING SITE IDENTIFICATIO	N:				
Monitoring	Site ID (WISE-SoE):		Monitoring Site ID	(national): 42085		
Name of the Devi	ne Monitoring Site (e.g. name nube river at	e of the surface wa	eter and the city):			
Sample loo) (provide	cation description with speci map on opposite side):	fic information (bri	idge, high power electric lin	es, railway line, major road, natural park		
Type of the other (flo	e monitoring site (can be diff odplain,): Veservov	erent from represe	enting waterbody}: □ river;	□ lake; □ wetland;		
Aim of san □ investigat	npling: general status; ref ion site – find contamination	erence site (witho source; Dinvestiga	ut/small anthropogenic sou ation site for other:	irces);		
WOORI	Latitude: 44° 41' c	D6"	National	Latitude:		
WGS84	Latitude: 44° 41' c Longitude: 22° 24'	27"	National Coordinate system	Latitude: Longitude:		
WGS84 MONITOR	Latitude: 44° 41' c Longitude: 22° 24' ING SITE REPRESENTING	27" 3 THE FOLLOW	National Coordinate system	Latitude: Longitude: ITS BASIN:		
WGS84 MONITOR Is it the sa If no, desc	Latitude: 44° 41' c Longitude: 22° 24' ING SITE REPRESENTING me waterbody as the Monit ribe the connection between	27" GTHE FOLLOW	National Coordinate system ING WATERBODY AND YES or D NO nonitoring site (tributary, re	Latitude: Longitude: ITS BASIN: ecipient,):		
WGS84 MONITOR Is it the sa If no, desc Waterbody	Latitude: 44° 41' c Longitude: 22° 24' ING SITE REPRESENTING me waterbody as the Monit ribe the connection between r ID (WISE-SoE):	27 [™] GTHE FOLLOW oring Site has?⊡ h waterbody and m	National Coordinate system ING WATERBODY AND YES or D NO nonitoring site (tributary, re Waterbody ID (na	Latitude: Longitude: ITS BASIN: ecipient,): ational): D3		
WGS84 MONITOR Is it the sa If no, desc Waterbody Name of th	Latitude: 44° 41' (Longitude: 22° 24' ING SITE REPRESENTING me waterbody as the Monit ribe the connection between r ID (WISE-SoE): me Waterbody: Decode	27" 3 THE FOLLOW oring Site has? h waterbody and m	National Coordinate system ING WATERBODY AND YES or D NO nonitoring site (tributary, re Waterbody ID (na	Latitude: Longitude: ITS BASIN: ecipient,): ational):		
WGS84 MONITOR Is it the sa If no, desc Waterbody Name of th Type of the	Latitude: 44° 41' (Longitude: 22° 24' ING SITE REPRESENTING me waterbody as the Monit ribe the connection between r ID (WISE-SoE): ne Waterbody: Driver; □ lake; e Waterbody: Driver; □ lake;	Cof" 27" Coring Site has? In waterbody and m waterbody and m	National Coordinate system ING WATERBODY AND YES or D NO nonitoring site (tributary, re Waterbody ID (na stal; D transitional	Latitude: Longitude: ITS BASIN: ecipient,): ational):		
WGS84 MONITOR Is it the sa If no, desc Waterbody Name of the Type of the MONITOR	Latitude: 44° 41' (Longitude: 22° 24' ING SITE REPRESENTING me waterbody as the Monit ribe the connection between r ID (WISE-SoE): ne Waterbody: Drown e Waterbody: Driver; □ lake;	27" G THE FOLLOW oring Site has? n waterbody and m waterbody and m waterbody and m waterbody and m	National Coordinate system ING WATERBODY AND PYES or D NO nonitoring site (tributary, re Waterbody ID (na stal; D transitional	Latitude: Longitude: ITS BASIN: ecipient,): ational): D3		
WGS84 MONITOR Is it the sa If no, desc Waterbody Name of th Type of the MONITOR River width Vestimated	Latitude: 44° 41' (Longitude: 22° 24' ING SITE REPRESENTING me waterbody as the Monit ribe the connection between r ID (WISE-SoE): ne Waterbody: Driver; Dake; Waterbody: Driver; Dake; HING SITE CONDITIONS (F n [m]: 1100 m d; D measured value	CG [™] Z 7 [™] C THE FOLLOW oring Site has? □ n waterbody and m wetland; □ coas PART I): Depth of water depth [m]: 2	National Coordinate system ING WATERBODY AND YES or D NO nonitoring site (tributary, re Waterbody ID (na stal; D transitional r estimated average 2 ~	Latitude: Longitude: ITS BASIN: acipient,): ational): D3 Flow rate [m/s]: estimated; D measured value		
WGS84 MONITOR Is it the sa If no, desc Waterbody Name of th Type of the MONITOR River width Cestimated Water tem	Latitude: 44° 41' (Longitude: 22° 24' ING SITE REPRESENTING me waterbody as the Monit ribe the connection between r ID (WISE-SoE): ne Waterbody: Driver; □ lake; waterbody: Driver; □ lake; ING SITE CONDITIONS (F n [m]: 1\00 m d; □ measured value perature [°C]: 24, 3	27" GTHE FOLLOW oring Site has? materbody and m waterbody and m become by the	National Coordinate system	Latitude: Longitude: ITS BASIN: acipient,): ational): D3 Flow rate [m/s]: estimated; □ measured value 3 < 2, 1 < 5/cm		
WGS84 MONITOR Is it the sa If no, desc Waterbody Name of th Type of the MONITOR River widtl Crestimated Water tem Water pH:	Latitude: 44° 41' (Longitude: 22° 24' ING SITE REPRESENTING me waterbody as the Monit ribe the connection between r ID (WISE-SoE): ne Waterbody: Driver; Dake; Waterbody: Driver; Dake; HING SITE CONDITIONS (P n [m]: 1100 m d; D measured value perature [*C]: 24,3 T,78	Cof" 27" Coring Site has? Coring Site has? C	National Coordinate system	Latitude: Longitude: ITS BASIN: Accipient,): Ational): D_3 Flow rate [m/s]: Destimated; \Box measured value $3 \in Z, 1 \le 1 \le n$ [cm]: $0, 7 \le n$		

Extreme conditions: \bigcirc hone; \Box flooding status; \Box ice; \Box pollution plume; \Box contaminated coast/bank; \Box other:

Page 1 | 2

Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)







Neather conditions: \Box hot; \Box sunny; \Box cloudy; \Box chang	eable; 🗆 rainy; 🗆 frosty	
SEDIMENT COLLECTION INFORMATION:		
Nater depth above sample [m]: 5~~		Water surface
Sediment sample depth [cm]: 0-20cm		Water depth above sample
Collection device: \Box stainless steel scoop; \Box corer; \Box sar \Box other:	mpler for suspended sed	liment; V Stream bottom
Sample type: 🗹 composite – number of subsamples: 🤤	5	
Distance between the first and last sampling site? [m	n]: 50m	
Sample replicate collected? YES or NO	Replicate	e ID/name:
Sample is duplicated? □ YES or □ NO		
SAMPLE INFORMATION:		
Sampling volume estimated, wet weight [liter]: \bigcirc		
Temperature of sample (field observation, right after same	ampling) [°C]:	
Sediment pH (undisturbed):	Sediment pH (po	st-homogenization):
Colour (Munsell soil colour chart number):		
Texture (particle size description):		
Odour: □ none; ⊅light; □ strong; □ earthy; ♀mildewed; □ putrid; □ farm slurry; □ fishy; □a	iromatic; 🗆 sewage; 🗆 fu	el/oil
Information on sediment components (seashells, anima	als, peat, wood, tar, ston	es, waste, plastics, etc.):
Sample photograph identification:		
Additional comments (e.g. map of the sampling site):		
	0	
Sampler name (readable):	Signature:	1
M. Marjanović	ing	4 S
1		









FIELD OBSERVATION SHEET FOR SEDIMENT SAMPLING

APPENDIX 3 OF THE SIMONA SEDIMENT QUALITY SAMPLING PROTOCOL

MONITOR	ING PROGRAMME/ SAM	PLING PROJEC	T INFORMATION:		
Project na	me: SIMONA		Sample identifier	(ID): \	WPG-KL-BS
Collection	date (DD/MM/YYYY): 04	109/2020	Collecti	on time	e (HH:MM):
Sampling	matrix: Østream/bottom sedi	ment;□suspended	l sediment; □ other (flo	odplain	sediment,):
Sampling:	√accredited; □ not accredite	d	Sampling standar	rd: 15	00 5667-15:5019
MONITOR	RING SITE IDENTIFICATIO	N:			
Monitoring	Site ID (WISE-SoE):		Monitoring Sit	e ID (n	ational):
Name of the	he Monitoring Site (e.g. nam Iadovo - Danub	e of the surface wa	ater and the city): near Klado	5	
Sample lo) (provide	cation description with spec map on opposite side):	ific information (br	ridge, high power elect	ric lines	s, railway line, major road, natural park,
Type of th other (flo	e monitoring site (can be dif podplain,): reserve	ferent from repres	enting waterbody): □ r	iver; 🗆	lake; □ wetland;
Aim of sar □ investiga	npling:	ference site (witho source; Dinvestig	out/small anthropogen ation site for other:	ic sourc	ces);
	Latitude: 44° 37'	9"	National		Latitude:
WGS84	Longitude: ZZ° 35	55"	Coordinate sys	tem	Longitude:
MONITOR	RING SITE REPRESENTIN	G THE FOLLOW	ING WATERBODY	ANDI	TS BASIN:
Is it the sa If no, desc	me waterbody as the Moni cribe the connection betwee	toring Site has? n waterbody and r	∃YES or □ NO monitoring site (tributa	iry, reci	pient,):
Waterbod	y ID (WISE-SoE):		Waterbody ID (national): D Z		
Name of t	he Waterbody: Danub	e river			
Type of th	e Waterbody: ₽/river; □ lake	; 🗆 wetland; 🗆 coas	stal; 🗆 transitional		
MONITOF	RING SITE CONDITIONS (I	PART I):	19 19 19	2	
River widt	h [m]: 950 m d; □ measured value	Depth of wate depth [m]:	epth of water estimated average epth [m]:		ow rate [m/s]: estimated; measured value
Water tem	nperature [°C]: 23,9	Water electric	rical conductivity [µs/cm]: 360,6		
Water pH:	7,82	Water transpa	arency (Secchi disk me	thod) [d	cm]: 0,8
Geology a	and background value of par	ent material/lithol	logy in the area:		
MONITOR	RING SITE CONDITIONS (PART II):			
Extreme of other:	conditions: 🛛 none; 🗆 flooding	g status; □ ice; □ po	ollution plume; 🗆 conta	aminate	ed coast/bank;

Page 1 | 2 Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)







Veather conditions: □ hot; Øsunny; □ cloudy; □ change	able; 🗆 rainy; 🗆 frosty	Sector and the sector sec
EDIMENT COLLECTION INFORMATION:	- 10 A	
Vater depth above sample [m]: \Im_m	Water surface	
ediment sample depth [cm]: Or 2000		Water depth above sample
Collection device: \Box stainless steel scoop; \Box corer; \Box sam Tother:	pler for suspended sediment;	Stream bottom
sample type: \Box composite – number of subsamples: <u>3</u>	_	1.645
Distance between the first and last sampling site? [m]	: 30~	
Sample replicate collected? YES or NO	Replicate ID/na	me:
Sample is duplicated? YES or NO		
SAMPLE INFORMATION:		
Sampling volume estimated, wet weight [liter]: $\bigcirc_i \lor_i \lor_i$	5	
emperature of sample (field observation, right after same	mpling) [°C]:	
Sediment pH (undisturbed):	Sediment pH (post-homo	genization):
Colour (Munsell soil colour chart number):		
exture (particle size description):		
Ddour: □ none; Ølight; □ strong;] earthy; Ømildewed; □ putrid; □ farm slurry; □ fishy; □ard	omatic; □ sewage; □ fuel/oil	
nformation on sediment components (seashells, animals	s, peat, wood, tar, stones, was	e, plastics, etc.):
Sample photograph identification:		
Additional comments (e.g. map of the sampling site):		
	1	
Sampler name (readable):	Signature:	e
Marks Mericould		1.0

Page 2 | 2 Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)







FIELD OBSERVATION SHEET FOR SEDIMENT SAMPLING

APPENDIX 3 OF THE SIMONA SEDIMENT QUALITY SAMPLING PROTOCOL

MONITOR	ING PROGRAMME/ SAM	PLING PROJECT	INFORMATION:			
Project na	me: SIMONA	Sample identifier (ID): WP6- KU-BS				
Collection date (DD/MM/YYYY): 05/09/2020			Collection t	time (HH:MM):		
Sampling	matrix: Østream/bottom sedin	ment; 🗆 suspended	sediment; other (floodpl	lain sediment,):		
Sampling:	⊖accredited; □ not accredite	d	Sampling standard:	150 5667-12:201g		
MONITOR	ING SITE IDENTIFICATIO	N:	(1984) (A)			
Monitoring	Site ID (WISE-SoE):	inter in the second	Monitoring Site ID) (national):		
Name of the	he Monitoring Site (e.g. nam	e of the surface wa	ter and the city):			
Sample lo) (provide	cation description with spec map on opposite side):	ific information (bri	idge, high power electric l	ines, railway line, major road, natural park,		
Type of th Other (flo	e monitoring site (can be dif odplain,): reserve	ferent from represe	enting waterbody): 🗆 river	; 🗆 lake; 🗆 wetland;		
Aim of sar □ investiga	npling: □ general status; □ re tion site – find contamination	ference site (withou source; Anvestiga	ut/small anthropogenic so ation site for other:	purces);		
	Latitude: 44° 19'	10 "	Nationa	Latitude:		
WGS84	Longitude: 22° 32	' 48"	Coordinate system	Longitude:		
MONITOR	RING SITE REPRESENTIN	G THE FOLLOW	ING WATERBODY AN	D ITS BASIN:		
Is it the sa If no, desc	me waterbody as the Moni cribe the connection betwee	toring Site has? 🗔 n waterbody and m	XES or □ NO nonitoring site (tributary, r	recipient,):		
Waterbody ID (WISE-SoE):			Waterbody ID (national): D2			
Name of t	he Waterbody: Danu	be river				
Type of th	e Waterbody: Øriver; □ lake	; 🗆 wetland; 🗆 coas	tal; 🗆 transitional			
MONITOR	RING SITE CONDITIONS (I	PART I):				
River widt Øestimate	h [m]: \\ ℃ ~~~ d; □ measured value	Depth of water depth [m]: cc	estimated average	Flow rate [m/s]:		
Water temperature [°C]: 23, Water electrical conductivity [µs/cm]: 3		35512				
Water pH: 7185 Water transparen			rency (Secchi disk method	ncy (Secchi disk method) [cm]: 0, 35~		
Geology a	and background value of par	rent material/litholo	ogy in the area:			
MONITOF	RING SITE CONDITIONS (I	PART II):				
Extreme c	conditions: Inone; 🗆 flooding	g status; □ ice; □ po	llution plume; 🗆 contamin	nated coast/bank;		

Page 1 | 2

Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI).

A stream of cooperation

Page 1 of 92

A stream of cooperation





For further information on the SIMONA Sampling, Laboratory and Evaluation protocols; on the project, partnership and the Danube Transnational Programme: www.interreg-danube.eu/simona



Weather conditions: 🗆 hot; 🗔 sunny; 🗆 cloudy; 🗆 changeab	le; □ rainy; □ frosty		
SEDIMENT COLLECTION INFORMATION:			
Water depth above sample [m]: 🛛 🕾 🗠		Water surface	
Sediment sample depth [cm]: O_ 20 cm		Water depth above sample	
Collection device: \Box stainless steel scoop; \Box corer; \Box sample \Box other:	Sediment sample depth [cm]		
Sample type: 🛛 composite – number of subsamples: 🔼	-		
Distance between the first and last sampling site? [m]:	30 m		
Sample replicate collected? VES or NO	Replicate ID/na	me:	
Sample is duplicated? □ YES or ☑ NO			
SAMPLE INFORMATION:			
Sampling volume estimated, wet weight [liter]: \bigcirc , $\mathbb{S} \ \mathcal{L}$			
Temperature of sample (field observation, right after samp	bling) [°C]:		
Sediment pH (undisturbed):	ediment pH (undisturbed): Sediment pH (post-homogenization):		
Colour (Munsell soil colour chart number):			
Texture (particle size description):			
Odour: □ none; I light; □ strong; □ earthy; I mildewed; □ putrid; □ farm slurry; □ fishy; □aron	natic;□sewage;□fuel/oil		
Information on sediment components (seashells, animals, p	peat, wood, tar, stones, wast	te, plastics, etc.):	
Sample photograph identification:			
Additional comments (e.g. map of the sampling site):			
Sampler name (readable):	Signature:	/	
M. Marjanovic	1971 Cal	Y	

Page 2 | 2 Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)



A stream of cooperation

Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)





For further information on the SIMONA Sampling, Laboratory and Evaluation protocols; on the project, partnership and the Danube Transnational Programme: www.interreg-danube.eu/simona



FIELD OBSERVATION SHEET FOR SEDIMENT SAMPLING

APPENDIX 3 OF THE SIMONA SEDIMENT QUALITY SAMPLING PROTOCOL

MONITOF	RING PROGRAMME/ SAM	PLING PROJEC	T INFORMATION:		
Project na	me: SIMONA		Sample identifier (ID): WPG-DM-02-01	
Collection date (DD/MM/YYYY): 09 109 12020			Collection	time (HH:MM):	
Sampling	matrix: 🖉 stream/bottom sedir	ment;□suspended	sediment; 🗆 other (floodp	lain sediment,):	
Sampling: Øaccredited; □ not accredited			Sampling standard:	150 5667-12 2019	
MONITOR	RING SITE IDENTIFICATIO	N:			
Monitoring	g Site ID (WISE-SoE): N/	A	Monitoring Site II) (national):	
Name of t	he Monitoring Site (e.g. nam	e of the surface with Donji	ater and the city): Milanovac (9	91tm)	
) (provide	e map on opposite side): \mathcal{D}_{ex}	nube river	in line with Dor	ni Milanavac Village.	
Type of the other (flo	e monitoring site (can be diff podplain,): רפשפרעסור	ferent from repres	enting waterbody): 🗆 ive	r; □ lake; □ wetland;	
Aim of san □ investiga	mpling: □ general status; □ re tion site – find contamination	ference site (witho source; ⊄ investig	out/small anthropogenic so ation site for other:	purces);	
	Latitude: 44° 28' 12.9"		Nationa	Latitude:	
WGS84	Longitude: 22 08	19,6"	Coordinate system	m Longitude:	
MONITOR	RING SITE REPRESENTIN	G THE FOLLOW	ING WATERBODY AN	D ITS BASIN:	
Is it the sa If no, desc	ame waterbody as the Monit cribe the connection betwee	oring Site has? n waterbody and r	YES or □ NO nonitoring site (tributary,	recipient,):	
Waterbody ID (WISE-SoE):			Waterbody ID (national): D3		
Name of t	he Waterbody: Danula	river			
Type of th	e Waterbody: 🖌 river; 🗆 lake;	□ wetland; □ coas	stal; □ transitional		
MONITOR	RING SITE CONDITIONS (F	PART I):			
River width [m]: 1600 m Depth o Øestimated; □ measured value depth [m		Depth of wate depth [m]: 7	r estimated average	Flow rate [m/s]:	
Water temperature [°C]: "Z+1, \ Water elect		Water electric	cal conductivity [µs/cm]: 364,6 mS/cm		
Water pH: 7,3 Water transparency (Secchi dis			rency (Secchi disk metho	d) [cm]: 017m	
Geology a	and background value of par	ent material/lithol	logy in the area:		
MONITOR	RING SITE CONDITIONS (F	PART II):	THE REPORT OF THE		
Extreme o	conditions: Inone; 🗆 flooding	; status; □ ice; □ po	ollution plume; 🗆 contamin	nated coast/bank;	

Page 1 | 2

Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)

A stream of cooperation

A stream of cooperation

Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)





For further information on the SIMONA Sampling, Laboratory and Evaluation protocols; on the project, partnership and the Danube Transnational Programme: www.interreg-danube.eu/simona



Weather conditions: \Box hot; ∇ sunny; \Box cloudy; \Box chang	geable; 🗆 rainy; 🗆 frosty	
SEDIMENT COLLECTION INFORMATION:		
Water depth above sample [m]: 6m	Water surface	
Sediment sample depth [cm]: 0-5 cm		Water depth above sample
Collection device: \Box stainless steel scoop;	mpler for suspended sediment;	Stream bottom
Sample type: Composite – number of subsamples: <u>S</u>	-	
Distance between the first and last sampling site? [m	1]: 50m	
Sample replicate collected? □ YES or ZNO	Replicate ID/na	me:
Sample is duplicated? YES or NO		
SAMPLE INFORMATION:		
Sampling volume estimated, wet weight [liter]: O_144	e	
Temperature of sample (field observation, right after sa	ampling) [°C]:	
Sediment pH (undisturbed):	Sediment pH (post-homo	ogenization):
Colour (Munsell soil colour chart number):		
Texture (particle size description):		
Odour: ⊘none; □ light; □ strong; ⊘earthy; □ mildewed; □ putrid; □ farm slurry; □ fishy; □a	rromatic; □ sewage; □ fuel/oil	
Information on sediment components (seashells, anima Fine sediment, free particles.	als, peat, wood, tar, stones, wasi	te, plastics, etc.):
Sample photograph identification:		
Additional comments (e.g. map of the sampling site):		
	0	
Sampler name (readable):	Signature:	2

Page 2 | 2 Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)





A stream of cooperation







FIELD OBSERVATION SHEET FOR SEDIMENT SAMPLING

APPENDIX 3 OF THE SIMONA SEDIMENT QUALITY SAMPLING PROTOCOL

MONITOR	ING PROGRAMME/ SAMP	LING PROJECT	INFORMATION:		
Project na	me: SIMONA		Sample identifier (ID)	: WPG_DM_02_03	
Collection date (DD/MM/YYYY): 09/09/2020			Collection time (HH:MM):		
Sampling r	natrix: 🖬 stream/bottom sedir	nent; 🗆 suspended :	sediment; 🗆 other (floodp	lain sediment,):	
Sampling:	accredited; not accredite	d	Sampling standard:	50 5667-12:2019	
MONITOR	ING SITE IDENTIFICATIO	N:			
Monitoring	Site ID (WISE-SoE):		Monitoring Site ID) (national):	
Name of the Deconstruction Sample los	ne Monitoring Site (e.g. name where rever near cation description with speci	e of the surface wa village c fic information (bri	ter and the city):	ాటాల ines, railway line, major road, natural park,	
) (provide	map on opposite side):				
Type of the other (flo	e monitoring site (can be diff odplain,): reservoir	erent from represe	enting waterbody): 🗆 river	; 🗆 lake; 🗆 wetland;	
Aim of san □ investiga	npling: general status; rel ion site – find contamination	ierence site (withou source; Zinvestiga	ut/small anthropogenic so tion site for other:	purces);	
	Latitude: 44° 28' 12,9"		Nationa	Latitude:	
WGS84	Longitude: 22 os	19,6 "	Coordinate system	Longitude:	
MONITOR	ING SITE REPRESENTING	G THE FOLLOW	NG WATERBODY AN	D ITS BASIN:	
Is it the sa If no, desc	me waterbody as the Monit ribe the connection betwee	oring Site has? 🗹 n waterbody and m	YES or □ NO nonitoring site (tributary, n	recipient,):	
Waterbody ID (WISE-SoE):			Waterbody ID (national): D3		
Name of th	ne Waterbody: Denub	e river			
Type of th	e Waterbody: Øriver; □ lake;	□ wetland; □ coast	tal; 🗆 transitional		
MONITOR	ING SITE CONDITIONS (F	PART I):	9 19 2 2		
River width [m]: 1600 ~ De ⊈∕estimated; □ measured value deg		Depth of water depth [m]: 7	estimated average	Flow rate [m/s]: □ estimated; □ measured value	
Water temperature [°C]: 24, Water electr			cal conductivity [µs/cm]: 364,6		
Water pH: 7,3 Water transparency (Secchi disk method) [cm]: 0,7~			d) [cm]: 0,7~		
Geology a	nd background value of par	ent material/litholo	ogy in the area:		
MONITOR	ING SITE CONDITIONS (F	PART II):			
Extreme c	onditions: Inone; I flooding	status; □ ice; □ pol	llution plume; 🗆 contamir	nated coast/bank;	

Page 1 | 2 Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI) A stream of cooperation

A stream of cooperation

Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)



Danube Transnational Programme

For further information on the SIMONA Sampling, Laboratory and Evaluation protocols; on the project, partnership and the Danube Transnational Programme: www.interreg-danube.eu/simona



Weather conditions: \Box hot; \Box sunny; \Box cloudy; \Box chan	geable; 🗆 rainy; 🗆 frosty	
SEDIMENT COLLECTION INFORMATION:		
Nater depth above sample [m]: Gm	Water surface	
Sediment sample depth [cm]: 10cm - 25cm	x	Water depth above sample
Collection device: □ stainless steel scoop;Øcorer; □ sa] other:	nt; Stream bottom	
Sample type: \mathcal{D} composite – number of subsamples: \leq	<u> </u>	Distance of the second
Distance between the first and last sampling site? [m]: 50~	
Sample replicate collected? VES or NO	nple replicate collected? I YES or NO Replicate ID/nar	
Sample is duplicated? YES or NO		
SAMPLE INFORMATION:		
Sampling volume estimated, wet weight [liter]: O, H	٤	
Temperature of sample (field observation, right after	sampling) [°C]:	
Sediment pH (undisturbed):	ediment pH (undisturbed): Sediment pH (post-hom	
Colour (Munsell soil colour chart number):		
Texture (particle size description):		
Odour: ⁻. none; ✔light; □ strong; ⊖earthy; □ mildewed; □ putrid; □ farm slurry; □ fishy; □	aromatic; □ sewage; □ fuel/o	a
Information on sediment components (seashells, anim	als, peat, wood, tar, stones, v	waste, plastics, etc.):
Sample photograph identification:		
Additional comments (e.g. map of the sampling site):		
	0	7

Page 2 | 2 Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI) A stream of cooperation

A stream of cooperation

Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)





For further information on the SIMONA Sampling, Laboratory and Evaluation protocols; on the project, partnership and the Danube Transnational Programme: www.interreg-danube.eu/simona



FIELD OBSERVATION SHEET FOR SEDIMENT SAMPLING

APPENDIX 3 OF THE SIMONA SEDIMENT QUALITY SAMPLING PROTOCOL

MONITOR	ING PROGRAMME/ SAM	PLING PROJEC	T INFORMATION:		
Project na	me: SIMONA		Sample identifier (ID): WP6_DM_0Z_02		
Collection date (DD/MM/YYYY): 03/05/2020 Collection time (HH:MM):			time (HH:MM):		
Sampling r	matrix: 🗹 stream/bottom sed	iment; 🗆 suspended	sediment; other (flood)	plain sediment,):	
Sampling:	Øaccredited; □ not accredit	ed	Sampling standard:	150 5667-12:2019	
MONITOR	ING SITE IDENTIFICATIO	DN:			
Monitoring	Site ID (WISE-SoE):		Monitoring Site I	D (national):	
Name of the Deco Sample lo) (provide	ne Monitoring Site (e.g. nar where need to be a set of the set of	ne of the surface wa Denji M cific information (br	ater and the city): ار (مر محرور براامی ridge, high power electric	ुe_ lines, railway line, major road, natural park,	
Type of th	e monitoring site (can be di	fferent from repres	enting waterbody): 🗆 rive	er; □ lake; □ wetland;	
Aim of sar □ investiga	npling: general status; r tion site – find contamination	eference site (witho n source; ⊠investig	out/small anthropogenic s ation site for other:	ources);	
	Latitude: 44° 28'	12,9 "National		al Latitude:	
WGS84	Longitude: 22° o	3' 13,6"	Coordinate system	M Longitude:	
MONITOR	RING SITE REPRESENTIN	IG THE FOLLOW	ING WATERBODY AN	ID ITS BASIN:	
Is it the sa If no, desc	me waterbody as the Mon ribe the connection betwe	itoring Site has? en waterbody and r	¥YES or □ NO monitoring site (tributary,	recipient,):	
Waterbody ID (WISE-SoE):			Waterbody ID (national): D3		
Name of t	he Waterbody: Dany	be river			
Type of th	e Waterbody: driver; □ lak	e; □ wetland; □ coa	stal; 🗆 transitional		
MONITOF	RING SITE CONDITIONS	PART I):			
River widt Bestimate	River width [m]: 1600 m Depth of water est ⊡€stimated; □ measured value depth [m]: 7 m		r estimated average	Flow rate [m/s]:	
Water terr	perature [°C]: 24,1	Water electrical conductivity [µs/cm]: 364,6		364,6	
Water pH:	7,3	Water transparency (Secchi disk method) [cm]: 0,7~			
Geology a	and background value of pa	irent material/litho	logy in the area:		
MONITOF	RING SITE CONDITIONS	PART II):			
Extreme o	conditions: Inone; 🗆 floodir	ng status; □ ice; □ po	ollution plume; 🗆 contam	inated coast/bank;	

Page 1 | 2

Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)

A stream of cooperation

Page 1 of 92

A stream of cooperation





For further information on the SIMONA Sampling, Laboratory and Evaluation protocols; on the project, partnership and the Danube Transnational Programme: www.interreg-danube.eu/simona



Weather conditions:	□ rainy; □ frosty	
SEDIMENT COLLECTION INFORMATION:		
Water depth above sample [m]: 6m	Water surface	
Sediment sample depth [cm]: 5cm - 10cm		Water depth above sample
Collection device: \Box stainless steel scoop; \square corer; \Box sampler f \Box other:	for suspended sediment;	Sediment sample depth [cm]
Sample type: \square composite – number of subsamples: $_$		No.
Distance between the first and last sampling site? [m]: 5	0-	
Sample replicate collected? VES or NO	Replicate ID/na	me:
Sample is duplicated? □ YES or ₩O		
SAMPLE INFORMATION:		and states
Sampling volume estimated, wet weight [liter]: $\bigcirc {}^{\mathcal{L}_1 \mathcal{Q}}$		
Temperature of sample (field observation, right after samplin	g) [°C]:	
Sediment pH (undisturbed):	Sediment pH (post-home	ogenization):
Colour (Munsell soil colour chart number):		
Texture (particle size description):		
Odour: ☺ none; ☑light; □ strong; □ earthy; ☑mildewed; □ putrid; □ farm slurry; □ fishy; □aromat	ic; □ sewage; □ fuel/oil	
Information on sediment components (seashells, animals, pear from particles	at, wood, tar, stones, was	te, plastics, etc.):
Sample photograph identification:		
Additional comments (e.g. map of the sampling site):	2	
Sampler name (readable): Sign	nature: M	E.

Page 2 | 2 Project co-funded by the European Union (ERDF, IPA and ENI)





A stream of cooperation