

**IMPLEMENTATION REPORT FOR THE EUROPEAN TERRITORIAL  
COOPERATION GOAL  
PART A**

**IDENTIFICATION OF THE ANNUAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT**

CCI	2014TC16M6TN001
Title	Danube
Version	2019.0
Date of approval of the report by the monitoring committee	28-Sep-2020

<b>IDENTIFICATION OF THE ANNUAL IMPLEMENTATION REPORT .....</b>	<b>1</b>
KEY INFORMATION ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME FOR THE YEAR CONCERNED, INCLUDING ON FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS, WITH RELATION TO THE FINANCIAL AND INDICATOR DATA.....	4
<b>3. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PRIORITY AXIS.....</b>	<b>6</b>
3.1 OVERVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION .....	6
3.2 COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC INDICATORS (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013) .....	10
PRIORITY AXES OTHER THAN TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE .....	10
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 1.1B.....	10
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 1.1B.1.1.....	12
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 1.1B.1.2.....	13
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 2.6B.....	14
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 2.6B.2.1.....	15
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 2.6C.....	16
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 2.6C.2.2.....	17
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 2.6D.....	18
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 2.6D.2.3 .....	20
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 2.6D.2.4 .....	21
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 3.7C.....	22
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 3.7C.3.1.....	23
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 3.7E.....	24
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 3.7E.3.2.....	25
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 4.11A.....	26
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 4.11A.4.1 .....	27
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 4.11C.....	28
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 4.11C.4.2.....	29
PRIORITY AXES FOR TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE .....	30
TABLE 2: COMMON AND PROGRAMME SPECIFIC OUTPUT INDICATORS - 5.TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.....	30
TABLE 1: RESULT INDICATORS - 5.5.1 .....	31
3.3 TABLE 3: INFORMATION ON THE MILESTONES AND TARGETS DEFINED IN THE PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK .....	32
3.4. FINANCIAL DATA.....	34
TABLE 4: FINANCIAL INFORMATION AT PRIORITY AXIS AND PROGRAMME LEVEL.....	34
WHERE APPLICABLE, THE USE OF ANY CONTRIBUTION FROM THIRD COUNTRIES PARTICIPATING IN THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME SHOULD BE PROVIDED (FOR EXAMPLE IPA AND ENI, NORWAY, SWITZERLAND) .....	35
TABLE 5: BREAKDOWN OF THE CUMULATIVE FINANCIAL DATA BY CATEGORY OF INTERVENTION.....	36
TABLE 6: CUMULATIVE COST OF ALL OR PART OF AN OPERATION IMPLEMENTED OUTSIDE THE UNION PART OF THE PROGRAMME AREA .....	44
(1) ERDF SUPPORT IS THE COMMISSION DECISION ON THE RESPECTIVE COOPERATION PROGRAMME. ....	44
<b>4. SYNTHESIS OF THE EVALUATIONS.....</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>5. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN.....</b>	<b>47</b>
(A) ISSUES WHICH AFFECT THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND THE MEASURES TAKEN.....	47
(B) OPTIONAL FOR LIGHT REPORTS, OTHERWISE IT WILL BE INCLUDED IN POINT 9.1. AN ASSESSMENT OF WHETHER PROGRESS MADE TOWARDS TARGETS IS SUFFICIENT TO ENSURE THEIR FULFILMENT, INDICATING ANY REMEDIAL ACTIONS TAKEN OR PLANNED, WHERE APPROPRIATE. ....	48
<b>6. CITIZEN'S SUMMARY (ARTICLE 50(9) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013) .....</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>7. REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (ARTICLE 46 OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013) .....</b>	<b>50</b>
<b>8. PROGRESS IN PREPARATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF MAJOR PROJECTS AND JOINT ACTION PLANS (ARTICLE 101(H) AND ARTICLE 111(3) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(3)(B) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013).....</b>	<b>51</b>
8.1. MAJOR PROJECTS .....	51
TABLE 7: MAJOR PROJECTS.....	51
SIGNIFICANT PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED IN IMPLEMENTING MAJOR PROJECTS AND MEASURES TAKEN TO OVERCOME THEM .....	51
ANY CHANGE PLANNED IN THE LIST OF MAJOR PROJECTS IN THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME .....	51
8.2. JOINT ACTION PLANS .....	52
TABLE 8: JOINT ACTION PLANS (JAP) .....	53
SIGNIFICANT PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED AND MEASURES TAKEN TO OVERCOME THEM .....	54

<b>9. ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)</b> .....	<b>55</b>
9.1 INFORMATION IN PART A AND ACHIEVING THE OBJECTIVES OF THE PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013) .....	55
9.2. SPECIFIC ACTIONS TAKEN TO PROMOTE EQUALITY BETWEEN MEN AND WOMEN AND TO PROMOTE NON-DISCRIMINATION, IN PARTICULAR ACCESSIBILITY FOR PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES, AND THE ARRANGEMENTS IMPLEMENTED TO ENSURE THE INTEGRATION OF THE GENDER PERSPECTIVE IN THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME AND OPERATIONS (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2, (D) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013) .....	57
9.3. SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2, (E) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013).....	58
9.4. REPORTING ON SUPPORT USED FOR CLIMATE CHANGE OBJECTIVES (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013).....	59
9.5 ROLE OF PARTNERS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 1, (C) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013) .....	60
<b>10. OBLIGATORY INFORMATION AND ASSESSMENT ACCORDING TO ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 1 (A) AND (B), OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013</b> .....	<b>61</b>
10.1 PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTATION OF THE EVALUATION PLAN AND THE FOLLOW-UP GIVEN TO THE FINDINGS OF EVALUATIONS ....	61
10.2 THE RESULTS OF THE INFORMATION AND PUBLICITY MEASURES OF THE FUNDS CARRIED OUT UNDER THE COMMUNICATION STRATEGY .....	63
<b>11. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WHICH MAY BE ADDED DEPENDING ON THE CONTENT AND OBJECTIVES OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2 (A), (B), (C) AND (F), OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)</b> .....	<b>64</b>
11.1. PROGRESS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE INTEGRATED APPROACH TO TERRITORIAL DEVELOPMENT, INCLUDING INTEGRATED TERRITORIAL INVESTMENTS, SUSTAINABLE URBAN DEVELOPMENT, AND COMMUNITY LED LOCAL DEVELOPMENT UNDER THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME .....	64
11.2 PROGRESS IN IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTIONS TO REINFORCE THE CAPACITY OF AUTHORITIES AND BENEFICIARIES TO ADMINISTER AND TO USE THE ERDF .....	65
11.3 CONTRIBUTION TO MACRO-REGIONAL AND SEA BASIN STRATEGIES (WHERE APPROPRIATE) .....	66
<i>EUSDR</i> .....	68
11.4 PROGRESS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTIONS IN THE FIELD OF SOCIAL INNOVATION .....	71
<b>13. SMART, SUSTAINABLE AND INCLUSIVE GROWTH</b> .....	<b>72</b>
<b>14. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN — PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)</b> .....	<b>73</b>
<b>DOCUMENTS</b> .....	<b>74</b>
<b>LATEST VALIDATION RESULTS</b> .....	<b>75</b>

## 2. OVERVIEW OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(3)(a) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)

### **Key information on the implementation of the cooperation programme for the year concerned, including on financial instruments, with relation to the financial and indicator data.**

The core objectives of the 2019 programme implementation have been successfully achieved, with the DTP continuing in the path of successful performance.

- The Moldovan management and control system received an unqualified opinion, allowing the designation of the first ENI country of the DTP
- The first call projects have all finished their implementation
- The third call proposals have been selected for the second step, whose assessment started already in December 2019.
- The second PAC call for proposals has been prepared, launched and decisions have been already taken by the MC.
- The concept of the second SMF call for proposals has been developed.

By the deadline of 15 February 2019, the "annual accounts" package prepared by the programme authorities in close cooperation (Audit Authority, Certifying Authority and MA/JS) had been submitted to the EC for the accounting year ended 30 June 2018. The annual accounts had been approved by the EC on 14 May 2019. Based on the expenditure included in payment applications submitted to the European Commission in the course of the accounting year 01/07/2018-30/06/2019, the Audit Authority selected the sample of operations to be audited and sent it to the GoA members for consultation purposes. Altogether 61 project partners have been selected for audit in order to gain comprehensive feedback regarding the implementation of projects within the Programme. The audits on operations were carried out by the External Auditor (AESA Hungary Ltd) from 24 July 2019 to 27 September 2019. Final audits reports had been issued by December 2019, except for one report which had been closed on 8th January 2020. In the audited period 24 irregularities were revealed regarding 15 beneficiaries. The total amount of these irregularities is 69 372.80€ out of which the amount not to be recovered and repaid into the EU budget (below 250€ contribution from the EU Funds) is 140.65€. Based on the Verification Reports submitted by the Partner States within the deadline of 15 October, as well as the results of the system audits and audits of sampled operations carried out, the MA/JS prepared the draft management declaration and annual summary for the year 2019. The final documents had been submitted to the AA in February 2020, after acceptance of the drafts by the AA.

Open questions related to reporting of ENI expenditure towards the EC had been discussed via videoconference in February 2019. Based on the result of the designation audit on compliance the management and control system (of the Audit Authority) of Moldova, with unqualified opinion, the MA/ JS provided access to the eMS to the ENI-MD controllers and they started to validate the expenditure reported by the ENI-MD project partners. Out of the 4 corrective measures needed, the MD authorities reported 2 fulfilled in 2019. The designation audit for Ukraine resulted with qualified opinion, meaning that the national control system is not established yet and corrective measures needs to be fulfilled. Fulfilment of the corrective measures will be audited in 2020 with the involvement of the GoA members. Meetings with the MD and UA authorities and MA/JS took place in 2019 (February 2019, Chisinau and April 2019, Kiev) on the steps needed to finalise the designation process.

By the end of 2019, all 54 first call projects finalised their implementation. 12 projects have been also financially closed by December 2019. Fourteen final Project Progress Reports (PPRs) are expected to be submitted within April 2020. In the first half of 2019, 20 first call projects requested modifications (mainly two to six months prolongation of the duration aimed at properly implementing the activities and disseminating the achieved results, or partnership change due to withdrawal of a PP), as well as few second call projects (e.g.

partnership changes). The PPRs for both the first and second call projects were received in different periods, keeping constant the work of the MA/JS and the controllers: in February and August for the second call projects, and from April on for the first call projects. The first year review (FYR) of the 22 second call projects started in May and was finalised in November. No major findings were identified during the visits, which were mostly used to clarify doubts and settle certain management or financial issues.

The second PPR for the PAC projects was submitted in April and confirmed a low-spending trend which proved to be a common trend for PAC projects (with some exceptions). An analysis on the PAC reporting was prepared by the MA/JS and discussed during the MC meeting.

The DSP project submitted its first PPR in June and despite the expected inclusion of the Croatian EUSDR Presidency institution in the project, this modification request started only in the second half of 2019 and therefore not completed by the end of the year.

The Seed Money Facility (SMF) projects submitted in March their interim report. Few projects completed their work before the standard 12-month implementation period and majority finalised the implementation by the end of August. Their final report has been submitted in December 2019, even though due to delays from the controllers' side, majority of them missed many certificates. Financial closure of the SMF projects is expected in the first months of 2020.

The third call for proposal was launched on the 28th January 2019 and followed a two-step procedure. The deadline for submission of the EoIs was on the 8th March 2019. The DTP received overall 276 submissions with 275 EoI. The three proposals failing the eligibility check, including the five proposals which submitted duplicated EoI received the official communication from the MA/JS about the decision of the Monitoring Committee. No official complaints followed. 61 proposals were invited to submit the complete Application Form in the second step which was opened from the 3rd October until the 25th November 2019. 59 proposals were submitted and the assessment is planned to be finalised by April 2020.

The second PAC call was planned to be launched on the 24th of June 2019 with a deadline on 24th of September 2019, but due to the late receipt of the list of eligible PAC institutions from the EC/EUSDR, the call was finally officially launched on the 6th August and closed on the 8th October 2019. All 12 Priority Areas successfully submitted the proposals through the eMS system. Following the assessment, 11 proposals were approved with conditions to be fulfilled (the deadline being set to 24th of January 2020). Due to the low quality of the content, one proposal was invited to be re-submitted by February 2020.

In the second half of 2019, the MA/JS started the preparation of the second SMF call and a first concept was presented during the MC organised in Chisinau. At the beginning of 2020 the MA/ JS will start the discussions with the PACs and further development of the call framework.

### 3. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE PRIORITY AXIS

#### 3.1 Overview of the implementation

ID	Priority axis	Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps taken to address these problems
1	Innovative and socially responsible Danube region	<p>During 2019 PA1 saw the successful closure of 17 first DTP call projects, out of which 12 were implemented under SO1.1 and 5 under SO 1.2. One important PA1 development was the emergency of a the strong, cluster type of collaboration among PA1 projects dealing with topics touching, to various degrees, the field of bio-economy (DanuBioValeNet, MadeinDanube, Moveco, EcoInnDanube, Foresda). These projects understood both the need and the opportunity of working together for delivering sturdy outcomes and they proved to be the core of the DTP Capitalization Pole 1 „Innovative Ecosystems for SMEs”. In addition, these projects were able to properly embed EUSDR in their daily activities by working directly with concerned PA Coordinators and getting an active role in their WGs, especially those of PA7 and PA8.</p> <p>The cross-sectoral correlations of some thematic topics among projects submitted both under first and second DTP calls were encouraged. Topics tackled in this framework were massive brain drain (NewGenerationSkills, Da-Space, Innoschool), lack of appropriate entrepreneurial public policies and social services or low level of entrepreneurial skills in technological or non-technological areas (Senses, Digitrans, Women in Business). Such topics proved to be of utmost importance for the Danube Region since they were able to provide appropriate responses to some of the key challenges brought about by the entrepreneurial transformations affecting the area.</p>
1-MD	Innovative and socially responsible Danube region (ENI-MD)	No MD PP has been involved in the projects approved under the 1st Call for proposals. In the second call for proposals 7 MD PP are participating in the approved projects (Moldovan Technology Transfer Network, FACLIA - Association for Children and Youth, Organization for Small and Medium Enterprise Sector Development, Agency for Innovation and Technology Transfer, State Agency on Intellectual Property of the Republic of Moldova).
1-UA	Innovative and socially responsible Danube region (ENI-UA)	No UA PP has been involved in the projects approved under the 1st Call for proposals. In the second call for proposals 3 UA PP are participating in the approved projects (European Initiatives Center, Institute of Market Problems and Economic-Ecological Researches of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Agency of the Regional Development and Cross-Border Cooperation "Transcarpathia").
2	Environment and culture responsible Danube region	In 2019, under PA2 there were altogether 27 projects under implementation approved in the framework of 1st and 2nd calls for proposals (6 addressing water management and flood prevention issues; 13 dealing with cultural and natural heritage valorisation; 7 focusing on restoration and management of ecological corridors and 1 tackling environmental risk management issues). 15 projects of the 1st call were finalised till the end of 2019, while the 2nd call projects reached mid-term of their implementation. Significant contributions were made to improve the status of water management and flood prevention in the Danube River Basin (DRB) by finalising the 1st call projects updating the Tisza River Basin Management Plan integrating flood management issues (JOINTISZA) and managing sediment transportation processes and sediment balance of the Danube River (DanubeSediment), while relevant steps were made within the on-going projects in developing and harmonising the sediment quality monitoring and assessment system (SIMONA); harmonising the flood and ice forecasting system (DAREFFORT) and finding effective and harmonised

ID	Priority axis	Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps taken to address these problems
		solutions for floodplain restoration in order to reduce flood risk (Danube Floodplain) within the whole DRB. In connection to valorisation of cultural and natural heritage of the Danube Region projects finalised their efforts in, among others, contributing to sustainable tourism development in geo-parks (Danube GeoTour) or valorisation of ART NOUVEAU heritage. Further more projects progressed in managing habitat and repopulation of migratory fish species in main river corridors of DR (MEASURES).
2-MD	Environment and culture responsible Danube region (ENI-MD)	No MD PP has been involved in the projects approved under the 1st Call for proposals. In the second call for proposals 1 MD PP are participating in the approved projects (Institute of Geology and Seismology).
2-UA	Environment and culture responsible Danube region (ENI-UA)	No MD PP has been involved in the projects approved under the 1st Call for proposals. In the second call for proposals 3 UA PP are participating in the approved projects (Ukrainian Hydrometeorological Center of the State Emergency Service of Ukraine, State Enterprise "Ukrainian Geological Company", City of Ivano-Frankivsk).
3	Better connected and energy responsible Danube region	Key developments and achievements of the 1st call projects: - inland waterway transport projects contributed significantly to the further development of waterways by exchanging and transferring know-how and good practices in the scope of waterway infrastructure, waterway maintenance and management (DANUBE STREAM), port development (DAPhNE), improved efficiency of cross-border movements of cargo (DANTE), mitigation of negative impacts of inland waterway transport systems on the Danube ecosystem (GREEN DANUBE) and unlocking the full potential of the Danube – Black Sea region for maritime and waterway transport (DBS Gateway Region). The integrated traveller information system developed within the LinkingDanube project not only assists users in making informed decisions with regard to their journeys but it also contributes to the modal shift towards an increased use of sustainable modes of transport, thus reducing traffic congestion and CO2 emissions. The energy-related projects brought major contributions towards an increased use of renewable energy sources in the Danube region, either biomass (ENERGY BARGE) or geothermal energy (DARLINGe), and developed an integrated grid-building energy management tool which was tested in 5 different locations (3Smart). As far as the two 2nd call projects are concerned, GRENDDEL progressed towards the identification of Danube fleet investment needs and preparation of green vessel concepts while making an inventory of existing State Aid measures meant to provide the basis for the planned Danube fleet model State Aid scheme and RADAR progressed towards the elaboration of Danube Region Road Safety Infrastructure Improvement Strategy and Action Plans.
3-MD	Better connected and energy responsible Danube region (ENI-MD)	No MD PP has been involved in the projects approved under the 1st Call for proposals. In the second call for proposals 1 MD PP is participating one approved projects (Automobile Club of Moldova).
3-UA	Better connected and energy responsible Danube region (ENI-UA)	No UA PP has been involved in the projects approved under the 1st and 2nd Calls for proposals.
4	Well governed Danube region	In the field of migration and demographic change projects contributed significantly to the further understanding of the patterns of youth migration within the Danube Region (YOUMIG) by developing institutional capacities on local level to enhance the scarce local evidence on youth migration, contributing to improved policymaking with a focus on human

ID	Priority axis	Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps taken to address these problems
		<p>capital. Statistical offices &amp; academic organisations team up with local governments created local developmental strategies based on improved impact indicators of youth migration. A rather targeted and pragmatic solution in the field of economic integration of migrants was developed by the project DRIM; the information platform DANUBE COMPASS (danubecompass.com) provides rich, harmonized and easy to understand information for new inhabitants in eight countries of the Danube region. With regard to educational governance pioneer-work was done by the project EduLab through promoting (new) private-public cooperation schemes for dual education at tertiary level. Under SO4.2 twelve EUSDR PAC-support projects finalized their third and last year of implementation. With exception of PA03 (culture) all projects moved towards consolidating their governance, especially with regard to the work of PA Steering Groups. Moreover, the Danube Strategy Point (DSP) project went into its 2nd year of implementation, delivering (despite larger staff fluctuation) most of the foreseen tasks. Finally, all projects of the third EUSDR support scheme – the Seed Money Facility (SMF) – ended in 2019 and submitted their final reports. The impact of this specific scheme is hard to estimate since it strongly depends on what will become out the "seeds" implemented.</p>
4-MD	Well governed Danube region (ENI-MD)	No MD PP has been involved in the projects approved under the 1st and 2nd Calls for proposals. Under the PAC call MD is participating with one PP (Ministry of Health, Labour and Social Protection - EUSDR PA9).
4-UA	Well governed Danube region (ENI-UA)	No UA PP has been involved in the projects approved under the 1st and 2nd Calls for proposals.
5	Technical Assistance	<p>In 2019 the TA beneficiaries financed under the priority 5 continued smoothly their activities related to programme management, implementation, monitoring, control, communication and evaluation.</p> <p>Main activities performed in 2019:</p> <p>Call for proposals and project implementation: MC approval of 11 projects under PAC 2 call; management of the 2nd step of the 3rd call with 61 applications, starting assessment of applications (to be finalised in 2020), preparation of new calls (SMF2), the day-to-day management of the DTP projects contracted.</p> <p>Meetings and events: 2 MC meetings, NCP meetings, 1 working group of controllers meeting, Lead Applicant seminar for the 3rd call); co-organisers of the 8th Annual Forum of EU Strategy for the Danube Region (EUSDR), Bucharest – Romania, 27-28/06, along with the Romanian Presidency and the European Commission.</p> <p>Communication activities: RegioStars Award 2019, DTP project videos, Brochure, Project Video Competition, EWRC Day-to-day management of the DTP website <a href="http://www.interreg-danube.eu">www.interreg-danube.eu</a></p> <p>Audits: supporting performing the system audit by the AA and the audit on 61 operations by AESA.</p> <p>TA beneficiaries (NCPs) submitted the yearly TA Project Progress Report to the MA/JS by the end of April 2019, in line with the provisions laid down in the TA Agreement.</p>
5-MD	Technical Assistance (ENI-MD)	<p>TA Agreement with MD had been prepared at the beginning of 2019, including provisions on advance payment. Due to changes in the institution of the TA beneficiary the MD party did not sign the TA Agreement prepared and signed by the MA. The procedure shall re-start in 2020.</p> <p>ENI –MD expenditure was reported only for the MA/JS TA project.</p>



ID	Priority axis	Key information on the implementation of the priority axis with reference to key developments, significant problems and steps taken to address these problems
5-UA	Technical Assistance (ENI-UA)	<p>The TA Agreement with UA was under checking by the UA national authority in 2019, signature of the TA Agreement is expected also in 2020.</p> <p>ENI –UA expenditure was reported only for the MA/JS TA project.</p>

## 3.2 Common and programme specific indicators (Article 50(2) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)

### Priority axes other than technical assistance

<b>Priority axis</b>	<b>1 - Innovative and socially responsible Danube region</b>
Investment priority	1b - Promoting business investment in R&I, developing links and synergies between enterprises, research and development centres and the higher education sector, in particular promoting investment in product and service development, technology transfer, social innovation, eco-innovation, public service applications, demand stimulation, networking, clusters and open innovation through smart specialisation, and supporting technological and applied research, pilot lines, early product validation actions, advanced manufacturing capabilities and first production, in particular in key enabling technologies and diffusion of general purpose technologies, as well as fostering investment necessary for strengthening the crisis response capacities in health services

**Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 1.1b**

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2019	Observations
F	CO04	Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving non-financial support	Enterprises	1,070.00	928.00	Crowdstream project, output "Trained for start-ups and social enterprises", containing 18 trainings with a target value of 180, in the form of training/s for business support organizations to develop innovative services to support small and medium enterprises for attracting financial support via crowdfunding methods.
S	CO04	Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving non-financial support	Enterprises	1,070.00	1,065.00	In the first two calls for proposals, the Monitoring Committee approved five projects aiming at reaching 1,065 enterprises that will receive non-financial support (e.g. DanubeBioValNet project offers support for SMEs in understanding the bio-based industry, future trends and challenges; FORESDA project offers support to SMEs in innovation management aiming at developing a joint innovation roadmap with the potential for the emergence of new products/services/business models). In the second call for proposals additional 11 projects were approved which plan to support 200 additional enterprises by providing non financial support.
F	CO26	Research, Innovation: Number of enterprises cooperating with research institutions	Enterprises	530.00	141.00	Made In Danube, output 'Supporting the companies cooperating with RTO', with a target value of 40 was one of the most important outputs of the project as it is directly related to the main objective of the project. The Output was aimed at provision of support for 40 companies in establishing the cooperating with RTOs through application of several tools & actions designed and performed in the frame of MiD Project (e.g. direct interviews with companies, conduction of TO/TR/IAs, Brokerage events,) and specifically to respond to the needs of the 3 existing regional initiatives. As a result, 40 companies signed Innovation Partnership Agreement (IPA) or Cooperation Agreement (CA) with RTOs or with other SMEs, active in the Bio-economy sector.
S	CO26	Research, Innovation: Number of enterprises cooperating with research institutions	Enterprises	530.00	527.00	In the first two calls for proposals, the Monitoring Committee approved seven projects that are aiming foster the cooperation with research institutions of 526 enterprises (e.g. MOVECO project support SMEs in engaging in new green partnerships with public or private research and innovation partners, contributing to making their business activity more resource-efficient; Made in Danube project will support companies in cooperating with support organisations by developing specific tools and actions; Once project from SO 2.2, DANuB, brings its cross-cutting contribution to CO26 by enhancing cooperation among 46 enterprises/research institutions).
F	P01	Number of strategies for improving the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions for research and innovation developed and/or implemented	Number	17.00	41.00	By the end of 2019, 41 strategies have been developed by the projects. Accelerator developed the Joint Strategy on the Promotion of Acceleration Programmes – is intended to embed entrepreneurial acceleration programmes and schemes into relevant policies (e.g. financial instruments) across Danube Region. This output was taken up by relevant for equity financing organisations from countries participating in the project and used in their effort to better meet the needs of promising DR start-ups. More information available on the following link: <a href="http://www.interreg-danube.eu/approved-projects/accelerator">http://www.interreg-danube.eu/approved-projects/accelerator</a> .
S	P01	Number of strategies for improving the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions for research and innovation developed and/or implemented	Number	17.00	46.00	Out of the 14 projects approved under SO 1.1, 13 projects are developing strategies aiming at improving the framework conditions for innovation in different sectors (e.g. Ecoinn Danube project is developing a strategy aiming at common strategy for Danube region aiming at renewable energy usage and energy saving; D-STIR project is developing a transnational strategy for applying Responsible Research Innovation in the Danube region; DanuBioValNet is developing a joint bio-based industry cluster policy strategy).
F	P02	Number of tools for improving the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions for research and innovation developed and/or implemented	Number	34.00	84.00	DanuBioValNet developed Value Chains and Road Maps for Phytopharma, Eco-construction and Bio- based packaging. These value chain describe the full range of activities that firms and workers do to bring a product from its conception to its end use and beyond. SmartFactoryHub: Tool - Smart Factory Cooperation Platform (SFCP), presented by the Pomurje Technology Park, is intended to support SMEs by providing a geographic overview and give some information about actors, good practices, projects and facilitators and, thus, foster the match between the demand (production/manufacturing organizations) and supply (solution providers) needs. The platform is available on the following link: <a href="http://www.p-tech.si/sfh-mapping/">http://www.p-tech.si/sfh-mapping/</a> .
S	P02	Number of tools for improving the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions for research and innovation developed and/or implemented	Number	34.00	113.00	Out of the 14 projects approved under SO 1.1, 13 projects are developing tools aiming at improving the framework conditions for innovation in different sectors (e.g. MOVECO project is developing circular economy tools aiming at improving the capacities of R&D, Business Support Organisations, public bodies to kick-start the implementation of Circular Economy in the Danube region; ACCELERATOR project is developing a joint guide on how to develop and test acceleration programmes).
F	P03	Number of pilot actions for improving the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions for research and innovation developed and/or implemented	Number	17.00	40.00	In the first two calls for proposals, the Monitoring Committee approved 14 projects addressing Specific Objective 1.1. InnoHPC: Pilots for electronic and automotive industries. Within these two pilots InnoHPC project targeted SMEs and clusters, providing them with opportunities to increase their efficiency of innovations and joint transnational value-chains in the Danube region. Higher education and research institutions with High Performance Computers got access to exciting real-life cases and opportunities and were provided with the chance of exploiting their entrepreneurial potential. Policy-makers and business support organizations received a valuable institutional support for their policies and initiatives. More information can be found on the following link: <a href="http://www.interreg-danube.eu/approved-projects/innohpc">http://www.interreg-danube.eu/approved-projects/innohpc</a> .
S	P03	Number of pilot actions for improving the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions for research and innovation developed and/or implemented	Number	17.00	123.00	All projects selected under SO 1.1 are implementing pilot actions aiming at testing different concepts, services, guidelines developed by the partnership (e.g. ResInfra@DR projects is implementing peer reviews and ex-ante assessment in establishing new research infrastructures; CrowdStream project is implementing pilot actions on campaigning models for crowd funding).
F	P04	Number of strategies for increasing competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening entrepreneurial spirit developed and or/implemented.	Number	15.00	1.00	Excellence in RESTI developed a strategy and roadmap defined the current challenges to the management of science, technology and innovation projects within the Danube macro-region, and suggested steps for policy action with the intention to provide strategic direction for the improvement of the institutional framework conditions for research and innovation. This strategy and roadmap document is based on the premise that the lack of adequate project management capacities, as well as the existing disparities among the countries within the Danube macro-region, particularly with regard to science, technology and innovation projects, can be successfully tackled by national and regional stakeholders. The document concludes by providing A Vision for Change as a reflection on the expected potential impacts, resulting from the implementation of the proposed measures.
S	P04	Number of strategies for increasing competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening entrepreneurial spirit developed and or/implemented.	Number	15.00	34.00	Out of the 11 projects approved under SO 1.2, 8 projects are developing strategies aiming at increasing the competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening the entrepreneurial spirit (e.g. SENSES project is developing a Social Enterprise Strategy for the Danube Region focusing on policy niches as social impact markets, financial instruments addressed to social enterprises, social enterprise acceleration techniques, public procurement favoring social enterprises etc.; DA-SPACE project is developing a strategy to boost innovation and entrepreneurship).
F	P05	Number of tools for increasing competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening entrepreneurial spirit developed and or/implemented	Number	30.00	31.00	Digitrans developed Regional Hubs' Incubators' main advantages lie in possibility of fostering collaboration of heterogeneous groups from various sectors with different levels of expertise and experience – all working towards achieving certain goals. They are meant to help SMEs gain a better understanding of how to transform into the digital age and offer an open environment available to anyone looking for a better comprehension of digital transformation as a whole or the use of innovation methods. The incubators are also ideal for anyone looking for a place to collaborate with others following trial and error approaches without the fear of failure. The list of Digitrans Incubators can be found here: <a href="http://www.interreg-danube.eu/approved-projects/digitrans/section/regional-incubators">http://www.interreg-danube.eu/approved-projects/digitrans/section/regional-incubators</a> .
S	P05	Number of tools for increasing competences of	Number	30.00	92.00	All projects approved under SO 1.2 are developing tools aimed at increasing the competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening the entrepreneurial spirit (e.g. DA-SPACE is developing

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2019	Observations
		employees in the business sector and strengthening entrepreneurial spirit developed and or/implemented				a Mentoring Scheme which will contribute in increasing the competences of employees from the business sectors).
F	P06	Number of pilot actions for increasing competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening entrepreneurial spirit developed and or/implemented	Number	15.00	2.00	NewGenerationSkills Pilot implementation of the Innovation Labs. Through the piloting of the Innovation Labs (ILs), partners validated their locally created structures and services designed to support the local youth in their innovative ventures. The complex support services and processes offered by the ILs acted as a magnet for the local youth and contributed to the advancement of the local innovation ecosystem. Innovation Labs are conceived as a physical and/or virtual environment for generating, developing and commercializing innovative youth' ideas through relevant trainings, mentoring and technology put at their disposal, following the entrepreneurial pathway. More information on the format, role and the location of Innovation Labs can be found on the following link: <a href="http://www.interreg-danube.eu/approved-projects/newgenerationskills/outputs">http://www.interreg-danube.eu/approved-projects/newgenerationskills/outputs</a> .
S	P06	Number of pilot actions for increasing competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening entrepreneurial spirit developed and or/implemented	Number	15.00	20.00	Out of the 11 projects approved under SO 1.2, 8 projects are developing pilot actions aiming at increasing the competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening the entrepreneurial spirit (e.g. project SENSES is developing social enterprise capitalization pilot which aims to establish new quality of intensified cooperation between social enterprises and their ecosystems across the Danube region; project DA-SPACE is developing an entrepreneurship training piloting an open innovation lab in which SMEs, PA and the civil society, can create fundaments for innovation together with young talents).
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	96.00	262.00	As documented learning interactions is a mandatory indicator that all the projects have to contribute to, all 17 projects approved are developing outputs that are contributing to this output indicator. DA-SPACE, Entrepreneurship Training – this output was implemented with the scope of promoting entrepreneurial culture among young students in order to provide them with the right knowledge and tools for starting their own business. ResInfra@DR: Stakeholder dialogue workshops. The five meetings were organised to provide fora for learning interactions whilst the four dialogue workshops contributed to generating knowledge and strategic intelligence and resulted in the preparation of 3 thematic guidelines and 1 document setting up the strategic direction for pilots.
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	96.00	495.00	As documented learning interactions is a mandatory indicator that all the projects have to contribute to, all 17 projects approved are developing outputs that are contributing to this output indicator (e.g. INNO HPC project is implementing a training for HPC providers and competence centres in the form of winter schools as well as workshops for SMEs from electronic and automotive industry).

(1)	ID	Indicator	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	CO04	Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving non-financial support	150.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	CO04	Productive investment: Number of enterprises receiving non-financial support	1,065.00	865.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	CO26	Research, Innovation: Number of enterprises cooperating with research institutions	33.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	CO26	Research, Innovation: Number of enterprises cooperating with research institutions	527.00	466.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P01	Number of strategies for improving the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions for research and innovation developed and/or implemented	27.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P01	Number of strategies for improving the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions for research and innovation developed and/or implemented	46.00	38.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P02	Number of tools for improving the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions for research and innovation developed and/or implemented	64.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P02	Number of tools for improving the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions for research and innovation developed and/or implemented	113.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P03	Number of pilot actions for improving the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions for research and innovation developed and/or implemented	15.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P03	Number of pilot actions for improving the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions for research and innovation developed and/or implemented	123.00	115.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P04	Number of strategies for increasing competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening entrepreneurial spirit developed and or/implemented.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P04	Number of strategies for increasing competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening entrepreneurial spirit developed and or/implemented.	34.00	9.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P05	Number of tools for increasing competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening entrepreneurial spirit developed and or/implemented	17.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P05	Number of tools for increasing competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening entrepreneurial spirit developed and or/implemented	92.00	66.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P06	Number of pilot actions for increasing competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening entrepreneurial spirit developed and or/implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P06	Number of pilot actions for increasing competences of employees in the business sector and strengthening entrepreneurial spirit developed and or/implemented	20.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	136.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	495.00	420.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

<b>Priority axis</b>	<b>1 - Innovative and socially responsible Danube region</b>
Investment priority	1b - Promoting business investment in R&I, developing links and synergies between enterprises, research and development centres and the higher education sector, in particular promoting investment in product and service development, technology transfer, social innovation, eco-innovation, public service applications, demand stimulation, networking, clusters and open innovation through smart specialisation, and supporting technological and applied research, pilot lines, early product validation actions, advanced manufacturing capabilities and first production, in particular in key enabling technologies and diffusion of general purpose technologies, as well as fostering investment necessary for strengthening the crisis response capacities in health services
Specific objective	1.1 - Improve framework conditions for innovation (short title). Improve the institutional and infrastructural framework conditions and policy instruments for research & innovation to ensure a broader access to knowledge for the development of new technologies and the social dimension of innovation

**Table 1: Result indicators - 1.1b.1.1**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	Observations
1.1	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to improve framework for research and innovation (composite indicator)	Semi-quantitative scale	3.68	2014	Increasing intensity (qualitative target)			The response rate was satisfactory in case of this SO (13 responses received).

ID	Indicator	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative
1.1	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to improve framework for research and innovation (composite indicator)		4.21						-

ID	Indicator	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
1.1	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to improve framework for research and innovation (composite indicator)		3.68

<b>Priority axis</b>	<b>1 - Innovative and socially responsible Danube region</b>
Investment priority	1b - Promoting business investment in R&I, developing links and synergies between enterprises, research and development centres and the higher education sector, in particular promoting investment in product and service development, technology transfer, social innovation, eco-innovation, public service applications, demand stimulation, networking, clusters and open innovation through smart specialisation, and supporting technological and applied research, pilot lines, early product validation actions, advanced manufacturing capabilities and first production, in particular in key enabling technologies and diffusion of general purpose technologies, as well as fostering investment necessary for strengthening the crisis response capacities in health services
Specific objective	1.2 - Increase competences for business and social innovation (short title). Foster innovative learning systems to increase competences of employees in the business sector, to strengthen entrepreneurial culture and learning contributing to better meet social needs and the delivery of services in the general interest.

**Table 1: Result indicators - 1.1b.1.2**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	Observations
1.2	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to increase competences for business and social innovation (survey based composite indicator)	Semi-quantitative scale	3.22	2014	Increasing intensity (qualitative target)			For the update of the result indicator values, the same stakeholders lists provided by the countries was used as in case of setting the result indicators baseline values. During the update of the baseline values, there was a very limited number of responses to the survey (3 responses). Even though the questionnaire was resent several times still the response rate did not improve. For the future update in 2020 the MA/ JS is considering updating the stakeholders lists as many institutional changes took place in this period.

ID	Indicator	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative
1.2	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to increase competences for business and social innovation (survey based composite indicator)		4.97						

ID	Indicator	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
1.2	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to increase competences for business and social innovation (survey based composite indicator)		3.22

<b>Priority axis</b>	<b>2 - Environment and culture responsible Danube region</b>
<b>Investment priority</b>	<b>6b - Investing in the water sector to meet the requirements of the Union's environmental acquis and to address needs, identified by the Member States, for investment that goes beyond those requirements</b>

**Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 2.6b**

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2019	Observations
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	22.00	9.00	Experts trained at Sediment Management Workshop - The scope of the international workshop was to train and inform the participating 53 national experts, coming from different DR countries, on using good practices of sediment management and the catalogue of measures developed by the DanubeSediment project. The training workshop offered also good opportunity for transnational discussion and exchange of knowledge towards future strategic issues of sediment management within the Danube River Basin.
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	22.00	27.00	As documented learning interactions is a mandatory indicator that all the projects have to contribute to, all 6 projects approved are implementing learning interactions contributing to the output indicator (e.g. JOINTISZA project is implementing a Training of best management on urban hydrology).
F	P08	Number of strategies for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention developed and/or implemented	Number	7.00	0.00	In the first two calls for proposals, the Monitoring Committee approved 6 projects addressing Specific Objective 2.1 which are under implementation and the outputs will be delivered towards the end of the projects.
S	P08	Number of strategies for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention developed and/or implemented	Number	7.00	3.00	Out of the 6 approved projects, 3 are developing strategies for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention (e.g. e.g. JOINTISZA project is developing a Public Involvement and Participation Strategy aimed at strengthening approaches and cooperation among the relevant actors of the river basin management planning process; Danube Floodplain project is developing Floodplain restoration/preservation action plan).
F	P09	Number of tools for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention developed and/or implemented	Number	15.00	6.00	Final Draft of the update of the Integrated TRBMP – In cooperation of relevant actors and stakeholders of the five Tisa River Basin (TRB) countries, in the framework of JOINTISZA project, the draft ITRBMP was developed, characterising the basin's main challenges, identifying pressures and assess status of waterbodies of the TRB, assessing also flood related risks and outlining the integrated joint programme of measures to reach good status of the waters. Danube Sediment Management Guidance contains recommendations for reducing the impact of a disturbed sediment balance, e. g. on the ecological status and on flood risk along the Danube River. Sediment quality sampling and laboratory protocols for Hazardous Substances –The two outputs of SIMONA project are comprehensive, standardized and harmonized protocols of sediment sampling and analytical methods.
S	P09	Number of tools for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention developed and/or implemented	Number	15.00	42.00	All 6 approved projects are developing tools for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention (e.g. JOINTISZA project is developing an integrated Tisza river management plan and is improving the GIS database; CAMARO-D project is developing Land Use management Plan aimed at steering land use to safeguard water resources and reduce flood risk; Danube Floodplain project is developing DRB Strategic Guidance for floodplain restoration and preservation aiming to reduce floods risk and reaching environmental and conservation objectives).
F	P10	Number of pilot actions for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention developed and/or implemented	Number	7.00	0.00	In the first two calls for proposals, the Monitoring Committee approved 6 projects addressing Specific Objective 2.1 which are under implementation and the outputs will be delivered towards the end of the projects.
S	P10	Number of pilot actions for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention developed and/or implemented	Number	7.00	12.00	Out of the 3 approved projects, 5 are implementing pilots aiming at improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention (e.g. JOINTISZA project will develop and test in two pilot areas a process oriented spatial decision support tool for urban water management together with a training on managing urban hydrology; Danube Floodplain is testing flood prevention measures).

(1)	ID	Indicator	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	2.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	27.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P08	Number of strategies for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P08	Number of strategies for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention developed and/or implemented	3.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P09	Number of tools for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention developed and/or implemented	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P09	Number of tools for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention developed and/or implemented	42.00	22.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P10	Number of pilot actions for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P10	Number of pilot actions for improving transnational water management and flood risk prevention developed and/or implemented	12.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

<b>Priority axis</b>	<b>2 - Environment and culture responsible Danube region</b>
Investment priority	6b - Investing in the water sector to meet the requirements of the Union's environmental acquis and to address needs, identified by the Member States, for investment that goes beyond those requirements
Specific objective	2.1 - Strengthen transnational water management and flood risk prevention (short title). Strengthen joint and integrated approaches to further develop and implement River Basin Management Plans in the Partner States in line with the overall Danube River Basin Management Plan in order to improve transnational water management and flood risk prevention contributing to the sustainable provision of ecosystem services.

**Table 1: Result indicators - 2.6b.2.1**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	Observations
2.1	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to improve transnational water management and flood risk prevention (survey based composite indicator)	Semi-quantitative scale	3.76	2014	Increasing level of cooperation (qualitative target)			For the update of the result indicator values, the same stakeholders lists provided by the countries was used as in case of setting the result indicators baseline values. During the update of the baseline values, there was a very limited number of responses to the survey (5 responses). Even though the questionnaire was resent several times still the response rate did not improve. For the future update in 2020 the MA/ JS is considering updating the stakeholders lists as many institutional changes took place in this period.

ID	Indicator	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative
2.1	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to improve transnational water management and flood risk prevention (survey based composite indicator)		3.23						-

ID	Indicator	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
2.1	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to improve transnational water management and flood risk prevention (survey based composite indicator)		3.76

Priority axis	<b>2 - Environment and culture responsible Danube region</b>
Investment priority	<b>6c - Conserving, protecting, promoting and developing natural and cultural heritage</b>

**Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 2.6c**

(I)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2019	Observations
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	43.00	86.00	Iron Age Danube organised the Archaeological Camp Hungary 2018 which was instrumental for exchange of know-how and technologies and supported the development and testing of joint methodologies and strategies in concrete circumstances (through complex field research and excavation on the Sütő archaeological site), enhance the academic network, for working on the presentation agendas for prehistoric landscapes and for raising public interest in the archaeology of the Iron Age (public event, school and family programmes and museum education programmes). URBforDAN developed and delivered the training on participatory approach towards improved governance and management of urban and peri-urban forests (UPF) based on which the employees of all 7 PP city administrations successfully implemented a series of workshops with key stakeholders.
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	43.00	142.00	As documented learning interactions is a mandatory indicator that all the projects have to contribute to, all thirteen projects approved are developing outputs that are contributing to this output indicator (e.g. CultPlatForm_21 project is exchanging experience and lessons learnt within the WG Culture dealing with thematic issues concerning existing and potential cultural routes, enlargement strategy and coordination of the mapping process (the Danube cultural routes hot spots and their tourism potential); Iron-Age-Danube project is organising International camps on Iron Age landscapes aiming at strengthening the international network, bringing monumentalized landscapes into public focus and act as a learning interaction for general public).
F	P11	Number of strategies for preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources developed and/or implemented	Number	14.00	8.00	Common strategy for protection and sustainable use of Ecosystem Services (ES) in Karst ecological regions is elaborated by the ECO KARST project, generating strategic guidance for upgrading ES inside and outside protected areas and for starting new local Pro-Biodiversity Business models and networking. The strategy includes a checklist of principles to find a balance between conservation, protection and economic development of karst regions based on cross-sectoral evaluation and collaboration. Strategies for management of natural resources - a set of strategic actions recommendations, elaborated by the LENA project, towards a better integrated approach to management of natural protected areas, agriculture, fisheries and aquaculture, non-timber forest products harvesting, ecotourism and e-mobility, at national, regional and EU level.
S	P11	Number of strategies for preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources developed and/or implemented	Number	14.00	42.00	Out of the 13 approved projects, 9 are developing strategies aiming at preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources (e.g. ART NOUVEAU project is developing a joint strategy for the preservation of art nouveau cultural heritage; CultPlatForm_21 project is developing 5 action plans for innovative Danube cultural routes in the direction of support the creating of new / amending existing cultural routes, also showing synergies with existing programmes such as the cultural routes programme of the Council of Europe; REDISCOVER is developing a Joint Visibility Strategy, tailoring locally and jointly applicable visibility methods and surfaces for potential local/joint Jewish Cultural Heritage tourism products and thematic routes).
F	P12	Number of tools for preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources developed and/or implemented	Number	29.00	35.00	The guidance document elaborated by the ECO KARST project describes the chain of methodology that can be used in participatory Mapping and Assessment of Ecological Services (MAES) processes resulting new interpretation of landscapes, stronger network of local stakeholders and overview of ecosystem contributions for economy. DANURB developed thematic tours covering settlements along the Danube in the seven participating countries. The mapping of cultural heritage focused primarily on small and midsized towns, but in certain cases, such as in the areas around Ráckeve in Hungary (so called Szigetzug microregion) and Krems in Austria (Wachau region), it included also villages. In several cases (Bačka Palanka – Ilok, Esztergom – Štúrovo etc.), the tours cross national borders and connect heritage of towns located in different countries.
S	P12	Number of tools for preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources developed and/or implemented	Number	29.00	148.00	All 13 projects approved are developing tools aiming at preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources (e.g. DANURB project is developing DANURB tours accessible in PocketGuide App and branding of the " Danube Cultural Promenade "; LENA project is developing certification and/or labelling procedures fitted to the protected areas).
F	P13	Number of pilot actions for preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources developed and/or implemented	Number	14.00	12.00	Pilot innovative geo-interpretation methods tested - 8 pilot actions were implemented by 8 partner geoparks, testing different geo-interpretation methods in the form of interpretation points, centres, trails, innovative exhibitions, geo-challenges, as part of the Danube GeoTour visitor infrastructure network for 8 most common geological phenomena and processes in the Danube geological area (tectonics, metamorphic processes and rocks, geology over time, water in time, geomorphology, volcanology, dialogue between earth & humans, geological hazards). This ensures transnational learning and transfer of practices from participating to other geoparks and organisations dealing with the heritage interpretation besides smarter presentation and preservation of geo-heritage of DR.
S	P13	Number of pilot actions for preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources developed and/or implemented	Number	14.00	41.00	Out of the 13 approved projects, 10 are implementing pilots aiming at preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources (e.g. LENA project is implementing a pilot on sustainable agriculture in 4 protected areas; DanubeGeoTour project is implementing innovative geoInterpretation methods in the form of interpretation points or centres in participating Geoparks).

(I)	ID	Indicator	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	50.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	142.00	120.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P11	Number of strategies for preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources developed and/or implemented	3.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P11	Number of strategies for preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources developed and/or implemented	42.00	33.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P12	Number of tools for preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources developed and/or implemented	14.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P12	Number of tools for preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources developed and/or implemented	148.00	116.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P13	Number of pilot actions for preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P13	Number of pilot actions for preserving and management of natural and cultural heritage and resources developed and/or implemented	41.00	38.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]



<b>Priority axis</b>	<b>2 - Environment and culture responsible Danube region</b>
Investment priority	6c - Conserving, protecting, promoting and developing natural and cultural heritage
Specific objective	2.2 - Foster sustainable use of natural and cultural heritage and resources (short title). Strengthen joint and integrated approaches to preserve and manage the diversity of natural and cultural heritage and resources in the Danube region as a basis for sustainable development and growth strategies.

**Table 1: Result indicators - 2.6c.2.2**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	Observations
2.2	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to strengthen sustainable use of natural and cultural heritage and resources (survey based composite indicator)	Semi-quantitative scale	Established through a survey among selected key actors	2014	3.87			For the update of the result indicator values, the same stakeholders lists provided by the countries was used as in case of setting the result indicators baseline values. During the update of the baseline values, there was a very limited number of responses to the survey (1 response). Even though the questionnaire was resent several times still the response rate did not improve. For the future update in 2020 the MA/ JS is considering updating the stakeholders lists as many institutional changes took place in this period.

ID	Indicator	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative
2.2	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to strengthen sustainable use of natural and cultural heritage and resources (survey based composite indicator)		5.35						-

ID	Indicator	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
2.2	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to strengthen sustainable use of natural and cultural heritage and resources (survey based composite indicator)		3.87

Priority axis	<b>2 - Environment and culture responsible Danube region</b>
Investment priority	<b>6d - Protecting and restoring biodiversity and soil and promoting ecosystem services, including through Natura 2000, and green infrastructure</b>

**Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 2.6d**

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2019	Observations
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	37.00	9.00	DriDanube - Training of trainers for Drought User Service - held on 5 October 2017 in Brno, Czech Republic, dedicated to experts in drought monitoring represented by the project consortium. In the course of the training, the experts were presented the prototype of Drought User Service (DUS) tool developed by the project for drought monitoring and early warning along with detailed presentation of all its functionalities and how to use them. During the course participants were able to practice its usage as well. The training helped project partners (training participants) as future trainers of their respective national community, to obtain the capacities for organisation of national training on DUS where targeted end-users such as national authorities and stakeholders will be trained on how to use DUS for improved preparedness to drought.
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	37.00	39.00	As documented learning interactions is a mandatory indicator that all the projects have to contribute to, all 8 projects approved are developing outputs that are contributing to this output indicator (e.g. ConnectGREEN project is implementing Multi-sectoral meetings and/ or fora o (1) discuss preliminary finding relevant for the Strategy and (2) final outputs/results with members of relevant CC Working Groups including WGs on Biodiversity, Spatial Planning and Transport; Sava TIES is implementing SavaParks Network Capacity Building Programme aiming at knowledge transfer in connection to good practices in habitat management that prevents plant invasions and helps contain good status of biodiversity).
F	P14	Number of strategies for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands developed and/or implemented	Number	8.00	1.00	Transboundary Mura-Drava-Danube (MDD) Action Plan - The action plan developed by the coop MDD project aims to summarise those activities that are needed for restoring and preserving a dynamic river corridor, which should be implemented in a harmonized way on a transboundary level for a stronger impact and higher efficiency and synergies. It thus constitutes the key operational framework for implementing concrete solutions to preserve and restore the MDD ecological corridor.
S	P14	Number of strategies for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands developed and/or implemented	Number	8.00	12.00	Out of the seven approved projects under SO 2.3 six are developing strategies for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands (e.g. coop MDD project will develop transboundary Mura-Drava-Danube Action Plan (TMDD Action Plan) which will be the key operational framework for carrying out concrete solutions in the planned TBR MDD that will restore, conserve and improve the MDD ecological corridor).
F	P15	Number of tools for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands developed and/or implemented	Number	16.00	1.00	Transboundary Management Plan – The TMP is the main tool for future coordinated management of the 5-country and 3-river ecological corridor Transboundary Biosphere Reserve Mura-Drava-Danube, which will soon be protected as the world's first 5-country Biosphere Reserve. The TMP consists of the Guidelines for a dynamic river corridor describing the joint management objectives to be reached and the Transnational Learning Network Concept describing the transboundary concept for the RIVER'SCOOLS network. It provides for the first time a harmonised approach to management of the river corridor that is agreed on transboundary level. The 5-country Coordination Board (including ministry, Protected Area, municipality, NGO representatives) use the TMP as a basis for formulating the work plan in the 5-country TBR MDD nomination form to UNESCO.
S	P15	Number of tools for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands developed and/or implemented	Number	16.00	58.00	Capacity Building Seminars – One-one Capacity Building Seminar was organised in each five countries of Transboundary Biosphere Reserve Mura-Drava-Danube, altogether with more than 200 participants to transfer the results developed within the coop MDD project and to increase the capacity of stakeholders of the region as well as Protected Areas outside the region in the management of transboundary ecological corridors and to promote the river corridor MDD and the management solutions developed within coop MDD.
F	P16	Number of pilot actions for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands developed and/or implemented	Number	8.00	16.00	Pilot Implementation of TMP - RIVER'SCOOLS - The pilot implementation of the jointly developed Transboundary Learning Network Concept (TLN Concept) consists of eight RIVER'SCOOLS (RS), developed by the eight participating Protected Areas within the planned Transboundary Biosphere Reserve Mura-Drava-Danube (TBR MDD). RIVER'SCOOLS are outdoor learning areas, mostly at the river or in the floodplain, that allow experience-oriented learning about the TBR MDD and river ecosystems. Each RS focuses on different topics of dynamic river corridor, values of intact ecosystems for people, and integrated Biosphere Reserve management, raising awareness among local population, students and visitors in general on the TBR MDD, the natural values and ecosystem services connected to it, as well as the ecological corridor that it represents.
S	P16	Number of pilot actions for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands developed and/or implemented	Number	8.00	58.00	Five projects approved under SO 2.3 are implementing pilot actions for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands (e.g. DANUBEparksCONNECTED project is will implement pilot actions to improve habitat quality of WILDIslands; to test and demonstrate best practice management, of valuable dry habitat sites; as well as testing different approaches to managing riparian forests to ensure connectivity of habitats along the Danube river; D2C project is implementing pilot actions aiming at contributing to the transnational strategic vision "Green Belt in the Danube Region 2030" by testing the potential implementation of transnational identified ecological corridors including identified Ecosystem Services (ESS) at the local/regional level).
F	P17	Number of strategies for improved cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders developed and/or implemented	Number	4.00	0.00	In the first call for proposals, the Monitoring Committee approved one project addressing SO 2.4 which are under implementation.
S	P17	Number of strategies for improved cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders developed and/or implemented	Number	4.00	1.00	DRiDanube project is developing a strategy to improve drought emergency response which will address basic weaknesses of the drought management process, identified by the review of existing status.
F	P18	Number of tools for improved cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders developed and/or implemented	Number	8.00	3.00	Drought User Service with manual – The Drought User Service (DUS), or "Drought Watch" monitoring tool, developed by DriDanube project, is an open-source web application with GIS functionality that provides spatial and temporal view of drought-related datasets over the Danube Region, improving the national capacities to monitor, forecast, evaluate and respond during drought development, as well as enables monitoring of drought conditions through the same set of indices across all intersecting countries, allowing harmonised monitoring and comparable view on drought conditions.
S	P18	Number of tools for improved cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders developed and/or implemented	Number	8.00	3.00	DRiDanube project is developing/ implementing several tools for improving cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders (e.g. Drought User Service with manual, a methodology for drought impact assessment, methodology for drought risk assessment).
F	P19	Number of pilot actions for improved cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders developed and/or implemented	Number	4.00	0.00	In the first call for proposals, the Monitoring Committee approved one project addressing SO 2.4 which are under implementation.
S	P19	Number of pilot actions for improved cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders developed and/or implemented	Number	4.00	2.00	DRiDanube project is implementing a pilot action testing the Drought User Service in 4 countries participating in the project.

(1)	ID	Indicator	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
-----	----	-----------	------	------	------	------	------

(1)	ID	Indicator	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	4.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	39.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P14	Number of strategies for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P14	Number of strategies for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands developed and/or implemented	12.00	3.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P15	Number of tools for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P15	Number of tools for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands developed and/or implemented	58.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P16	Number of pilot actions for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P16	Number of pilot actions for strengthening approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands developed and/or implemented	58.00	35.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P17	Number of strategies for improved cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P17	Number of strategies for improved cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders developed and/or implemented	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P18	Number of tools for improved cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P18	Number of tools for improved cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders developed and/or implemented	3.00	3.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P19	Number of pilot actions for improved cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P19	Number of pilot actions for improved cooperation and interoperability among the emergency response authorities and stakeholders developed and/or implemented	2.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

<b>Priority axis</b>	<b>2 - Environment and culture responsible Danube region</b>
Investment priority	6d - Protecting and restoring biodiversity and soil and promoting ecosystem services, including through Natura 2000, and green infrastructure
Specific objective	2.3 - Foster the restoration and management of ecological corridors (short title). Strengthen effective approaches to preservation, restoring and management of bio-corridors and wetlands of transnational relevance to contribute to the better conservation status of ecosystems of European relevance.

**Table 1: Result indicators - 2.6d.2.3**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	Observations
2.3	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to foster restoration and management of ecological corridors (survey based composite indicator)	Semi-quantitative scale	3.55	2014	Increasing level of cooperation (qualitative target)			For the update of the result indicator values, the same stakeholders lists provided by the countries was used as in case of setting the result indicators baseline values. During the update of the baseline values, there was a very limited number of responses to the survey (3 responses). Even though the questionnaire was resent several times still the response rate did not improve. For the future update in 2020 the MA/ JS is considering updating the stakeholders lists as many institutional changes took place in this period.

ID	Indicator	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative
2.3	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to foster restoration and management of ecological corridors (survey based composite indicator)		3.03						-

ID	Indicator	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
2.3	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to foster restoration and management of ecological corridors (survey based composite indicator)		3.55

<b>Priority axis</b>	<b>2 - Environment and culture responsible Danube region</b>
Investment priority	6d - Protecting and restoring biodiversity and soil and promoting ecosystem services, including through Natura 2000, and green infrastructure
Specific objective	2.4 - Improve preparedness for environmental risk management (short title). Establish and develop a more effective governance system for environmental protection addressing emergency situations and improve the preparedness of public authorities and civil protection organisation contributing to the reduction of risks and impact on ecosystem services, biodiversity and human health.

**Table 1: Result indicators - 2.6d.2.4**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	Observations
2.4	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to improve preparedness for environmental risk management (survey based composite indicator)	Semi-quantitative scale	3.65	2014	Increasing level of cooperation (qualitative target)			For the update of the result indicator values, the same stakeholders lists provided by the countries was used as in case of setting the result indicators baseline values. During the update of the baseline values, there was a very limited number of responses to the survey (5 responses). Even though the questionnaire was resent several times still the response rate did not improve. For the future update in 2020 the MA/ JS is considering updating the stakeholders lists as many institutional changes took place in this period.

ID	Indicator	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative
2.4	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to improve preparedness for environmental risk management (survey based composite indicator)		3.35						-

ID	Indicator	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
2.4	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to improve preparedness for environmental risk management (survey based composite indicator)		3.65

<b>Priority axis</b>	<b>3 - Better connected and energy responsible Danube region</b>
<b>Investment priority</b>	<b>7c - Developing and improving environmentally-friendly (including low noise) and low-carbon transport systems, including inland waterways and maritime transport, ports, multimodal links and airport infrastructure, in order to promote sustainable regional and local mobility</b>

**Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 3.7c**

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2019	Observations
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	48.00	32.00	DAPhNE documented learning interaction – Port administration processes and port management model workshops were aimed at the improvement and harmonisation of administrative processes across ports and the improvement of port business strategies with the support of management models. During the workshop on port management models several best practices were presented in connection to smart ports, port management studies, small private-owned ports, port concessions. The workshops fell within the scope of the harmonized approach initiative "Same river, same rules" focusing on administrative processes.
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	48.00	66.00	As documented learning interactions is a mandatory indicator that all the projects have to contribute to, all 13 projects approved are developing outputs that are contributing to this output indicator.
F	P20	No of strategies for the improvement of transport and transport systems developed and/or implemented	Number	16.00	30.00	GREEN DANUBE strategy – Strategy for greening the Danube inland waterway transport offers recommendations for suitable greening measures addressing infrastructure, ship-related technical measures, ship operational measures, education and qualification and logistics concepts. The document includes a roadmap for greening the Danube IWT and a list of possible actions.
S	P20	No of strategies for the improvement of transport and transport systems developed and/or implemented	Number	16.00	56.00	All 13 projects approved are developing strategies for the improvement of transport and transport systems (e.g. DANTE project develops a Danube IWT Administration Strategy accompanied by an Action Plan to help relevant authorities and organisations in harmonising the regulations and administrative requirements towards IWT in order to simplify existing procedures and render them more efficient).
F	P21	No of tools for the improvement of transport and transport systems developed and/or implemented	Number	32.00	68.00	DANTE – tool - Barrier reporting tool facilitates the collection of inputs from the inland waterway transport stakeholders with regard to problems encountered while navigating the Danube. During the project implementation, the inputs were collected in a database that provided the basis for the elaboration of recommendations towards the removal of barriers so as smooth and predictable processes are ensured along the Danube. Upon project closure, the barrier reporting tool remained operational at <a href="https://www.prodanube.eu/administrativebarriers/">https://www.prodanube.eu/administrativebarriers/</a> with a view to further improvements.
S	P21	No of tools for the improvement of transport and transport systems developed and/or implemented	Number	32.00	109.00	All 13 projects approved are developing tools for the improvement of transport and transport systems (e.g. DANTE project develops a transnational online IWT barrier reporting tool generating an overview of every-day administrative barriers encountered by the shippers in order to develop solutions to overcome them; CityWalk develops a Guidebook for designing Walkability Plans aimed at providing support to the partner cities in the preparation of the Walkability Plans.
F	P22	No of pilot actions for the improvement of transport and transport systems developed and/or implemented	Number	16.00	19.00	LinkingDanube: pilot action testing the Danube Region Journey Planner concept and tool. The Journey Planner employs the "linking services" approach set out by the European Commission in its Delegated Regulation 2017/1926 on the provision of EU-wide multimodal travel information services. It is a decentralised technical tool providing transnational traveller information services across operators and borders through a novel harmonised interface. The added value and the innovative aspect of the tool is that travellers can plan a complete transnational multimodal journey using one single service which is updated in real time (unlike Google Maps for instance) given the linking of different national services.
S	P22	No of pilot actions for the improvement of transport and transport systems developed and/or implemented	Number	16.00	73.00	Out of the 13 approved projects, 12 are implementing pilot actions for the improvement of transport and transport systems (e.g. Transdanube.Pearls implements 9 pilot actions to test three types of new/ improved mobility services (e.g. bike rental services, bike carriage facilities, flexible public transport services) in the Danube region).

(1)	ID	Indicator	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	13.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	66.00	45.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P20	No of strategies for the improvement of transport and transport systems developed and/or implemented	15.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P20	No of strategies for the improvement of transport and transport systems developed and/or implemented	56.00	45.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P21	No of tools for the improvement of transport and transport systems developed and/or implemented	23.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P21	No of tools for the improvement of transport and transport systems developed and/or implemented	109.00	93.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P22	No of pilot actions for the improvement of transport and transport systems developed and/or implemented	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P22	No of pilot actions for the improvement of transport and transport systems developed and/or implemented	73.00	64.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

<b>Priority axis</b>	<b>3 - Better connected and energy responsible Danube region</b>
Investment priority	7c - Developing and improving environmentally-friendly (including low noise) and low-carbon transport systems, including inland waterways and maritime transport, ports, multimodal links and airport infrastructure, in order to promote sustainable regional and local mobility
Specific objective	3.1 - Support environmentally-friendly and safe transport systems and balanced accessibility of urban and rural areas (short title). Improve planning, coordination and practical solutions for an environmentally-friendly, low-carbon and safer transport network and services in the programme area contributing to a balanced accessibility of urban and rural areas.

**Table 1: Result indicators - 3.7c.3.1**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	Observations
3.1	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to strengthen environmentally-friendly, safe and balanced transport systems (survey based composite indicator)	Semi-quantitative scale	4.05	2014	Increasing level of cooperation (qualitative target)			For the update of the result indicator values, the same stakeholders lists provided by the countries was used as in case of setting the result indicators baseline values. During the update of the baseline values, there was a very limited number of responses to the survey (2 responses). Even though the questionnaire was resent several times still the response rate did not improve. For the future update in 2020 the MA/ JS is considering updating the stakeholders lists as many institutional changes took place in this period.

ID	Indicator	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative
3.1	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to strengthen environmentally-friendly, safe and balanced transport systems (survey based composite indicator)		3.46						-

ID	Indicator	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
3.1	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to strengthen environmentally-friendly, safe and balanced transport systems (survey based composite indicator)		4.05

<b>Priority axis</b>	<b>3 - Better connected and energy responsible Danube region</b>
<b>Investment priority</b>	<b>7e - Improving energy efficiency and security of supply through the development of smart energy distribution, storage and transmission systems and through the integration of distributed generation from renewable sources</b>

**Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 3.7e**

(1)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2019	Observations
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	16.00	8.00	ENERGY BARGE documented learning interaction –a workshop on logistic requirements was organised with the ports of Aschaffenburg and Bamberg in the context of the project to facilitate the know-how exchange and cross-fertilisation regarding logistic requirements of the bioenergy industry and define benchmarks and success factors for the handling and storage of biomass. During the workshop, the shortcomings and barriers regarding investments, services and information needs of potential customers were addressed as well as aspects related to the navigability of the Danube, the vessel types operating in the Danube region and the transport of biomass.
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	16.00	14.00	As documented learning interactions is a mandatory indicator that all the projects have to contribute to, all 3 projects approved are developing outputs that are contributing to this output indicator (e.g. 3Smart project is implementing transnational training activities aimed at capacity building, exchange of experience and harmonising knowledge on energy management system setting up and operation; ENERGY BARGE project is implementing regional B2B meetings on green bioenergy logistics).
F	P23	No of strategies to improve energy security and energy efficiency developed and/or implemented	Number	5.00	4.00	DARLINGe strategy - Transnational Danube Region Geothermal Strategy is aimed at increasing the sustainable use of geothermal energy in the region. It includes an analysis of the geothermal energy framework as well as the policy context and it presents the geothermal resources and their utilisation, the operational and technological issues of geothermal heating systems, the heat market aspects and economics of geothermal heating and makes recommendations as to how to build on the current strengths and opportunities and how to overcome the barriers.
S	P23	No of strategies to improve energy security and energy efficiency developed and/or implemented	Number	5.00	7.00	All 3 projects approved are developing strategies aimed at improving energy security and energy efficiency (e.g. DARLINGe project develops a Transnational Danube Region Geothermal Strategy aimed at enhancing the use of still untapped geothermal energy resources in the region).
F	P24	No of tools to improve energy security and energy efficiency developed and/or implemented	Number	11.00	5.00	ENERGY BARGE: Modal shift platform for green bioenergy logistics (www.energy-barge.eu), facilitates the cooperation between market actors along the biomass and bioenergy value chains. Thus, forwarding companies from the biomass and bioenergy sectors can use the platform to inform themselves about ports, logistics service providers and the conditions of transporting biomass products on the Danube. The tool also supports the modal shift towards the waterway transport as well as the increase of cargo transport on the Danube river. The extension of the tool's functionalities is foreseen in the 3rd call proposal DanuP-2-Gas which received a high score. The extension consists of further information with regard to energy infrastructure, electricity and gas grid, further data on fermentable and non-fermentable biogenic residue that can be used for energy storage.
S	P24	No of tools to improve energy security and energy efficiency developed and/or implemented	Number	11.00	7.00	All 3 projects approved are developing tools aimed at improving energy security and energy efficiency (e.g. 3Smart project develops a modular cross-spanning energy management tool to enable energy management within and between buildings and electricity distribution grids for the Danube region)
F	P25	Number of pilot actions to improve energy security and energy efficiency developed and/or implemented	Number	5.00	6.00	3Smart: pilot action testing the developed Integrated grid-building energy management tool. Operational logs were kept so as to assess the benefits achievable with 3Smart energy management as compared to conventional operations in buildings and grids but also to extract reliable data for the elaboration of the cost-benefit analysis. The CBA can be used by energy regulators responsible for the development of measures to support demand response abilities within the energy networks or by local public authorities in deciding and planning the return on such investments. The versatile character of the developed tool allows its uptake in other domains, e.g. energy management in historical urban sites (Store4HUC proposal in Interreg Central Europe Programme) and water distribution system or water treatment plant (REWAISE project in H2020).
S	P25	Number of pilot actions to improve energy security and energy efficiency developed and/or implemented	Number	5.00	9.00	All 3 projects approved are implementing pilot actions aimed at improving energy security and energy efficiency (e.g. ENERGY BARGE project implements 2 pilot actions in 2 Danube ports to test the processing, handling and storage of biomass for energy production in the region).

(1)	ID	Indicator	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	14.00	14.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P23	No of strategies to improve energy security and energy efficiency developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P23	No of strategies to improve energy security and energy efficiency developed and/or implemented	7.00	7.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P24	No of tools to improve energy security and energy efficiency developed and/or implemented	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P24	No of tools to improve energy security and energy efficiency developed and/or implemented	7.00	7.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P25	Number of pilot actions to improve energy security and energy efficiency developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P25	Number of pilot actions to improve energy security and energy efficiency developed and/or implemented	9.00	9.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]



<b>Priority axis</b>	<b>3 - Better connected and energy responsible Danube region</b>
<b>Investment priority</b>	7e - Improving energy efficiency and security of supply through the development of smart energy distribution, storage and transmission systems and through the integration of distributed generation from renewable sources
<b>Specific objective</b>	3.2 - Improve energy security and energy efficiency (short title). Contribute to the energy security and energy efficiency of the region by supporting the development of joint regional storage and distribution solutions and strategies for increasing energy efficiency and renewable energy usage.

**Table 1: Result indicators - 3.7e.3.2**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	Observations
3.2	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to contribute to energy security and energy efficiency (survey based composite indicator)	Ordinal scale	3.90	2014	Increasing intensity of cooperation			For the update of the result indicator values, the same stakeholders lists provided by the countries was used as in case of setting the result indicators baseline values. During the update of the baseline values, there was a very limited number of responses to the survey (3 responses). Even though the questionnaire was resent several times still the response rate did not improve. For the future update in 2020 the MA/ JS is considering updating the stakeholders lists as many institutional changes took place in this period.

ID	Indicator	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative
3.2	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to contribute to energy security and energy efficiency (survey based composite indicator)		4.68						-

ID	Indicator	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
3.2	Intensity of cooperation of key actors in the programme area in order to contribute to energy security and energy efficiency (survey based composite indicator)		3.90

<b>Priority axis</b>	<b>4 - Well governed Danube region</b>
<b>Investment priority</b>	<b>11a - Enhancing institutional capacity of public authorities and stakeholders and efficient public administration through actions to strengthen the institutional capacity and the efficiency of public administrations and public services related to the implementation of the ERDF, and in support of actions under the ESF to strengthen the institutional capacity and the efficiency of public administration</b>

**Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 4.11a**

(I)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2019	Observations
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	50.00	53.00	Learning interactions were at the core of the capacity building-learning S.O.4.1., though most of them not as final aim of a given project as such but as intermediate steps to achieve broader policy-impact. As one good practice the establishment of an Advisory Board for the economic inclusion of Roma communities through the project RARE can be mentioned. The advisory board was created to be the main engine to fuel policy making on Roma labour market activation solution on DR and to inform EUSDR PA9 and PA10 through their respective Steering Groups and PA10 D-LAP working group.
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	50.00	61.00	As documented learning interactions is a mandatory indicator that all the projects have to contribute to, all 8 projects approved are developing outputs that are contributing to this output indicator (e.g. YOUNIG project is implementing trainings for local governments on youth migration indicators and their adaptation for strategy planning & implementation on local level. The aim is to support local governments to better understand the evidence base of youth migration in order to identify and measure the change of human/social/financial capital resulting from youth migration leading to better strategy planning).
F	P26	Number of strategies for strengthening institutional capacities and transnational multilevel governance developed and/or implemented	Number	17.00	7.00	EduLab elaborated a strategic framework ( " Danubian Charta for Young Talents " ) to offer a sustainable solution for the problem of brain drain and skill mismatch by developing a practice-oriented higher education model that aligns education and training with the demands of the job market. YOUNIG created local developmental strategies based on improved impact indicators of youth migration.
S	P26	Number of strategies for strengthening institutional capacities and transnational multilevel governance developed and/or implemented	Number	17.00	68.00	All 8 projects approved are developing strategies for strengthening institutional capacities and transnational multilevel governance (e.g. Edu Lab project is developing " Danubian Charta for young talents " which will visualise the new Danubian governance model reflecting the common vision of the Danube Region in the labour market relevance of higher education).
F	P27	Number of tools for strengthening institutional capacities and supporting transnational multilevel governance developed and/or implemented	Number	33.00	45.00	Clearly the outstanding good practice under S.O.4.1 is an output developed by DRIM. The information platform DANUBE COMPASS is an innovative attempt to respond to the transnational challenges in the field of migration with a transnational approach instead of national information infrastructures. The expected short-term impact is a better response of main target groups (local and national authorities) in supporting migrants' economic integration as well as migrants' – the secondary target group – easier access to employment and renewed trust in public authorities.
S	P27	Number of tools for strengthening institutional capacities and supporting transnational multilevel governance developed and/or implemented	Number	33.00	59.00	All 8 projects approved are developing tools for strengthening institutional capacities and transnational multilevel governance (e.g. DRIM project is developing " DANUBE COMPASS info tool " which is a transnational information platform for information dissemination in the field of migrants' economic integration (information regarding employment, labour market, work, skills enhancement and other areas of integration).
F	P28	Number of pilot actions for strengthening institutional capacities and supporting transnational multilevel governance developed and/or implemented	Number	17.00	5.00	The development and implementation of six action plans of participatory peri-urban agriculture have been the core issue of pilot actions carried out by the project AgriGo4Cities. The main aim was to test a common methodology in the field concerned and to enable public administrators to capitalize their knowledge gained in a transnational learning environment. Communities at risk of exclusion were able to stress and follow their ideas by working on the local participatory peri-urban agriculture projects. The pilot actions contributed to the socio-economic integration and a better urban environment in the locations concerned.
S	P28	Number of pilot actions for strengthening institutional capacities and supporting transnational multilevel governance developed and/or implemented	Number	17.00	22.00	Out of the 8 approved projects, 6 are implementing pilot actions for strengthening institutional capacities and transnational multilevel governance (e.g. RARE " Cooperation, capacity building, sensitisation pilots " which will strongly support the creation of more inclusive institutional attitude of stakeholders - policy makers, labour offices, educational institutions, NGOs, public services - working for Roma labour market activation through cooperation and capacity building).

(I)	ID	Indicator	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	35.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	61.00	61.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P26	Number of strategies for strengthening institutional capacities and transnational multilevel governance developed and/or implemented	6.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P26	Number of strategies for strengthening institutional capacities and transnational multilevel governance developed and/or implemented	68.00	68.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P27	Number of tools for strengthening institutional capacities and supporting transnational multilevel governance developed and/or implemented	38.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P27	Number of tools for strengthening institutional capacities and supporting transnational multilevel governance developed and/or implemented	59.00	53.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P28	Number of pilot actions for strengthening institutional capacities and supporting transnational multilevel governance developed and/or implemented	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P28	Number of pilot actions for strengthening institutional capacities and supporting transnational multilevel governance developed and/or implemented	22.00	22.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

<b>Priority axis</b>	<b>4 - Well governed Danube region</b>
Investment priority	11a - Enhancing institutional capacity of public authorities and stakeholders and efficient public administration through actions to strengthen the institutional capacity and the efficiency of public administrations and public services related to the implementation of the ERDF, and in support of actions under the ESF to strengthen the institutional capacity and the efficiency of public administration
Specific objective	4.1 - Improve institutional capacities to tackle major societal challenges (short title). Strengthen multilevel- and transnational governance and institutional capacities and provide viable institutional and legal frameworks for more effective, wider and deeper transnational cooperation across the Danube region in areas with major societal challenges.

**Table 1: Result indicators - 4.11a.4.1**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	Observations
4.1	Intensity of cooperation of institutional actors and other stakeholders in the programme area in order to tackle major societal challenges (survey based composite indicator)	Semi-quantitative scale	4.14	2014	Increasing intensity of cooperation (qualitative target)			For the update of the result indicator values, the same stakeholders lists provided by the countries was used as in case of setting the result indicators baseline values. During the update of the baseline values in this particular case none of the institutions nominated by the countries responded to the questionnaire and even though it was resent several times still the response rate did not improve. For the future update in 2020 the MA/ JS is considering updating the stakeholders lists as many institutional changes took place in this period.

ID	Indicator	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative
4.1	Intensity of cooperation of institutional actors and other stakeholders in the programme area in order to tackle major societal challenges (survey based composite indicator)								-

ID	Indicator	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
4.1	Intensity of cooperation of institutional actors and other stakeholders in the programme area in order to tackle major societal challenges (survey based composite indicator)		4.14

Priority axis	4 - Well governed Danube region
Investment priority	11c - Developing and coordinating macro-regional and sea-basin strategies (ETC-TN)

**Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 4.11c**

(I)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2019	Observations
F	P29	Number of EUSDR Priority Areas financed	Number	12.00	12.00	By the end of 2018 all 12 PAC projects were contracted and are under implementation. Second PAC call was launched and assessment finalized in 2019 in order to ensure the continuation of the PAC financing.
S	P29	Number of EUSDR Priority Areas financed	Number	12.00	12.00	By the end of 2018 all 12 PAC projects were contracted and are under implementation.
F	P30	Number of projects plans prepared through the seed money facility	Number	50.00	19.00	In 2019 all the Seed Money projects finalized their implementation. Some proposals submitted in the 3rd call stemmed from the DTP Seed Money Facility (SMF) scheme. It is to be noted that, despite the preparation work initiated and carried out under the framework of the SMF, the proposals submitted to DTP reached an average/low assessment rate, without flagging any different approach or added value compared to proposals not part of any preparation scheme.
S	P30	Number of projects plans prepared through the seed money facility	Number	50.00	19.00	In 2018 the DTP MC selected 19 SMF project to be financed and all started implementation in 2018 having a 1 year duration.
F	P31	EUSDR Strategy Point implemented	Number	1.00	1.00	The preparation for the DSP open call for proposal started already in 2017 but was completed, launched and closed in 2018. The call was closed in June and the eligibility and MA/JS quality assessment has been finalised always in June. In this special call, for the first time, the MC members acted also as assessors and contributed to the overall quality assessment during the 8th MC meeting in July, where the only project proposed has been approved with conditions. After a two months condition clearing period, the MC finally approved the project in September followed by the signature of the subsidy contract.
S	P31	EUSDR Strategy Point implemented	Number	1.00	1.00	The preparation for the DSP open call for proposal started already in 2017 but was completed, launched and closed in 2018. The call was closed in June and the eligibility and MA/JS quality assessment has been finalised always in June. In this special call, for the first time, the MC members acted also as assessors and contributed to the overall quality assessment during the 8th MC meeting in July, where the only project proposed has been approved with conditions. After a two months condition clearing period, the MC finally approved the project in September followed by the signature of the subsidy contract.

(I)	ID	Indicator	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	P29	Number of EUSDR Priority Areas financed	12.00	9.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P29	Number of EUSDR Priority Areas financed	12.00	9.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P30	Number of projects plans prepared through the seed money facility	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P30	Number of projects plans prepared through the seed money facility	19.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
F	P31	EUSDR Strategy Point implemented	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
S	P31	EUSDR Strategy Point implemented	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

<b>Priority axis</b>	<b>4 - Well governed Danube region</b>
Investment priority	11c - Developing and coordinating macro-regional and sea-basin strategies (ETC-TN)
Specific objective	4.2 - Support to the governance and implementation of the EUSDR (short title). Improve the governance system and the capabilities and capacities of public institutions and key actors involved in complex transnational project development to implement the EUSDR in a more effective way.

**Table 1: Result indicators - 4.11c.4.2**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	Observations
4.2	The status of management capacities of Priority Area Coordinators (PAC) to effectively implement EUSDR goals, targets and key action (survey composite indicator)	Semi-quantitative scale	3.59	2014	Improved capacities of PACs (qualitative target)			The response rate was satisfactory in case of this SO (11 responses received).

ID	Indicator	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative
4.2	The status of management capacities of Priority Area Coordinators (PAC) to effectively implement EUSDR goals, targets and key action (survey composite indicator)		4.68						-

ID	Indicator	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
4.2	The status of management capacities of Priority Area Coordinators (PAC) to effectively implement EUSDR goals, targets and key action (survey composite indicator)		3.59

## Priority axes for technical assistance

Priority axis	5 - Technical Assistance
---------------	--------------------------

**Table 2: Common and programme specific output indicators - 5.Technical Assistance**

(I)	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Target value	2019	Observations
F	P5.1	No of projects committed and successfully closed (P)	Number	147.00	115.00	First and second call of (normal) projects, PAC, DSP and SMF projects have been approved and altogether with the TA Project Plans 115 projects were on going or finalised in 2019.
S	P5.1	No of projects committed and successfully closed (P)	Number	147.00	147.00	The DTP programme has planned in the CP to have 147 projects committed and successfully closed.
F	P5.2	Number of major publicity events (P)	Number	8.00	6.00	The Danube Transnational Programme co-organised the 8th edition of the Annual Forum of the EU Strategy for the Danube region (Bucharest, 26-28/06/2019). The DTP was directly in charge of one plenary session and one workshop as side event.
S	P5.2	Number of major publicity events (P)	Number	8.00	8.00	8 major publicity events are planned by the end of the programme according to the CP.
F	P5.3	Number of compulsory information events for applicants and beneficiaries at project start (P)	Number	10.00	17.00	Lead Applicant related to the 3rd call (Budapest, 17/10/2019) Lead Applicant seminar addressed to the 2nd PAC call (Budapest, 13/06/2019) DTP capitalisation state of play and future advances (19th March 2019, Budapest) Thematic Poles' and PACs' meeting on
S	P5.3	Number of compulsory information events for applicants and beneficiaries at project start (P)	Number	10.00	10.00	10 compulsory information events for applicants and beneficiaries at project start are planned throughout the implementation of the DTP programme according to the CP.

(I)	ID	Indicator	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
F	P5.1	No of projects committed and successfully closed (P)	115.00	74.00	74.00	0.00	0.00
S	P5.1	No of projects committed and successfully closed (P)	147.00	147.00	147.00	147.00	0.00
F	P5.2	Number of major publicity events (P)	5.00	4.00	2.00	1.00	0.00
S	P5.2	Number of major publicity events (P)	8.00	8.00	8.00	8.00	0.00
F	P5.3	Number of compulsory information events for applicants and beneficiaries at project start (P)	14.00	8.00	3.00	1.00	0.00
S	P5.3	Number of compulsory information events for applicants and beneficiaries at project start (P)	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	0.00

(1) S=Cumulative value - outputs to be delivered by selected operations [forecast provided by beneficiaries], F=Cumulative value - outputs delivered by operations [actual achievement]

Priority axis	5 - Technical Assistance
Specific objective	5.1 - Ensure the efficient and smooth implementation of the Danube Transnational Programme.

**Table 1: Result indicators - 5.5.1**

ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Baseline value	Baseline year	Target value (2023) Total	2019 Total	2019 Qualitative	Observations
-	Not applicable since the contribution of ERDF funds for TA does not exceed EUR 15,000,000 (acc. to Commission Implementing Regulation 288/2014, Annex II)	-						

ID	Indicator	2018 Total	2018 Qualitative	2017 Total	2017 Qualitative	2016 Total	2016 Qualitative	2015 Total	2015 Qualitative
-	Not applicable since the contribution of ERDF funds for TA does not exceed EUR 15,000,000 (acc. to Commission Implementing Regulation 288/2014, Annex II)								

ID	Indicator	2014 Total	2014 Qualitative
-	Not applicable since the contribution of ERDF funds for TA does not exceed EUR 15,000,000 (acc. to Commission Implementing Regulation 288/2014, Annex II)		

### 3.3 Table 3: Information on the milestones and targets defined in the performance framework

Priority axis	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Milestone for 2018 total	Final target (2023) total	2019	Observations
1	F	F11	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 1	EUR	4,945,665.00	64,655,843.00	28,377,245.20	
1	I	KIS	Number of documented learning interactions (in approved applications)	Number	20	96.00	495.00	
1	O	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	0	96.00	262.00	In 2019 all the 1st Call projects finalised their implementation of the activities. The data included in the performance framework is extracted from eMS system. However eMS is only calculating the outputs delivered only when the progress report is approved by the MA/ JS, and a many of the 1st call projects had the progress report approved in 2020. Therefore the data included in the current report reflects only the outputs from the approved final progress reports. The data coming from the project which will have the progress report approved in 2020 will be included in the following AIR.
1-MD	F	F11	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 1	EUR	0	1,321,548.00	0.00	
1-UA	F	F11	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 1	EUR	0	1,179,642.00	0.00	
2	F	F12	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 2	EUR	6,246,985.00	81,668,305.00	30,761,183.16	
2	I	KIS	Number of documented learning interactions (in approved applications)	Number	22	102.00	208.00	
2	O	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	0	102.00	96.00	In 2019 all the 1st Call projects finalised their implementation of the activities. The data included in the performance framework is extracted from eMS system. However eMS is only calculating the outputs delivered only when the progress report is approved by the MA/ JS, and a many of the 1st call projects had the progress report approved in 2020. Therefore the data included in the current report reflects only the outputs from the approved final progress reports. The data coming from the project which will have the progress report approved in 2020 will be included in the following AIR.
2-MD	F	F12	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 2	EUR	0	925,633.00	0.00	
2-UA	F	F12	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 2	EUR	0	1,299,812.00	0.00	
3	F	F13	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 3	EUR	3,937,435	51,475,016.00	27,470,214.35	
3	I	KIS	Number of documented learning interactions (in approved applications)	Number	14	64.00	80.00	
3	O	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	0	64.00	39.00	In 2019 all the 1st Call projects finalised their implementation of the activities. The data included in the performance framework is extracted from eMS system. However eMS is only calculating the outputs delivered only when the progress report is approved by the MA/ JS, and a many of the 1st call projects had the progress report approved in 2020. Therefore the data included in the current report reflects only the outputs from the approved final progress reports. The data coming from the project which will have the progress report approved in 2020 will be included in the following AIR.
3-MD	F	F13	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to	EUR	0	725,213.00	0.00	



Priority axis	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	Milestone for 2018 total	Final target (2023) total	2019	Observations
			EC for priority axis 3					
3-UA	F	FI3	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 3	EUR	0	667,545.00	0.00	
4	F	FI4	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 4	EUR	3,571,434.00	46,690,197.00	14,439,942.17	
4	I	KIS	Number of documented learning interactions (in approved applications)	Number	14	50.00	64.00	
4	O	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	0	50.00	53.00	In 2019 all the 1st Call projects finalised their implementation of the activities. The data included in the performance framework is extracted from eMS system. However eMS is only calculating the outputs delivered only when the progress report is approved by the MA/ JS, and a many of the 1st call projects had the progress report approved in 2020. Therefore the data included in the current report reflects only the outputs from the approved final progress reports. The data coming from the project which will have the progress report approved in 2020 will be included in the following AIR.
4	O	P30	Number of projects plans prepared through the seed money facility	Number	0	50.00	19.00	All the 19 approved projects of the SMF call finalized their implementation in 2019. The opportunity to launch a second SMF call (based on the rather weak results of the 1st one) is under discussion in the MC.
4-MD	F	FI4	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 4	EUR	0	2,321,725.00	0.00	
4-UA	F	FI4	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 4	EUR	0	2,147,120.00	0.00	

Priority axis	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Measurement unit	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
1	F	FI1	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 1	EUR	10,891,131.00	820,239.37	0.00	0.00	0.00
1	I	KIS	Number of documented learning interactions (in approved applications)	Number	495.00	427.00	427.00	0.00	0.00
1	O	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1-MD	F	FI1	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 1	EUR	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1-UA	F	FI1	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 1	EUR	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	F	FI2	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 2	EUR	11,724,368.00	1,243,602.49	0.00	0.00	0.00
2	I	KIS	Number of documented learning interactions (in approved applications)	Number	208.00	144.00	144.00	0.00	0.00
2	O	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2-MD	F	FI2	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 2	EUR	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2-UA	F	FI2	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 2	EUR	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
3	F	FI3	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 3	EUR	11,582,421.00	706,566.51	0.00	0.00	0.00
3	I	KIS	Number of documented learning interactions (in approved applications)	Number	80.00	68.00	68.00	0.00	0.00
3	O	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
3-MD	F	FI3	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 3	EUR	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
3-UA	F	FI3	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 3	EUR	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	F	FI4	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 4	EUR	6,843,771.00	421,398.18	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	I	KIS	Number of documented learning interactions (in approved applications)	Number	64.00	64.00	64.00	0.00	0.00
4	O	P07	No. of documented learning interactions in finalised operations	Number	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4	O	P30	Number of projects plans prepared through the seed money facility	Number	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4-MD	F	FI4	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 4	EUR	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
4-UA	F	FI4	Total amount of eligible expenditure certified to EC for priority axis 4	EUR	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

### 3.4. Financial data

**Table 4: Financial information at priority axis and programme level**

As set out in Table 1 of Annex II to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1011/2014 (Model for transmission of financial data) and table 16 of model for cooperation programmes under the European territorial cooperation goal

Priority axis	Fund	Calculation basis	Total funding	Co-financing rate	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Proportion of the total allocation covered with selected operations	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	Total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to the managing authority	Proportion of the total allocation covered by eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries	Number of operations selected
1	ERDF	Total	58,926,257.00	85.00	42,752,066.64	72.55%	40,980,887.28	25,531,325.97	43.33%	25
1	IPA(e)	Total	5,729,586.00	85.00	4,776,934.13	83.37%	4,532,123.56	2,845,919.23	49.67%	24
1-MD	ENI	Total	1,321,548.00	85.00	622,160.00	47.08%	592,383.50	0.00	0.00%	11
1-UA	ENI	Total	1,179,642.00	85.00	416,733.00	35.33%	394,360.80	0.00	0.00%	5
2	ERDF	Total	74,457,290.00	85.00	54,877,300.64	73.70%	53,918,637.24	27,946,140.10	37.53%	27
2	IPA(e)	Total	7,211,015.00	85.00	6,051,205.04	83.92%	5,841,745.42	2,815,043.06	39.04%	25
2-MD	ENI	Total	925,633.00	85.00	51,400.00	5.55%	51,400.00	0.00	0.00%	3
2-UA	ENI	Total	1,299,812.00	85.00	346,175.65	26.63%	346,175.65	0.00	0.00%	3
3	ERDF	Total	47,546,784.00	85.00	34,510,445.16	72.58%	33,400,081.86	25,248,583.18	53.10%	16
3	IPA(e)	Total	3,928,232.00	85.00	3,138,761.32	79.90%	2,960,893.33	2,221,631.17	56.56%	13
3-MD	ENI	Total	725,213.00	85.00	113,250.00	15.62%	96,262.49	0.00	0.00%	6
3-UA	ENI	Total	667,545.00	85.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0
4	ERDF	Total	42,563,413.00	85.00	22,479,638.59	52.81%	21,877,618.17	12,593,557.24	29.59%	40
4	IPA(e)	Total	4,126,784.00	85.00	2,586,553.58	62.68%	2,415,036.04	1,846,384.93	44.74%	15
4-MD	ENI	Total	2,321,725.00	85.00	150,000.00	6.46%	150,000.00	0.00	0.00%	3
4-UA	ENI	Total	2,147,120.00	85.00	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00%	0
5	ERDF	Total	16,167,632.00	75.00	16,059,251.00	99.33%	16,059,251.00	5,421,609.89	33.53%	11
5	IPA(e)	Total	2,332,846.00	85.00	2,332,845.90	100.00%	2,332,845.90	783,402.49	33.58%	6
5-MD	ENI	Total	500,000.00	100.00	250,000.00	50.00%	250,000.00	17,033.58	3.41%	2
5-UA	ENI	Total	500,000.00	100.00	250,000.00	50.00%	250,000.00	17,033.55	3.41%	2
<b>Total</b>	<b>ERDF</b>		<b>239,661,376.00</b>	<b>84.33</b>	<b>170,678,702.03</b>	<b>71.22%</b>	<b>166,236,475.55</b>	<b>96,741,216.38</b>	<b>40.37%</b>	<b>119</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>ENI</b>		<b>11,588,238.00</b>	<b>86.29</b>	<b>2,199,718.65</b>	<b>18.98%</b>	<b>2,130,582.44</b>	<b>34,067.13</b>	<b>0.29%</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>IPA(e)</b>		<b>23,328,463.00</b>	<b>85.00</b>	<b>18,886,299.97</b>	<b>80.96%</b>	<b>18,082,644.25</b>	<b>10,512,380.88</b>	<b>45.06%</b>	<b>83</b>
<b>Grand total</b>			<b>274,578,077.00</b>	<b>84.47</b>	<b>191,764,720.65</b>	<b>69.84%</b>	<b>186,449,702.24</b>	<b>107,287,664.39</b>	<b>39.07%</b>	<b>237</b>

**Where applicable, the use of any contribution from third countries participating in the cooperation programme should be provided (for example IPA and ENI, Norway, Switzerland)**

In accordance with the Preamble (37) of the ETC Regulation, third countries are allowed to participate through contribution of IPA and ENI resources in transnational cooperation programmes in order to strengthen the Union's economic, social and territorial cohesion and to reinforce effectiveness of its cohesion policy. In order to ensure effective participation of non-EU member countries in the DTP, managed in accordance with the shared management principle, programme implementation conditions governing the financial management as well as programming, monitoring, evaluation and control of the participation of third countries as set out in the present CP as well as in the financing agreements shall be respected by all participating countries in line with Article 26 of the ETC Regulation.

IPA funds are integrated into the programme management system in order to provide the same possibilities to and assume the same liabilities by beneficiaries from both the Member States and the IPA beneficiary countries. The single implementation system gives the possibility to fully integrate external partners into the transnational partnerships, thus the whole project can be managed by one single contract under the responsibility of the Lead Beneficiary. Detailed rules regarding the management of the integration of IPA funds will be covered by Financing Agreements.

Based on the result of the designation audit on compliance the management and control system (of the Audit Authority) of Moldova, with unqualified opinion, the MA/ JS provided access to the eMS to the ENI-MD controllers and they started to validate the expenditure reported by the ENI-MD project partners. Out of the 4 corrective measures needed, the MD authorities reported 2 fulfilled in 2019.

The designation audit for Ukraine resulted with qualified opinion, meaning that the national control system is not established yet and corrective measures needs to be fulfilled. Fulfilment of the corrective measures will be audited in 2020 with the involvement of the GoA members in 2020.

**Table 5: Breakdown of the cumulative financial data by category of intervention**

As set out in Table 2 of Annex II to Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1011/2014 (Model for transmission of financial data) and tables 6-9 of Model for cooperation programmes

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	The total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01		24	AT130	2,606,248.85	2,503,372.80	2,252,912.46	2
1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01		24	DE111	921,015.97	898,450.74	852,392.63	3
1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01		24	DE122	443,216.30	412,339.85	312,097.15	1
1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01		24	DE144	236,846.25	230,880.37	158,851.84	1
1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01		24	DE212	1,660,824.27	1,598,686.92	376,929.92	1
1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01		24	HU101	368,015.97	358,342.71	217,590.62	1
1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01		24	HU333	453,046.52	452,530.99	210,763.48	1
1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01		24	RO221	358,594.24	342,806.34	307,248.28	1
1	ERDF	060	01	07	07	01		24	SI017	1,056,660.00	1,024,012.50	569,445.10	2
1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01		24	AT130	236,550.45	225,797.38	163,559.87	2
1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01		24	DE111	1,882,370.29	1,846,280.03	1,775,898.11	2
1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01		24	DE122	354,573.04	329,871.88	249,677.72	1
1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01		24	DE144	473,692.50	461,760.75	317,703.68	1
1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01		24	HU333	377,538.77	377,109.16	175,636.23	1
1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01		24	RO221	627,539.92	599,911.09	537,684.48	1
1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01		24	RO312	356,889.23	337,051.87	71,198.91	1
1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01		24	SI011	685,210.36	664,678.63	664,699.97	1
1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01		24	SI017	1,922,600.00	1,872,222.50	1,234,429.23	2
1	ERDF	062	01	07	07	01		24	SK010	1,213,930.23	1,155,937.77	1,193,600.58	1
1	ERDF	063	01	07	07	01		24	AT130	69,064.13	67,193.97	43,999.35	1
1	ERDF	063	01	07	07	01		24	DE111	1,720,526.44	1,629,909.43	1,546,321.47	2
1	ERDF	063	01	07	07	01		24	DE122	354,573.04	329,871.88	249,677.72	1
1	ERDF	063	01	07	07	01		24	RO221	627,539.92	599,911.09	537,684.48	1
1	ERDF	063	01	07	07	01		24	RO312	1,130,149.22	1,067,330.91	225,463.22	1
1	ERDF	063	01	07	07	01		24	SI011	942,164.25	913,933.12	913,962.46	1
1	ERDF	065	01	07	07	01		24	DE111	788,618.95	755,062.32	725,330.14	2
1	ERDF	065	01	07	07	01		24	DE122	265,929.78	247,403.91	187,258.29	1
1	ERDF	065	01	07	07	01		24	RO221	179,297.12	171,403.17	153,624.14	1
1	ERDF	065	01	07	07	01		24	SI021	2,050,387.54	1,964,622.03	1,392,209.03	1
1	ERDF	065	01	07	07	01		24	SK010	653,654.74	622,428.03	642,708.00	1
1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01		24	AT130	1,410,640.57	1,368,094.73	911,548.75	2
1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01		24	DE111	737,389.09	729,287.42	685,618.57	1
1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01		24	DE122	177,286.52	164,935.94	124,838.86	1
1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01		24	DE125	301,271.40	266,848.35	43,124.66	1
1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01		24	DE144	394,743.75	384,800.62	264,753.07	1
1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01		24	HU101	5,444,164.17	5,188,859.91	2,162,237.43	4
1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01		24	HU333	302,031.02	301,687.33	140,508.98	1
1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01		24	SI011	85,651.30	83,084.83	83,087.50	1
1	ERDF	067	01	07	07	01		24	SI017	542,940.00	517,140.00	94,276.01	1
1	ERDF	117	01	07	07	01		24	CZ051	741,589.00	716,318.54	71,012.08	1
1	ERDF	117	01	07	07	01		24	DE122	88,643.26	82,467.97	62,419.43	1
1	ERDF	117	01	07	07	01		24	DE125	602,542.80	533,696.70	86,249.32	1
1	ERDF	117	01	07	07	01		24	HU101	219,075.00	204,682.87	36,491.74	1
1	ERDF	118	01	07	07	01		24	AT130	669,945.28	634,413.64	478,242.08	1
1	ERDF	118	01	07	07	01		24	BG331	1,691,050.00	1,610,560.00	338,217.12	1
1	ERDF	118	01	07	07	01		24	CZ051	741,589.00	716,318.54	71,012.08	1
1	ERDF	118	01	07	07	01		24	DE122	88,643.26	82,467.97	62,419.43	1
1	ERDF	118	01	07	07	01		24	DE125	602,542.80	533,696.70	86,249.32	1
1	ERDF	118	01	07	07	01		24	DE144	473,692.50	461,760.75	317,703.68	1
1	ERDF	118	01	07	07	01		24	HU101	2,041,828.86	1,961,541.17	975,121.07	3
1	ERDF	118	01	07	07	01		24	HU333	377,538.77	377,109.16	175,636.23	1
1	IPA(e)	060	01	07	07			24	AT130	313,024.97	305,356.97	191,163.69	2

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	The total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
1	IPA(e)	060	01	07	07			24	DE111	25,109.24	23,069.35	23,236.60	2
1	IPA(e)	060	01	07	07			24	DE122	88,863.55	85,033.06	60,010.60	1
1	IPA(e)	060	01	07	07			24	DE144	31,228.73	26,544.42	13,470.85	1
1	IPA(e)	060	01	07	07			24	DE212	65,690.00	55,836.50	32,644.92	1
1	IPA(e)	060	01	07	07			24	HU101	56,219.99	52,883.05	39,384.95	1
1	IPA(e)	060	01	07	07			24	HU333	44,433.14	44,433.14	24,450.24	1
1	IPA(e)	060	01	07	07			24	RO221	26,079.12	26,079.12	24,060.97	1
1	IPA(e)	060	01	07	07			24	SI017	156,660.00	156,660.00	112,798.50	2
1	IPA(e)	062	01	07	07			24	AT130	36,754.18	34,837.18	27,448.10	2
1	IPA(e)	062	01	07	07			24	DE111	80,570.00	80,570.00	80,566.66	1
1	IPA(e)	062	01	07	07			24	DE122	71,090.84	68,026.45	48,008.48	1
1	IPA(e)	062	01	07	07			24	DE144	62,457.45	53,088.83	26,941.70	1
1	IPA(e)	062	01	07	07			24	HU333	37,027.62	37,027.62	20,375.20	1
1	IPA(e)	062	01	07	07			24	RO221	45,638.46	45,638.46	42,106.70	1
1	IPA(e)	062	01	07	07			24	RO312	36,173.34	33,728.94	8,263.79	1
1	IPA(e)	062	01	07	07			24	SI011	50,244.28	42,707.64	50,236.76	1
1	IPA(e)	062	01	07	07			24	SI017	311,540.00	311,540.00	248,661.51	2
1	IPA(e)	062	01	07	07			24	SK010	168,571.00	143,285.35	166,747.43	1
1	IPA(e)	063	01	07	07			24	AT130	13,169.38	13,169.38	7,423.04	1
1	IPA(e)	063	01	07	07			24	DE111	95,194.68	80,915.48	82,089.56	1
1	IPA(e)	063	01	07	07			24	DE122	71,090.84	68,026.45	48,008.48	1
1	IPA(e)	063	01	07	07			24	RO221	45,638.46	45,638.46	42,106.70	1
1	IPA(e)	063	01	07	07			24	RO312	114,548.91	106,808.31	26,168.66	1
1	IPA(e)	063	01	07	07			24	SI011	69,085.89	58,723.00	69,075.54	1
1	IPA(e)	065	01	07	07			24	DE111	50,218.48	46,138.71	46,473.21	2
1	IPA(e)	065	01	07	07			24	DE122	53,318.13	51,019.84	36,006.36	1
1	IPA(e)	065	01	07	07			24	RO221	13,039.56	13,039.56	12,030.49	1
1	IPA(e)	065	01	07	07			24	SI021	152,890.00	129,956.50	122,230.24	1
1	IPA(e)	065	01	07	07			24	SK010	90,769.00	77,153.65	89,787.08	1
1	IPA(e)	067	01	07	07			24	AT130	260,633.55	258,716.55	153,639.85	2
1	IPA(e)	067	01	07	07			24	DE122	35,545.42	34,013.23	24,004.24	1
1	IPA(e)	067	01	07	07			24	DE125	32,877.60	27,945.96	3,614.37	1
1	IPA(e)	067	01	07	07			24	DE144	52,047.88	44,240.70	22,451.42	1
1	IPA(e)	067	01	07	07			24	HU101	717,731.75	701,098.25	320,734.08	4
1	IPA(e)	067	01	07	07			24	HU333	29,622.10	29,622.10	16,300.16	1
1	IPA(e)	067	01	07	07			24	SI011	6,280.54	5,338.46	6,279.59	1
1	IPA(e)	067	01	07	07			24	SI017	54,000.00	54,000.00	14,534.99	1
1	IPA(e)	117	01	07	07			24	CZ051	135,083.00	135,083.00	25,465.24	1
1	IPA(e)	117	01	07	07			24	DE122	17,772.71	17,006.61	12,002.12	1
1	IPA(e)	117	01	07	07			24	DE125	65,755.20	55,891.92	7,228.74	1
1	IPA(e)	117	01	07	07			24	HU101	31,162.50	31,162.50	6,882.52	1
1	IPA(e)	118	01	07	07			24	AT130	94,339.20	86,671.20	80,100.25	1
1	IPA(e)	118	01	07	07			24	BG331	170,000.00	170,000.00	69,295.86	1
1	IPA(e)	118	01	07	07			24	CZ051	135,083.00	135,083.00	25,465.24	1
1	IPA(e)	118	01	07	07			24	DE122	17,772.71	17,006.61	12,002.12	1
1	IPA(e)	118	01	07	07			24	DE125	65,755.20	55,891.92	7,228.74	1
1	IPA(e)	118	01	07	07			24	DE144	62,457.45	53,088.83	26,941.70	1
1	IPA(e)	118	01	07	07			24	HU101	279,647.46	266,299.68	169,395.79	3
1	IPA(e)	118	01	07	07			24	HU333	37,027.62	37,027.62	20,375.20	1
1-MD	ENI	060	01	07	07			24	AT130	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
1-MD	ENI	060	01	07	07			24	DE111	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
1-MD	ENI	060	01	07	07			24	SI017	33,000.00	33,000.00	0.00	2
1-MD	ENI	062	01	07	07			24	DE111	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
1-MD	ENI	062	01	07	07			24	RO312	18,780.00	15,963.00	0.00	1
1-MD	ENI	062	01	07	07			24	SI017	44,000.00	44,000.00	0.00	2
1-MD	ENI	063	01	07	07			24	RO312	59,470.00	50,549.50	0.00	1
1-MD	ENI	065	01	07	07			24	DE111	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
1-MD	ENI	065	01	07	07			24	SI021	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
1-MD	ENI	067	01	07	07			24	HU101	114,880.00	114,880.00	0.00	3
1-MD	ENI	067	01	07	07			24	SI017	33,000.00	33,000.00	0.00	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	The total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
1-MD	ENI	117	01	07	07			24	CZ051	60,130.00	51,110.50	0.00	1
1-MD	ENI	117	01	07	07			24	HU101	12,060.00	12,060.00	0.00	1
1-MD	ENI	118	01	07	07			24	BG331	160,000.00	160,000.00	0.00	1
1-MD	ENI	118	01	07	07			24	CZ051	60,130.00	51,110.50	0.00	1
1-MD	ENI	118	01	07	07			24	HU101	26,710.00	26,710.00	0.00	2
1-UA	ENI	060	01	07	07			24	SI017	15,000.00	15,000.00	0.00	1
1-UA	ENI	062	01	07	07			24	RO312	17,864.40	17,864.40	0.00	1
1-UA	ENI	062	01	07	07			24	SI017	20,000.00	20,000.00	0.00	1
1-UA	ENI	063	01	07	07			24	RO312	56,570.60	56,570.60	0.00	1
1-UA	ENI	067	01	07	07			24	DE125	29,829.60	25,355.16	0.00	1
1-UA	ENI	067	01	07	07			24	HU101	107,130.00	107,130.00	0.00	2
1-UA	ENI	067	01	07	07			24	SI017	15,000.00	15,000.00	0.00	1
1-UA	ENI	117	01	07	07			24	DE125	59,659.20	50,710.32	0.00	1
1-UA	ENI	117	01	07	07			24	HU101	11,085.00	11,085.00	0.00	1
1-UA	ENI	118	01	07	07			24	DE125	59,659.20	50,710.32	0.00	1
1-UA	ENI	118	01	07	07			24	HU101	24,935.00	24,935.00	0.00	2
2	ERDF	021	01	07	07	06		24	AT124	4,918.56	4,918.56	4,465.58	1
2	ERDF	021	01	07	07	06		24	AT126	18,672.75	18,672.75	16,583.54	1
2	ERDF	021	01	07	07	06		24	AT130	1,860,797.92	1,842,370.42	1,196,515.76	1
2	ERDF	021	01	07	07	06		24	BG314	4,088.89	4,088.89	3,704.17	1
2	ERDF	021	01	07	07	06		24	BG323	3,692.87	3,692.87	2,713.86	1
2	ERDF	021	01	07	07	06		24	DE138	99,419.10	92,723.10	24,305.23	1
2	ERDF	021	01	07	07	06		24	DE211	4,766.86	4,766.86	3,578.43	2
2	ERDF	021	01	07	07	06		24	DE228	6,465.00	6,465.00	3,751.47	1
2	ERDF	021	01	07	07	06		24	HR04B	6,363.68	6,363.68	5,164.97	1
2	ERDF	021	01	07	07	06		24	HU101	4,248,840.12	4,217,818.05	2,921,497.46	2
2	ERDF	021	01	07	07	06		24	HU212	4,543.21	4,543.21	3,250.58	1
2	ERDF	021	01	07	07	06		24	HU221	4,828.36	4,828.36	3,946.41	1
2	ERDF	021	01	07	07	06		24	HU231	4,777.33	4,777.33	1,760.62	1
2	ERDF	021	01	07	07	06		24	RO225	10,493.44	10,493.44	5,583.38	1
2	ERDF	021	01	07	07	06		24	RO321	852,773.12	844,866.63	190,445.28	1
2	ERDF	021	01	07	07	06		24	SI021	1,407,300.64	1,403,045.44	270,693.12	2
2	ERDF	021	01	07	07	06		24	SK010	6,084.66	5,171.96	5,285.91	1
2	ERDF	021	01	07	07	06		24	SK023	4,803.52	4,803.52	1,752.91	1
2	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		24	AT124	106,568.80	106,568.80	96,754.29	1
2	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		24	AT126	404,576.25	404,576.25	359,309.94	1
2	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		24	AT130	3,353,641.35	3,272,751.91	1,308,085.20	2
2	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		24	AT221	504,664.53	503,241.53	321,181.39	1
2	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		24	BG314	88,592.60	88,592.60	80,257.00	1
2	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		24	BG323	80,012.17	80,012.17	58,800.28	1
2	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		24	DE138	447,385.95	417,253.95	109,373.55	1
2	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		24	DE211	103,281.91	103,281.91	77,532.62	2
2	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		24	DE228	140,075.00	140,075.00	81,281.78	1
2	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		24	DE254	1,684,031.99	1,633,373.12	250,568.62	1
2	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		24	HR04B	137,879.79	137,879.79	111,907.59	1
2	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		24	HU101	166,318.89	166,318.89	110,729.80	1
2	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		24	HU212	98,436.28	98,436.28	70,429.19	1
2	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		24	HU221	104,614.57	104,614.57	85,505.63	1
2	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		24	HU231	103,508.72	103,508.72	38,146.76	1
2	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		24	RO225	227,357.81	227,357.81	120,973.31	1
2	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		24	RO321	1,922,773.62	1,867,333.73	254,264.82	2
2	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		24	SI021	2,530,070.09	2,522,463.06	685,685.42	4
2	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		24	SI022	435,900.00	409,327.50	63,872.40	1
2	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		24	SK010	131,834.30	112,059.16	114,528.00	1
2	ERDF	085	01	07	07	06		24	SK023	104,076.38	104,076.38	37,979.73	1
2	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06		24	AT124	49,185.60	49,185.60	44,655.82	1
2	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06		24	AT126	186,727.50	186,727.50	165,835.36	1
2	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06		24	AT130	947,000.00	899,354.75	903,151.19	1
2	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06		24	BG314	40,888.89	40,888.89	37,041.69	1
2	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06		24	BG323	36,928.69	36,928.69	27,138.59	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	The total eligible expenditure declared by beneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
2	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06		24	DE138	447,385.95	417,253.95	109,373.55	1
2	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06		24	DE211	47,668.57	47,668.57	35,784.29	2
2	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06		24	DE228	64,650.00	64,650.00	37,514.67	1
2	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06		24	DE254	192,161.41	192,161.54	29,478.66	1
2	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06		24	HR04B	63,636.82	63,636.82	51,649.66	1
2	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06		24	HU212	45,432.13	45,432.13	32,505.78	1
2	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06		24	HU221	48,283.65	48,283.65	39,464.14	1
2	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06		24	HU231	47,773.26	47,773.26	17,606.20	1
2	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06		24	RO225	104,934.38	104,934.38	55,833.84	1
2	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06		24	RO321	677,856.16	655,451.61	76,322.87	1
2	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06		24	SI021	724,621.40	717,014.37	413,335.09	2
2	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06		24	SI022	290,600.00	272,885.00	42,581.60	1
2	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06		24	SK010	60,846.60	51,719.61	52,859.08	1
2	ERDF	086	01	07	07	06		24	SK023	48,035.25	48,035.25	17,529.11	1
2	ERDF	087	01	07	07	06		24	AT124	3,279.04	3,279.04	2,977.05	1
2	ERDF	087	01	07	07	06		24	AT126	12,448.50	12,448.50	11,055.69	1
2	ERDF	087	01	07	07	06		24	AT130	524,840.44	519,642.94	337,478.81	1
2	ERDF	087	01	07	07	06		24	BG314	2,725.93	2,725.93	2,469.45	1
2	ERDF	087	01	07	07	06		24	BG323	2,461.91	2,461.91	1,809.24	1
2	ERDF	087	01	07	07	06		24	DE211	3,177.91	3,177.91	2,385.62	2
2	ERDF	087	01	07	07	06		24	DE228	4,310.00	4,310.00	2,500.98	1
2	ERDF	087	01	07	07	06		24	HR04B	4,242.45	4,242.45	3,443.31	1
2	ERDF	087	01	07	07	06		24	HU101	675,823.11	659,118.92	499,886.78	1
2	ERDF	087	01	07	07	06		24	HU212	3,028.81	3,028.81	2,167.05	1
2	ERDF	087	01	07	07	06		24	HU221	3,218.91	3,218.91	2,630.94	1
2	ERDF	087	01	07	07	06		24	HU231	3,184.88	3,184.88	1,173.75	1
2	ERDF	087	01	07	07	06		24	HU323	1,277,148.63	1,236,201.46	302,493.46	1
2	ERDF	087	01	07	07	06		24	RO225	6,995.62	6,995.62	3,722.26	1
2	ERDF	087	01	07	07	06		24	RO321	2,217,210.10	2,196,653.23	495,157.73	1
2	ERDF	087	01	07	07	06		24	SI021	669,701.97	652,681.17	459,976.72	2
2	ERDF	087	01	07	07	06		24	SK010	4,056.44	3,447.97	3,523.94	1
2	ERDF	087	01	07	07	06		24	SK023	3,202.35	3,202.35	1,168.61	1
2	ERDF	088	01	07	07	06		24	HU101	166,318.89	166,318.89	110,729.80	1
2	ERDF	088	01	07	07	06		24	SI021	1,083,451.37	1,051,537.37	803,949.82	2
2	ERDF	091	01	07	07	06		24	BG411	2,258,155.72	2,244,318.97	2,160,376.53	1
2	ERDF	091	01	07	07	06		24	HU101	1,483,158.56	1,483,158.56	295,325.09	1
2	ERDF	091	01	07	07	06		24	SI021	1,905,238.12	1,882,417.04	1,018,546.51	2
2	ERDF	091	01	07	07	06		24	SI022	2,606,888.25	2,476,849.37	729,712.10	2
2	ERDF	091	01	07	07	06		24	SK023	1,276,285.84	1,250,723.49	1,163,532.49	1
2	ERDF	094	01	07	07	06		24	AT130	783,439.32	783,439.32	472,377.58	1
2	ERDF	094	01	07	07	06		24	AT221	1,513,993.59	1,509,724.59	963,544.16	1
2	ERDF	094	01	07	07	06		24	DE254	99,060.71	96,080.78	14,739.33	1
2	ERDF	094	01	07	07	06		24	HU101	1,907,353.04	1,886,778.79	1,160,021.73	2
2	ERDF	094	01	07	07	06		24	HU333	819,936.95	819,936.95	143,911.06	1
2	ERDF	094	01	07	07	06		24	RO111	1,419,759.00	1,419,759.00	1,001,310.06	1
2	ERDF	094	01	07	07	06		24	SI022	1,265,354.15	1,219,048.77	1,009,362.62	2
2	ERDF	094	01	07	07	06		24	SK023	425,428.61	416,907.83	387,844.16	1
2	ERDF	095	01	07	07	06		24	AT130	783,439.32	783,439.32	472,377.58	1
2	ERDF	095	01	07	07	06		24	AT221	504,664.53	503,241.53	321,181.39	1
2	ERDF	095	01	07	07	06		24	HU101	1,024,375.60	1,010,659.43	724,126.97	1
2	ERDF	095	01	07	07	06		24	HU333	670,857.50	670,857.50	117,745.42	1
2	ERDF	095	01	07	07	06		24	SI022	1,473,848.67	1,429,011.14	1,059,732.96	3
2	ERDF	095	01	07	07	06		24	SK023	425,428.61	416,907.83	387,844.16	1
2	IPA(e)	021	01	07	07			24	AT130	157,950.00	157,950.00	45,141.87	1
2	IPA(e)	021	01	07	07			24	DE138	60,994.60	57,224.95	10,569.47	1
2	IPA(e)	021	01	07	07			24	HU101	372,278.57	372,278.57	301,366.83	2
2	IPA(e)	021	01	07	07			24	RO321	65,390.86	65,390.86	24,651.48	1
2	IPA(e)	021	01	07	07			24	RS	4,353.09	4,353.09	3,611.20	1
2	IPA(e)	021	01	07	07			24	SI021	226,681.50	226,681.50	56,572.75	2
2	IPA(e)	085	01	07	07			24	AT130	236,289.73	236,289.73	131,079.23	2

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	The total eligible expenditure declared by eneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
2	IPA(e)	085	01	07	07			24	DE138	274,475.70	257,512.27	47,562.62	1
2	IPA(e)	085	01	07	07			24	DE254	89,624.00	89,624.00	19,834.75	1
2	IPA(e)	085	01	07	07			24	HU101	11,610.19	11,610.19	8,743.95	1
2	IPA(e)	085	01	07	07			24	RO321	168,538.44	157,655.19	26,367.88	2
2	IPA(e)	085	01	07	07			24	RS	94,317.02	94,317.02	78,242.72	1
2	IPA(e)	085	01	07	07			24	SI021	451,442.77	437,340.58	140,122.97	4
2	IPA(e)	085	01	07	07			24	SI022	40,500.00	40,500.00	1,458.35	1
2	IPA(e)	086	01	07	07			24	AT130	130,000.00	130,000.00	121,396.72	1
2	IPA(e)	086	01	07	07			24	DE138	274,475.70	257,512.27	47,562.62	1
2	IPA(e)	086	01	07	07			24	DE254	10,544.00	10,544.00	2,333.50	1
2	IPA(e)	086	01	07	07			24	RO321	61,020.90	56,356.65	7,074.55	1
2	IPA(e)	086	01	07	07			24	RS	43,530.93	43,530.93	36,112.02	1
2	IPA(e)	086	01	07	07			24	SI021	179,033.73	164,931.54	107,929.43	2
2	IPA(e)	086	01	07	07			24	SI022	27,000.00	27,000.00	972.23	1
2	IPA(e)	087	01	07	07			24	AT130	44,550.00	44,550.00	12,732.32	1
2	IPA(e)	087	01	07	07			24	HU101	87,928.18	87,928.18	77,525.44	1
2	IPA(e)	087	01	07	07			24	RO321	170,016.22	170,016.22	64,093.84	1
2	IPA(e)	087	01	07	07			24	RS	2,902.06	2,902.06	2,407.47	1
2	IPA(e)	087	01	07	07			24	SI021	112,525.71	112,525.71	74,045.83	2
2	IPA(e)	088	01	07	07			24	HU101	11,610.19	11,610.19	8,743.95	1
2	IPA(e)	088	01	07	07			24	SI021	183,398.75	183,398.75	132,321.81	2
2	IPA(e)	091	01	07	07			24	BG411	198,135.00	168,414.75	184,603.68	1
2	IPA(e)	091	01	07	07			24	HU101	210,583.50	178,995.97	13,180.15	1
2	IPA(e)	091	01	07	07			24	SI021	482,914.57	440,608.00	292,798.98	2
2	IPA(e)	091	01	07	07			24	SI022	227,455.95	227,455.95	36,589.66	2
2	IPA(e)	091	01	07	07			24	SK023	87,909.75	87,909.75	87,889.91	1
2	IPA(e)	094	01	07	07			24	AT130	55,000.00	46,750.00	31,696.29	1
2	IPA(e)	094	01	07	07			24	DE254	5,272.00	5,272.00	1,166.75	1
2	IPA(e)	094	01	07	07			24	HU101	138,388.88	130,492.00	68,648.56	2
2	IPA(e)	094	01	07	07			24	HU333	195,553.60	195,553.60	30,872.45	1
2	IPA(e)	094	01	07	07			24	RO111	229,800.00	229,800.00	119,443.39	1
2	IPA(e)	094	01	07	07			24	SI022	150,225.45	150,225.45	103,649.36	2
2	IPA(e)	094	01	07	07			24	SK023	29,303.25	29,303.25	29,296.64	1
2	IPA(e)	095	01	07	07			24	AT130	55,000.00	46,750.00	31,696.29	1
2	IPA(e)	095	01	07	07			24	HU101	57,162.00	57,162.00	43,569.02	1
2	IPA(e)	095	01	07	07			24	HU333	159,998.40	159,998.40	25,259.27	1
2	IPA(e)	095	01	07	07			24	SI022	146,216.60	146,216.60	94,808.22	3
2	IPA(e)	095	01	07	07			24	SK023	29,303.25	29,303.25	29,296.64	1
2-MD	ENI	021	01	07	07			24	SI021	46,260.00	46,260.00	0.00	1
2-MD	ENI	085	01	07	07			24	SI021	2,570.00	2,570.00	0.00	1
2-MD	ENI	087	01	07	07			24	HU323	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
2-MD	ENI	088	01	07	07			24	SI021	2,570.00	2,570.00	0.00	1
2-UA	ENI	021	01	07	07			24	SI021	51,975.00	51,975.00	0.00	1
2-UA	ENI	085	01	07	07			24	SI021	131,092.89	131,092.89	0.00	2
2-UA	ENI	087	01	07	07			24	HU323	74,750.00	74,750.00	0.00	1
2-UA	ENI	088	01	07	07			24	SI021	2,887.50	2,887.50	0.00	1
2-UA	ENI	091	01	07	07			24	SI021	85,470.26	85,470.26	0.00	1
3	ERDF	012	01	07	07	07		24	DE148	2,323,519.65	2,240,938.82	1,958,169.12	1
3	ERDF	012	01	07	07	07		24	HU101	1,896,764.70	1,883,918.56	1,186,204.48	1
3	ERDF	015	01	07	07	07		24	HR041	3,158,689.20	3,002,846.55	2,906,971.97	1
3	ERDF	035	01	07	07	07		24	AT123	291,591.65	289,646.70	247,376.16	1
3	ERDF	035	01	07	07	07		24	AT130	3,805,417.98	3,675,126.20	3,154,234.73	4
3	ERDF	035	01	07	07	07		24	SI021	537,552.00	498,281.62	120,389.40	1
3	ERDF	036	01	07	07	07		24	AT123	388,788.86	386,195.59	329,834.88	1
3	ERDF	036	01	07	07	07		24	AT130	3,470,198.54	3,452,029.07	2,275,390.50	3
3	ERDF	036	01	07	07	07		24	SI014	651,130.51	651,130.51	574,682.92	1
3	ERDF	036	01	07	07	07		24	SI021	716,736.00	664,375.50	160,519.20	1
3	ERDF	039	01	07	07	07		24	AT123	291,591.65	289,646.70	247,376.16	1
3	ERDF	039	01	07	07	07		24	AT130	142,071.73	135,907.06	109,310.34	1
3	ERDF	040	01	07	07	07		24	AT123	194,394.43	193,097.79	164,917.44	1



Priority axis	Fund	Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	The total eligible expenditure declared by eneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
3	ERDF	041	01	07	07	07		24	AT123	388,788.86	386,195.59	329,834.88	1
3	ERDF	041	01	07	07	07		24	AT130	6,462,018.79	6,190,181.60	4,373,249.00	4
3	ERDF	041	01	07	07	07		24	RO223	894,986.40	841,334.40	803,164.16	1
3	ERDF	041	01	07	07	07		24	SI012	1,767,631.95	1,736,745.86	1,599,850.75	1
3	ERDF	042	01	07	07	07		24	AT123	388,788.86	386,195.59	329,834.88	1
3	ERDF	042	01	07	07	07		24	AT130	1,161,336.68	1,103,255.20	709,112.21	4
3	ERDF	042	01	07	07	07		24	SI012	196,403.55	192,971.76	177,761.19	1
3	ERDF	043	01	07	07	07		24	AT130	291,793.05	291,067.32	205,034.89	1
3	ERDF	043	01	07	07	07		24	RO424	1,459,564.12	1,381,970.11	777,496.23	1
3	ERDF	043	01	07	07	07		24	SI014	818,564.07	818,564.07	722,458.52	1
3	ERDF	043	01	07	07	07		24	SI021	268,776.00	249,140.81	60,194.70	1
3	ERDF	044	01	07	07	07		24	AT130	1,049,630.57	1,033,638.29	658,198.10	2
3	ERDF	044	01	07	07	07		24	RO223	596,657.60	560,889.60	535,442.77	1
3	ERDF	044	01	07	07	07		24	RO424	237,603.46	224,971.88	126,569.15	1
3	ERDF	044	01	07	07	07		24	SI014	390,678.30	390,678.30	344,809.75	1
3	ERDF	044	01	07	07	07		24	SI021	268,776.00	249,140.81	60,194.70	1
3	IPA(e)	012	01	07	07	07		24	HU101	613,816.00	613,816.00	389,484.27	1
3	IPA(e)	015	01	07	07	07		24	HR041	632,654.21	632,654.21	575,195.85	1
3	IPA(e)	035	01	07	07	07		24	AT123	35,175.75	35,175.75	35,158.40	1
3	IPA(e)	035	01	07	07	07		24	AT130	156,381.40	132,924.18	107,876.28	3
3	IPA(e)	035	01	07	07	07		24	SI021	74,226.00	65,827.65	8,868.36	1
3	IPA(e)	036	01	07	07	07		24	AT123	46,901.00	46,901.00	46,877.87	1
3	IPA(e)	036	01	07	07	07		24	AT130	225,805.07	191,934.30	153,745.48	2
3	IPA(e)	036	01	07	07	07		24	SI014	50,364.76	42,810.04	50,362.82	1
3	IPA(e)	036	01	07	07	07		24	SI021	98,968.00	87,770.20	11,824.48	1
3	IPA(e)	039	01	07	07	07		24	AT123	35,175.75	35,175.75	35,158.40	1
3	IPA(e)	039	01	07	07	07		24	AT130	7,198.58	6,118.79	4,973.59	1
3	IPA(e)	040	01	07	07	07		24	AT123	23,450.50	23,450.50	23,438.93	1
3	IPA(e)	041	01	07	07	07		24	AT123	46,901.00	46,901.00	46,877.87	1
3	IPA(e)	041	01	07	07	07		24	AT130	356,059.43	327,464.86	166,605.86	4
3	IPA(e)	041	01	07	07	07		24	RO223	56,760.00	48,246.00	45,961.52	1
3	IPA(e)	041	01	07	07	07		24	SI012	238,999.50	221,428.57	238,721.25	1
3	IPA(e)	042	01	07	07	07		24	AT123	46,901.00	46,901.00	46,877.87	1
3	IPA(e)	042	01	07	07	07		24	AT130	60,618.83	54,283.15	25,655.56	4
3	IPA(e)	042	01	07	07	07		24	SI012	26,555.50	24,603.17	26,524.58	1
3	IPA(e)	043	01	07	07	07		24	RO424	79,120.00	79,120.00	41,339.86	1
3	IPA(e)	043	01	07	07	07		24	SI014	63,315.69	53,818.33	63,313.26	1
3	IPA(e)	043	01	07	07	07		24	SI021	37,113.00	32,913.82	4,434.18	1
3	IPA(e)	044	01	07	07	07		24	AT130	8,248.50	7,011.22	332.00	1
3	IPA(e)	044	01	07	07	07		24	RO223	37,840.00	32,164.00	30,641.01	1
3	IPA(e)	044	01	07	07	07		24	RO424	12,880.00	12,880.00	6,729.75	1
3	IPA(e)	044	01	07	07	07		24	SI014	30,218.85	25,686.02	30,217.69	1
3	IPA(e)	044	01	07	07	07		24	SI021	37,113.00	32,913.82	4,434.18	1
3-MD	ENI	035	01	07	07	07		24	AT123	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
3-MD	ENI	035	01	07	07	07		24	AT130	0.00	0.00	0.00	3
3-MD	ENI	035	01	07	07	07		24	SI021	33,975.00	28,878.75	0.00	1
3-MD	ENI	036	01	07	07	07		24	AT123	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
3-MD	ENI	036	01	07	07	07		24	AT130	0.00	0.00	0.00	2
3-MD	ENI	036	01	07	07	07		24	SI021	45,300.00	38,505.00	0.00	1
3-MD	ENI	039	01	07	07	07		24	AT123	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
3-MD	ENI	039	01	07	07	07		24	AT130	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
3-MD	ENI	040	01	07	07	07		24	AT123	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
3-MD	ENI	041	01	07	07	07		24	AT123	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
3-MD	ENI	041	01	07	07	07		24	AT130	0.00	0.00	0.00	2
3-MD	ENI	042	01	07	07	07		24	AT123	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
3-MD	ENI	042	01	07	07	07		24	AT130	0.00	0.00	0.00	2
3-MD	ENI	043	01	07	07	07		24	AT130	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
3-MD	ENI	043	01	07	07	07		24	SI021	16,987.50	14,439.37	0.00	1
3-MD	ENI	044	01	07	07	07		24	AT130	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
3-MD	ENI	044	01	07	07	07		24	SI021	16,987.50	14,439.37	0.00	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	The total eligible expenditure declared by eneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
3-UA	ENI	044	01	07	07			24	AT130	0.00	0.00	0.00	1
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	AT130	4,593,981.71	4,570,106.72	746,829.36	4
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	AT221	49,731.25	47,331.25	0.00	1
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	BG331	50,000.00	47,510.00	0.00	1
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	BG411	499,982.92	497,810.31	145,391.19	4
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	CZ010	352,940.60	352,940.60	159,586.21	1
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	DE111	942,335.07	892,786.96	589,216.23	2
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	DE212	299,350.00	299,350.00	96,722.96	1
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	HR041	34,170.00	33,903.27	34,122.87	1
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	HR046	50,000.00	49,485.00	49,302.31	1
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	HU101	4,302,275.00	4,207,651.88	2,721,915.11	5
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	HU212	45,830.12	45,830.12	0.00	1
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	HU222	50,000.00	50,000.00	45,552.19	1
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	HU223	49,780.00	48,283.25	0.00	1
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	HU231	25,300.00	25,300.00	0.00	1
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	RO114	38,795.00	38,795.00	37,103.52	1
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	RO122	49,875.00	46,656.50	0.00	1
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	RO125	47,640.00	45,435.32	0.00	1
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	RO223	790,044.00	769,853.16	727,744.01	2
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	RO321	519,410.69	519,410.69	128,186.86	2
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	RO411	49,995.00	49,995.00	0.00	1
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	SI014	34,607.17	34,607.17	0.00	1
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	SI021	3,067,110.07	2,962,906.20	2,155,042.00	5
4	ERDF	119	01	07	07	11		24	SK010	259,267.75	259,267.75	137,313.95	2
4	ERDF	120	01	07	07	11		24	DE111	1,375,436.23	1,259,823.96	1,274,613.98	1
4	ERDF	120	01	07	07	11		24	HU101	2,299,069.37	2,213,897.81	1,453,572.04	3
4	ERDF	120	01	07	07	11		24	RO223	1,110,066.00	1,079,779.75	1,019,314.09	1
4	ERDF	120	01	07	07	11		24	SI021	1,492,645.64	1,428,900.50	1,072,028.36	3
4	IPA(e)	119	01	07	07			24	DE111	168,954.00	152,615.85	166,849.40	1
4	IPA(e)	119	01	07	07			24	HR041	12,790.00	12,790.00	11,477.64	1
4	IPA(e)	119	01	07	07			24	HU101	580,573.36	553,544.24	385,518.59	3
4	IPA(e)	119	01	07	07			24	HU231	24,600.00	20,910.00	0.00	1
4	IPA(e)	119	01	07	07			24	RO114	11,200.00	9,520.00	10,898.99	1
4	IPA(e)	119	01	07	07			24	RO223	62,800.00	62,800.00	51,880.08	1
4	IPA(e)	119	01	07	07			24	SI014	14,990.40	12,741.84	0.00	1
4	IPA(e)	119	01	07	07			24	SI021	523,030.05	480,416.13	287,822.42	5
4	IPA(e)	119	01	07	07			24	SK010	140,500.00	140,500.00	67,760.97	1
4	IPA(e)	120	01	07	07			24	DE111	394,226.00	356,103.65	389,315.28	1
4	IPA(e)	120	01	07	07			24	HU101	382,894.84	355,735.28	276,972.04	3
4	IPA(e)	120	01	07	07			24	RO223	94,200.00	94,200.00	77,820.13	1
4	IPA(e)	120	01	07	07			24	SI021	175,794.93	163,159.05	120,069.39	3
4-MD	ENI	119	01	07	07			24	AT130	150,000.00	150,000.00	0.00	1
4-MD	ENI	119	01	07	07			24	HU101	0.00	0.00	0.00	2
4-MD	ENI	120	01	07	07			24	HU101	0.00	0.00	0.00	2
4-UA	ENI	120	01	07	07			24	HU101	0.00	0.00	0.00	2
5-MD	ENI	121	01	07	07			24	HU101	222,700.00	222,700.00	14,989.55	2
5-MD	ENI	122	01	07	07			24	HU101	9,100.00	9,100.00	681.34	1
5-MD	ENI	123	01	07	07			24	HU101	18,200.00	18,200.00	1,362.69	1
5-UA	ENI	121	01	07	07			24	HU101	222,700.00	222,700.00	14,989.53	2
5-UA	ENI	122	01	07	07			24	HU101	9,100.00	9,100.00	681.34	1
5-UA	ENI	123	01	07	07			24	HU101	18,200.00	18,200.00	1,362.68	1
5	ERDF	121	01	07	07			24	HU101	12,594,276.64	12,594,276.64	4,389,771.51	3
5	ERDF	122	01	07	07			24	HU101	517,467.12	517,467.12	193,555.62	1
5	ERDF	123	01	07	07			24	BG412	173,786.00	173,786.00	18,574.17	1
5	ERDF	123	01	07	07			24	CZ010	109,925.00	109,925.00	22,878.46	1
5	ERDF	123	01	07	07			24	DE111	422,700.00	422,700.00	233,608.48	1
5	ERDF	123	01	07	07			24	HR041	45,728.00	45,728.00	8,341.67	1
5	ERDF	123	01	07	07			24	HU101	1,283,455.24	1,283,455.24	429,516.21	2
5	ERDF	123	01	07	07			24	RO321	754,163.00	754,163.00	85,733.11	1
5	ERDF	123	01	07	07			24	SI021	23,901.00	23,901.00	18,078.89	1

Priority axis	Fund	Intervention field	Form of finance	Territorial dimension	Territorial delivery mechanism	Thematic objective dimension	ESF secondary theme	Economic dimension	Location dimension	Total eligible cost of operations selected for support	Public eligible cost of operations selected for support	The total eligible expenditure declared by eneficiaries to the managing authority	Number of operations selected
5	ERDF	123	01	07	07			24	SK010	133,849.00	133,849.00	21,551.77	1
5	IPA(e)	121	01	07	07			24	HU101	1,389,445.84	1,389,445.84	480,489.30	3
5	IPA(e)	122	01	07	07			24	HU101	56,338.45	56,338.45	21,193.88	1
5	IPA(e)	123	01	07	07			24	BA	255,484.71	255,484.71	12,502.30	1
5	IPA(e)	123	01	07	07			24	HU101	112,676.89	112,676.89	42,387.75	1
5	IPA(e)	123	01	07	07			24	ME000	116,777.65	116,777.65	31,450.02	1
5	IPA(e)	123	01	07	07			24	RS	402,122.36	402,122.36	195,379.24	1

**Table 6: Cumulative cost of all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area**

1. Operation (2)	2. The amount of ERDF support(1) envisaged to be used for all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area based on selected operations	3. Share of the total financial allocation to all or part of an operation located outside the Union part of the programme area (%) (column 2/total amount allocated to the support from the ERDF at programme level *100)	4. Eligible expenditure of ERDF support incurred in all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area declared by the beneficiary to the managing authority	5. Share of the total financial allocation to all or part of an operation located outside the Union part of the programme area (%) (column 4/total amount allocated to the support from the ERDF at programme level *100)
------------------	---	---	--	---

(1) ERDF support is the Commission decision on the respective cooperation programme.

(2) In accordance with and subject to ceilings set out Article 20 of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013.

#### **4. SYNTHESIS OF THE EVALUATIONS**

No programme evaluation was conducted during 2019. However the recommendations of the Operational Evaluation (already reported in 2018 AIR) have been implemented by the MA/ JS and the ToRs for the Impact Evaluation have been finalised and endorsed by the MC. The impact evaluation tender will be launched in the following year.

Name	Fund	From month	From year	To month	To year	Type of evaluation	Thematic objective	Topic	Findings
------	------	------------	-----------	----------	---------	--------------------	--------------------	-------	----------

## 5. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN

### **(a) Issues which affect the performance of the programme and the measures taken**

By the deadline of 15 February 2019, the "annual accounts" package prepared by the programme authorities in close cooperation (Audit Authority, Certifying Authority and MA/JS) had been submitted to the EC for the accounting year ended 30 June 2018. The annual accounts had been approved by the EC on 14 May 2019.

Based on the expenditure included in payment applications submitted to the European Commission in the course of the accounting year 01/07/2018-30/06/2019, the Audit Authority selected the sample of operations to be audited and sent it to the GoA members for consultation purposes. Altogether 61 project partners have been selected for audit in order to gain comprehensive feedback regarding the implementation of projects within the Programme. The audits on operations were carried out by the External Auditor (AESA Hungary Ltd) from 24 July 2019 to 27 September 2019. Final audits reports had been issued by December 2019, except for one report which had been closed on 8th January 2020. In the audited period 24 irregularities were revealed regarding 15 beneficiaries. The total amount of these irregularities is 69 372.80€ out of which the amount not to be recovered and repaid into the EU budget is 140.65€.

Based on the Verification Reports submitted by the Partner States within the deadline of 15 October, as well as the results of the system audits and audits of sampled operations carried out, the MA/JS prepared the draft management declaration and annual summary for the year 2019. The final documents had submitted to the AA in February 2020, after acceptance of the drafts by the AA.

The draft up-dated description of the management and control system had been prepared by the MA/JS at the end of 2019 and submitted in January 2020 to the Audit Authority, including the up-dated versions of the description of national control systems as annexes to the DMCS.

Open questions related to reporting of ENI expenditure towards the EC had been discussed via videoconference in February 2019.

Based on the result of the designation audit on compliance the management and control system (of the Audit Authority) of Moldova, with unqualified opinion, the MA/ JS provided access to the eMS to the ENI-MD controllers and they started to validate the expenditure reported by the ENI-MD project partners. Out of the 4 corrective measures needed, the MD authorities reported 2 fulfilled in 2019.

The designation audit for Ukraine resulted with qualified opinion, meaning that the national control system is not established yet and corrective measures needs to be fulfilled. Fulfilment of the corrective measures will be audited in 2020 with the involvement of the GoA members in 2020.

**(b) OPTIONAL FOR LIGHT REPORTS, otherwise it will be included in point 9.1. An assessment of whether progress made towards targets is sufficient to ensure their fulfilment, indicating any remedial actions taken or planned, where appropriate.**

Danube Transnational Programme is in line with its targets from both financial, but also content point of view. Based on an analysis of the MA/ JS, the experience of the second call for proposals showed that, on one hand, stakeholders try to apply with topics that are not well fitting the DTP and, on the other hand, those who apply with a relevant topic need further support in structuring their proposal into the programme requirements. The DTP area is in fact composed by relevant stakeholders who are not experienced in ETC project designing and management. Therefore it was decided that the third call for proposal should have a dual approach: an open call but with stronger support from the programme side. Following a detailed analysis of the topics covered by the approved projects, the MC decided to restrict SO1.1, 1.2, 2.1 and 3.1 (SO 1.1 restricted to Service innovation, with a focus on strengthening employment via innovative public policies and creative industries (with a strong accent on innovative urban regeneration via service hubs; SO 1.2 restricted to strengthening the capacity of the so-called support organisations (e.g. employment agencies, trade unions, labour market organisations), social services able to better meet social needs and to provide services in general interest and improve the capacity of public administration to better cope with innovation processes (accent on national and regional public administrations; SO 2.1 restricted to water quality management, e.g. integrated policies, strategies, solutions, measures to reduce nutrient and hazardous substance pollution, improving quality of (surface and ground) waters in the Danube and its transnationally relevant (sub-)River Basin(s) - while monitoring is not expected to be in the main focus of such projects; more efficient waste water treatment solutions that can prove transnational impact; Integrated ground water resources management (from quality and / or quantity aspect) can also be potential topic, in case the transnational relevance and approach can reasonably be proved by a project; managing water resources from quantitative aspects (balanced usage, inter-sectorial coordination and cooperation) having transnational impact on water bodies can also be considered as possible project topic; strategic approach in harmonised and coordinated operative flood prevention measures (e.g. inter-sectorial coordination, including hydropower, navigation, etc.) for transnationally relevant river (basins); SO 3.1 restricted to transport corridors crossing the Danube region (e.g. addressing identified missing links, proposing solutions for improvement including [cross-border] traffic management systems, developing actions plans/ feasibility plans aimed at further developing the transport corridors – considering the pre-identified projects or their development status); cycling routes crossing the Danube region (e.g. identifying missing links, proposing solutions for improvement, developing actions plans/ feasibility plans aimed at further developing the routes); inter- and multi-modality, including development of ports' connections to road and rail transport for the improvement of transport chains). The DTP portfolio of projects will be completed with the approval of the 3rd call in 2020.



## **6. CITIZEN'S SUMMARY (ARTICLE 50(9) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013)**

A citizen's summary of the contents of the annual and the final implementation reports shall be made public and uploaded as a separate file in the form of annex to the annual and the final implementation report

You can upload/find the Citizen's summary under General > Documents

**7. REPORT ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (ARTICLE 46 OF REGULATION (EU) No 1303/2013)**

**8. PROGRESS IN PREPARATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF MAJOR PROJECTS AND JOINT ACTION PLANS (ARTICLE 101(H) AND ARTICLE 111(3) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(3)(B) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)**

**8.1. Major projects**

**Table 7: Major projects**

Project	CCI	Status of MP	Total investments	Total eligible costs	Planned notification/submission date	Date of tacit agreement/ approval by Commission	Planned start of implementation	Planned completion date	Priority Axis/ Investment priorities	Current state of realisation — financial progress (% of expenditure certified to Commission compared to total eligible cost)	Current state of realisation — physical progress Main implementation stage of the project	Main outputs	Date of signature of first works contract (1)	Observations
---------	-----	--------------	-------------------	----------------------	--------------------------------------	---	---------------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------------------	--	---	--------------	---	--------------

(1) In the case of operations implemented under PPP structures the signing of the PPP contract between the public body and the private sector body (Article 102(3) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013).

**Significant problems encountered in implementing major projects and measures taken to overcome them**

--

**Any change planned in the list of major projects in the cooperation programme**

--

## 8.2. Joint action plans

Progress in the implementation of different stages of joint action plans

--

**Table 8: Joint action plans (JAP)**

Title of the JAP	CCI	Stage of implementation of JAP	Total eligible costs	Total public support	OP contribution to JAP	Priority axis	Type of JAP	[Planned] submission to the Commission	[Planned] start of implementation	[Planned] completion	Main outputs and results	Total eligible expenditure certified to the Commission	Observations
------------------	-----	--------------------------------	----------------------	----------------------	------------------------	---------------	-------------	--	-----------------------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--	--------------

**Significant problems encountered and measures taken to overcome them**

--

**9. ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 50(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1303/2013 AND ARTICLE 14(4) OF REGULATION (EU) NO 1299/2013)**

**9.1 Information in Part A and achieving the objectives of the programme (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)**

Priority axis	1 - Innovative and socially responsible Danube region
---------------	---

--

Priority axis	1-MD - Innovative and socially responsible Danube region (ENI-MD)
---------------	---

--

Priority axis	1-UA - Innovative and socially responsible Danube region (ENI-UA)
---------------	---

--

Priority axis	2 - Environment and culture responsible Danube region
---------------	---

--

Priority axis	2-MD - Environment and culture responsible Danube region (ENI-MD)
---------------	---

--

Priority axis	2-UA - Environment and culture responsible Danube region (ENI-UA)
---------------	---

--

Priority axis	3 - Better connected and energy responsible Danube region
---------------	---

--

Priority axis	3-MD - Better connected and energy responsible Danube region (ENI-MD)
---------------	---

--

Priority axis	3-UA - Better connected and energy responsible Danube region (ENI-UA)
---------------	---

--

Priority axis	4 - Well governed Danube region
---------------	---------------------------------

--

Priority axis	4-MD - Well governed Danube region (ENI-MD)
---------------	---

Priority axis | 4-UA - Well governed Danube region (ENI-UA)

Priority axis | 5 - Technical Assistance

Priority axis | 5-MD - Technical Assistance (ENI-MD)

Priority axis | 5-UA - Technical Assistance (ENI-UA)



**9.2. Specific actions taken to promote equality between men and women and to promote non-discrimination, in particular accessibility for persons with disabilities, and the arrangements implemented to ensure the integration of the gender perspective in the cooperation programme and operations (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 2, (d) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)**

An assessment of the implementation of specific actions to take into account the principles set out in Article 7 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 on promotion of equality between men and women and non-discrimination, including, where relevant, depending on the content and objectives of the cooperation programme, an overview of specific actions taken to promote equality between men and women and to promote non-discrimination, including accessibility for persons with disabilities, and the arrangements implemented to ensure the integration of the gender perspective in the cooperation programme and operations

--

**9.3.Sustainable development (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 2, (e) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)**

An assessment of the implementation of actions to take into account the principles set out in Article 8 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 on sustainable development, including, where relevant, depending on the content and objectives of the cooperation programme, an overview of the actions taken to promote sustainable development in accordance with that Article

--

**9.4. Reporting on support used for climate change objectives (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013)**

Calculated amount of support to be used for climate change objectives based on the cumulative financial data by category of intervention in Table 7

<b>Priority axis</b>	<b>Amount of support to be used for climate change objectives (EUR)</b>	<b>Proportion of total allocation to the operational programme (%)</b>
1	3,347,204.88	6.09%
2	13,298,232.82	19.16%
3	15,496,827.71	35.42%
<b>Total</b>	<b>32,142,265.42</b>	<b>13.86%</b>

--

**9.5 Role of partners in the implementation of the cooperation programme (Article 50(4) of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013 and Article 14(4), subparagraph 1, (c) of Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013)**

Assessment of the implementation of actions to take into account the role of partners referred to in Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013, including involvement of the partners in the implementation, monitoring and evaluation of the cooperation programme

--

**10. OBLIGATORY INFORMATION AND ASSESSMENT ACCORDING TO ARTICLE 14(4),  
SUBPARAGRAPH 1 (A) AND (B), OF REGULATION (EU) No 1299/2013**

**10.1 Progress in implementation of the evaluation plan and the follow-up given to the findings of  
evaluations**

--

Status	Name	Fund	Year of finalizing evaluation	Type of evaluation	Thematic objective	Topic	Findings (in case of execution)	Follow up (in case of execution)
--------	------	------	-------------------------------	--------------------	--------------------	-------	---------------------------------	----------------------------------

**10.2 The results of the information and publicity measures of the Funds carried out under the communication strategy**

--

**11. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION WHICH MAY BE ADDED DEPENDING ON THE CONTENT AND OBJECTIVES OF THE COOPERATION PROGRAMME (ARTICLE 14(4), SUBPARAGRAPH 2 (A), (B), (C) AND (F), OF REGULATION (EU) No 1299/2013)**

**11.1. Progress in the implementation of the integrated approach to territorial development, including integrated territorial investments, sustainable urban development, and community led local development under the cooperation programme**

--



**11.2 Progress in implementation of actions to reinforce the capacity of authorities and beneficiaries to administer and to use the ERDF**

--

### 11.3 Contribution to macro-regional and sea basin strategies (where appropriate)

As stipulated by the Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013, recital 19, article 8(3)(d) on the "Content, adoption and amendment of cooperation programmes" and article 14(4) 2nd subparagraph (c) "Implementation reports", this programme contributes to MRS(s) and/or SBS:

The Danube Transnational Programme offers support for the EUSDR implementation, for example by financing projects directly supporting the EUSDR (as per assessment criteria defined jointly by the Programme and the Strategy) and their preparation (Seed Money Facility). Consequently the EUSDR PACs were actively involved in the projects by participating either as financing partners, or as ASPs or leading the capitalisation poles of the programme.

In addition, as mentioned above, in this period the Programme provides direct support to the coordination activities of macro-regional cooperation:

- Support to Priority Areas Coordinators aimed at increasing the effectiveness of coordination and strategy implementation in each of the Priority Areas of the EUSDR
- Seed Money Facility providing support for preparation of complex strategic transnational projects contributing to the EUSDR, to be further financed by different funding sources existing in the region
- Establishment and support of the EUSDR Strategy Point aimed at facilitating the information flow between EUSDR actors, as well as strengthening the capacity of the PAC in implementing and communicating the Strategy.

By the end of 2019 all the 3 funding schemes planned in the Cooperation Programme were on-going. The 1st EUSDR PAC call implementation finalised in December 2019, DTP financing all the 12 priorities of the EUSDR. The second PAC call was planned to be launched on the 24th of June 2019 with a deadline on 24th of September 2019, but due to the late receipt of the list of eligible PAC institutions from the EC/EUSDR, the call was finally officially launched on the 6th August and closed on the 8th October 2019. All 12 Priority Areas successfully submitted the proposals through the eMS system. Following the assessment, 11 proposals were approved with conditions to be fulfilled (the deadline being set to 24th of January 2020). Due to the low quality of the content, one proposal was invited to be re-submitted by February 2020.

The SMF projects submitted in March their interim report. Few projects completed their work before the standard 12-month implementation period and majority finalised the implementation by the end of August. Their final report has been submitted in December 2019, even though due to delays from the controllers' side, majority of them missed many certificates. Financial closure of the SMF projects is expected in the first months of 2020.

The DSP project submitted its first PPR in June and despite the expected inclusion of the Croatian EUSDR Presidency institution in the project, this modification request started only in the second half of 2019 and therefore not completed by the end of the year.

In 2019, the MA/JS continued its strong cooperation with the EUSDR. An active participation in the "statutory meetings" of the EUSDR was ensured: NC meetings (in February, Bucharest and in November, Zagreb), NC-PAC meeting (in May, Bucharest). The MA/JS provided comprehensive information in the form of a PPT for the PAC meeting held in January 2019 in Bucharest. In the last 2019 NC meeting held in Zagreb under the Croatian Presidency, the MA/JS has been invited to take active part in the newly created task Force on embedding that is expected to start its work in 2020.

Further to these meetings, the MA/JS attended also the *DANUVAL Working Group* meeting in March, Vienna and the *NC AND PAC Workshop on the Revision of the EUSDR Action Plan* in September, Vienna.

- EU Strategy for the Baltic Sea Region (EUSBSR)
- EU Strategy for the Danube Region (EUSDR)
- EU Strategy for the Adriatic and Ionian Region (EUSAIR)
- EU Strategy for the Alpine Region (EUSALP)
- Atlantic Sea Basin Strategy (ATLSBS)

**The pillar(s) and priority area(s) that the programme is relevant to:**

	<b>Pillar</b>	<b>Priority area</b>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 - Connecting the Danube region	1.1 - Mobility - waterways
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 - Connecting the Danube region	1.2 - Mobility - rail, road & air
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 - Connecting the Danube region	1.3 - Energy
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 - Connecting the Danube region	1.4 - Culture and tourism
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 - Protecting the environment in the Danube region	2.1 - Water quality
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 - Protecting the environment in the Danube region	2.2 - Environmental risks
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 - Protecting the environment in the Danube region	2.3 - Biodiversity, landscapes, air and soil quality
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 - Building prosperity in the Danube region	3.1 - Knowledge society
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 - Building prosperity in the Danube region	3.2 - Competitiveness
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 - Building prosperity in the Danube region	3.3 - People & skills
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 - Strengthening the Danube region	4.1 - Institutional capacity & cooperation
<input type="checkbox"/>	4 - Strengthening the Danube region	4.2 - Security

## Actions or mechanisms used to better link the programme with the EUSDR

**A. Are macro-regional coordinators (mainly National Coordinators, Priority Area Coordinators or Steering Group members) participating in the Monitoring Committee of the programme?**

Yes  No

### Name and function

9 MC members are also EUSDR NC: Denitsa Nikolova (BG), Ivan Glisevic Durovic Ana Savjak (ME), Zeljka Baric (HR); Gabor Jenei Viktor Oroszi (HU), Radu Gorincioi (RO); Michal Blasko (SK); Oxana Paierle Dorin Andros (MD); Ivana Davidovic (RS) Taras Tokarskyi (UA).

**B. In selection criteria, have extra points been attributed to specific measures supporting the EUSDR?**

Yes  No

**a) Are targeted calls for proposals planned in relation to EUSDR**

Yes  No

**b) How many macro-regional projects/actions are already supported by the programme? (Number)**

76

**c) Were extra points/bonus given to a project/action with high macro-regional significance or impact? If yes, please elaborate (1 specific sentence)**

The assessment grid contains 2 questions where the contribution of each project submitted in the framework of the DTP is being assessed and, depending on the quality of information provided one a higher or lower score can be awarded.

**d) Other actions (e.g. planned strategic projects). Please elaborate (1 specific sentence)**

No

**C. Has the programme invested EU funds in the EUSDR?**

Yes  No

**Approximate or exact amount in Euro invested in the EUSDR:**

ERDF	202,095,405.00
CF	
ESF	
EAFRD	
EMFF	
ENI	10,000,000.00
IPA	19,829,192.00
any other funds	
name of "any other funds"	

**D. Obtained results in relation to the EUSDR (n.a. for 2016)**

The programme successfully supports the all the 12 EUSDR PACs which started the implementation of their projects in January 2017 and finalised their implementation in December 2019. 19 SMF projects have been implemented addressing specific strategic topics identified by the PACs. Furthermore the new DSP was selected in 2018 and started the implementation of the activities.

**E. Does the programme contribute to the targets as validated by the national coordinators and priority area coordinators in 2016 (uploaded on the EUSDR website)? (Please specify the target(s))**

In case of DTP the projects are contributing to the EUSDR targets and each project is requested to describe already in the application phase to which targets they are contributing to and how (e.g. Danube Sediment contribute to the next Danube River Basin Management Plan (2021).

## **11.4 Progress in the implementation of actions in the field of social innovation**

--

### **13. SMART, SUSTAINABLE AND INCLUSIVE GROWTH**

Information and assessment of the programme contribution to achieving the Union strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth.

--



**14. ISSUES AFFECTING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PROGRAMME AND MEASURES TAKEN  
— PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK (ARTICLE 50(2) OF REGULATION (EU) No 1303/2013)**

Where the assessment of progress made with regard to the milestones and targets set out in the performance framework demonstrates that certain milestones and targets have not been achieved, Member States should outline the underlying reasons for failure to achieve these milestones in the report of 2019 (for milestones) and in the final implementation report (for targets).

--

## DOCUMENTS

Document title	Document type	Document date	Local reference	Commission reference	Files	Sent date	Sent By
Citizens' summary - 2019	Citizens' summary	30-Sep-2020		Ares(2020)5131700	Citizens summary	30-Sep-2020	nplaassa





