

# DBS Gateway Region WP5 - Towards Implementation Pilot Action

Krems, 13<sup>th</sup> of June 2019





## **Pilot Action**

- WP5 faced the challenge that implementation often lags behind recommendations in regional Roadmaps/Action Plans.
- The Pilot Action gathered relevant data related to the existing potentials and obstacles for increasing the attractiveness of the waterway transport system in the DBS Gateway Region.



from China to Serbia, via the Port of Constanta and the Danube River, with an aim of gathering all relevant transport data, as well as collecting all relevant data from logistic service providers for other alternative routes, China to Serbia via ports: Koper, Rijeka, Bar and Piraeus;

### The pilot action included two steps:

errec Danube Transnational Programme

**DBS Gateway Region** 

1. Tracking and tracing of cargo





# **Pilot Action**



## **Pilot Action**



Including Route Inventory Survey of the conditions transport and potential backups for the routes: Galati – Novi Sad, Varna – Novi Sad, Burgas – Novi Sad. On all of these routes, from the Port of Ruse/Giurgiu to Novi Sad, the IWT on the Danube was considered (including backup routes in the case of unfavourable navigational conditions), while from the Black ports to the Port of sea Ruse/Giurgiu road and rail transport routes were analysed.



## **Pilot Action**

2. Development of an open source web-application that is using the multi-criteria decision making (three criteria: price, time, emissions) in order to compare different available intermodal transport routes from an origin to a destination of cargo flows, considering different types of containers and more potential shippers, and to suggest an optimal solution for the given criteria.

Port of loading	Select Country	Select Location
Port of discharge	Select Country	<ul> <li>✓ Select Location - ✓</li> </ul>
Place of delivery	Select Country	C Select Location      Required
Step 2 - Define conta	iner type and number	
Select type of cargo	Optional	~
Select type of container	20 dv	~
Insert number of containers	1	~
Insert container weight	kg 0	
Step 3 - Route optimi	zation	
Start date	From	Required
End date	То	Required
Information	0	



13.06.2019

### Route China - Serbia via Constanta

Client: Strukturcom doo Container: HLBU1731637 Container seal: HLB5176091 Container type: 20 db Port of loading: Shanghai Port od discharge: Constanta Final destination: Belgrade via Port of Smederevo Container stuffing: 15/11/2018 Vessel departure: 23/11/2018 Vessel arrival to Constanta: 31/12/2018 Container on a barge: 15/01/2019 Container in Smederevo: 27/01/2019 Container in Belgrade: 27/01/2019



### **Total transport time: 65 days** Total SC costs (FOB): 3915 EUR



6



Main conclusion from the tracing of the container on the route Shanghai – Belgrade via Constanta - unsatisfying transport time and transport costs due to:

- NOT COMPETITIVE OCEAN FREIGHT COSTS TO CONSTANTA
- NOT COMPETITIVE PORT COSTS
- NON EXISTANCE OF THE LINE SERVICE
- LONGER TRANSPORT TIMES
- ORGANIZATION OF TRANSPORT OF JUST ONE CONTAINER
- ENGAGEMENT OF ONE CAPTAIN DURING TRANSPORT ON IWT



### **Alternative routes**

Ocean freight costs for TEU from Shanghai to the selected ports

TEU handling costs at the selected ports

Land transport costs per TEU from the selected ports to Belgrade

20 FT	CONSTANTA	PIRAEUS	BAR	RIJEKA	KOPER
MAERSK	1300 \$	1175 \$	/	1283 \$	1283 \$
MSC	1183 \$	1267 \$	1267 \$	1133 \$	1133 \$
CMA – CGM	1188\$	1367 \$	1650\$	1250 \$	1250 \$
HAPAG LIOYD	1299\$	1711\$	1931 \$	1310 \$	1310\$
EVERGREEN	1650\$	1283 \$	1	1196 \$	1196 \$
COSCO	1185 \$	1379 \$	/	1200 \$	1200 \$
20 FT	CONSTANTA	PIRAEUS	BAR	RIJEKA	KOPER
MAERSK	250€	240€	/	200€	200€
MSC	250€	240€	280€	227€	227€
CMA - CGM	250€	240€	210€	210€	210€
HAPAG LIOYD	250€	240€	250€	200€	200€
EVERGREEN	250€	240€	/	196€	196€
COSCO	250€	240€	/	195€	195€
40 FT	CONSTANTA	PIRAEUS	BAR	RIJEKA	KOPER
ROAD	1750€	1930€	590€	690€	790€
RAIL	/	950€	705€	660€	920€
IWT	570€	/	1	/	/



Transport time from Shanghai to selected ports

SHANGHAI-	RIJEKA	KOPER	BAR	PIRAEUS	CONSTANTA
MAERSK	32	30	1	32	29
MSC	31	34	33	31	38
CMA - CGM	32	30	34 / 37	34 / 35	29
COSCO	29 / 27 / 31	30 / 28 / 32	1	31 / 29 / 26	30
EVERGREEN	35	33	1	45 / 26 / 31	31/36
HAPAG LIOYD	31/33	32 / 34	1	29 / 34	34 / 36

**Alternative routes** 

# Transport time from the selected ports to Belgrade

CO2 emissions for different transport modes

20 DV/40 DV/40 HQ	CONSTANTA	PIRAEUS	BAR	RIJEKA	KOPER
ROAD	3 days	2 days	2 days	2 days	2 days
RAIL	/	7 days	5days	4 days	5 days
IWT	12 days	/	/	/	/

TYPE OF TRANSPORT	kg CO <sub>2</sub> / TEU
ROAD	0,72
RAIL	0,205
IWT	0,084
CONTAINER OCEAN SHIP	0,084



### **Route optimization**

Multi-criteria decision making - three selected criteria:

- transit time,
- transport costs and
- carbon dioxide emissions.

Different types of containers: (20ft, 40ft and 40ft hc).

Various service providers on each segment of the SC.

Tested for 7 different scenarios considering three selected criteria

Starting point: China - Port of Shanghai;

Transhipment ports in Europe: Rijeka, Bar, Koper, Piraeus, and Constanta End point: Serbia - Belgrade



### Route optimization – different scenarios

#### Scenario 1 - Optimisation of costs:

or all three types of containers the port of discharge was the Port of Constanta; transportation to Belgrade by barge.

- 20ft: EUR 1,594
- 40ft: EUR 2,470
- 40ft hc: EUR 2,483

#### Scenario 2 – Optimisation of time:

The optimal transit time between Shanghai and Belgrade is 29 days via Piraeus, a maritime feeder service to Rijeka and by truck to Belgrade.

- transit time between Shanghai and Piraeus - 22 days
- waiting time (for feeder to Rijeka) in Piraeus - 2 days
- transit time from Piraeus to Rijeka 3 days
- waiting time in Port of Rijeka 1 day
- transit time from Rijeka to

#### Scenario 3 – Optimisation of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions:

The minimum approximation of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from Shanghai to Belgrade is 1,405.91 kg CO<sub>2</sub>/TEU to the Port of Constanta and barge service to the Port of Belgrade.

#### Scenario 4 - Optimisation of costs and time:

or the iteration the best solution was selected, in order to calculate the 40ft hc container, an equal weighting of the criteria was used. The best solution is the transhipment at Piraeus continuing by maritime feeder service to Rijeka and the final leg by truck to Belgrade. The optimal transit time resulted in 29 days at costs of EUR 2,634.

#### Scenario 5 – Optimisation of costs and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions:

or the first iteration an equal weighting of the criteria was made, while in the second the best solution was selected. The results for the route Shanghai – Constanta - Belgrade are costs of EUR 1,590 at emissions of 1,405.91 kg CO<sub>2</sub>/TEU.

#### Scenario 6-Optimisation of time and CO, emissions:

or the first iteration an equal weighting of the criteria was carried out, while for the second one was selected as the best solution. The best solution is the transhipment at Piraeus continuing by maritime feeder service to Rijeka and the final leg by truck to Belgrade. The optimal transit time resulted in 29 days at emissions of 1,596.7 kg CO2/TEU.

#### Scenario 7 - costs, time and CO, emissions:

or the first iteration an equal weighting of criteria was made, while in the second it was selected the best solution. The best solution is the transhipment at Piraeus continuing by maritime feeder service to Rijeka and the final leg by rail to Belgrade. The optimal transit time resulted in 31 days at emissions of 1,515.56 kg CO<sub>2</sub>/TEU and costs of EUR 1,657.



## Thank you for your attention!

Dr. Sanja Bojic Faculty of Technical sciences University of Novi Sad Email: s\_bojic@uns.ac.rs